

Non-Crossing Linked Partitions and Infinitesimal Free Multiplicative Convolution

Pei-Lun Tseng (NYUAD)

Recent Perspectives on Non-Crossing Partitions through Algebra,
Combinatorics, and Probability. ESI

February 17-21, 2025

Def.

- $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$: **non-commutative probability space** (ncps) if $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ & $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is linear s.t $\varphi(1) = 1$.
- Unital subalgebras $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is **free** if for all $n \geq 1$, $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$, $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_{n-1} \neq i_n$, $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i_j}$, $\varphi(a_j) = 0$, then $\varphi(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0$.
- $(\kappa_n)_{n \geq 1}$: **free cumulants** if $\kappa_n: \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the multilinear functionals, which is defined by the following relation

$$\varphi(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(n)} \kappa_{\pi}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$$

where $\kappa_{\pi}[a_1, \dots, a_n] = \prod_{W \in \pi} \kappa_{|W|}(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_s})$
 $W = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s\}$

Remarks

- Probability distribution $\longleftrightarrow$ Moments $\longleftrightarrow$ free Cumulants
Carleman condition
moment cumulant formula

$$\underbrace{G_a(z)}_{\text{Cauchy transform}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(a^n)}{z^{n+1}} \implies \underbrace{R_a(z)}_{\text{R-transform}} = \underbrace{G_a(z)}_{G_a \circ G_a = 1_d} - \frac{1}{z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k_{n+1}(a, \dots, a) z^n$$

Theorem (Speicher 1994)

$(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ are free $\iff$ Vanishing of mixed free Cumulants

Remark

Given a ncps $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$, $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ are free

$$k_n(a+b, a+b, \dots, a+b) = k_n(a, a, \dots, a) + k_n(b, b, \dots, b) + \text{mixed free Cumulants}$$

$$= k_n(a, a, \dots, a) + k_n(b, b, \dots, b)$$

$$R_{a+b}(z) = R_a(z) + R_b(z)$$

Def.

Given $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\varphi(a) \neq 0$ then **S-transform** of a is defined by

$$S_a(z) = \frac{1+z}{z} \mathcal{H}_a^{(1)}(z) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{H}_a(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(a^n) z^n$$

Theorem (Voiculescu 1987)

Given a ncps $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$, $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ are free with $\varphi(a) \neq 0$ & $\varphi(b) \neq 0$
then $S_{ab}(z) = S_a(z) \cdot S_b(z)$.

Question

$a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ are free.

$$R_{a+b} = R_a + R_b \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad R_a(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k_{n+1}(a, \dots, a) z^n \quad . \quad \varphi(a^n) = \sum_{\pi \in \underline{Nc(n)}} k_{\pi}[a, \dots, a]$$

$$S_{ab} = S_a \cdot S_b \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad ???$$

Ans: Dykema 2007 (Popa 2010, Mastnak & Nica 2009, Nica 2010)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{ab} = T_a \cdot T_b & \longleftrightarrow & T_a(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n(a, \dots, a) z^n \quad \varphi(a^n) = \sum_{\pi \in \underline{NCL(n)}} t_{\pi}[a, \dots, a] \\ \begin{array}{c} \parallel^{-1} \\ S_{ab} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \parallel^{-1} \\ S_a \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \parallel^{-1} \\ S_b \end{array} \end{array}$$

Note

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n z^n \quad \& \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n z^n$$

$$s_n = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in NC(n) \\ \pi = \{V_1, \dots, V_\ell\}}} t_{|V_1|} \cdots t_{|V_\ell|} \iff g(z) = f(z[1+g(z)]).$$

Observation [Lemma 6.2 in Mastnak & Nica 2009]

Given a ncps $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\varphi(a) = 1$

$$\text{Then } \mathcal{R}_a(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n(a, \dots, a) z^n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} k_n(a, \dots, a) z^n$$

$$\mathcal{H}_a = \mathcal{R}_a \circ (z[1 + \mathcal{H}_a(z)]) \implies S_a(z) = \frac{1}{z} \mathcal{R}_a^{\langle H \rangle}(z) \implies \frac{\mathcal{R}_a(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{S_a(\mathcal{R}_a(z))}$$

$$f(z) := \frac{1}{S_a(z)} - 1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n z^n \quad \& \quad g(z) := \frac{1}{z} \mathcal{R}_a(z) - 1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n+1}(a, \dots, a) z^n \quad \text{satisfies}$$

$$g(z) = f(z[1+g(z)]) \implies k_n(a, \dots, a) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in NC(n+1) \\ \pi = \{V_1, \dots, V_\ell\}}} t_{|V_1|} \cdots t_{|V_\ell|}$$

Def.

- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $E \& F \subseteq [n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$E \& F$ are **nearly disjoint** if for all $i \in E \cap F$, one of the following holds:

(i) $i = \min(E)$, $|E| > 1$ & $i \neq \min(F)$

(ii) $i \neq \min(E)$, $i = \min(F)$ & $|F| > 1$.

Examples of nearly disjoint.

$n=3$: $(1, 2) \& (2, 3)$; $(1) \& (2, 3)$ $n=4$: $(1, 2) \& (2, 3, 4)$; $(1, 2, 4) \& (2, 3)$



- π : Set of non-empty subsets of $[n]$

π is said to be a **non-crossing linked partition** of $[n]$ if the union of π is $[n]$ and for all $E \& F \in \pi$, $E \& F$ are non-crossing & nearly disjoint.

NCL(n) = the set of all non-crossing linked partitions of $[n]$.

Examples

1° $NCL(3)$

$(1, 2, 3)$



$(1, 2) (3)$



$(12) (23)$



$(13) (2)$



$(1) (2, 3)$



$(1) (2) (3)$



2° $NCL(4)$

$(1) (2, 3) (3, 4)$



$(1, 2, 3) (3, 4)$



$(1, 2) (2, 3) (4)$



$(1, 2) (2, 4) (3)$



$(1, 3) (2) (3, 4)$



$(1, 2) (2, 3, 4)$



$(1, 2) (2, 3) (3, 4)$



$(1, 2, 4) (2, 3)$



$(1, 2) (3, 4)$



..... etc

Notation

- Given $\pi \in \text{NCL}(n)$,
 $\underline{S(\pi)} := \{k \in [n] \mid k \text{ is not a minimal element in any blocks of } \pi\}$
- Given a ncps $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$, $\underline{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} := \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid \varphi(a) \neq 0\}$

Def.

The **t -coefficients** $\{t_n: \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of maps that is defined inductively by

$$\varphi(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NCL}(n)} t_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

$$\text{where } t_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \prod_{\substack{V \in \pi \\ V = \{i_1 < \dots < i_\ell\}}} t_{\ell-1}(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_\ell}) \prod_{k \in S(\pi)} t_0(a_k)$$

Example

$$\pi: (1, 2, 5)(2, 4)(3) \quad , \quad S(\pi) = \{4, 5\}$$



$$t_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_5) = t_2(a_1, a_2, a_5) t_1(a_2, a_4) t_0(a_4) t_0(a_5)$$

Notation

- Given $\sigma \in \text{NCL}(n)$,
 $\underline{i \sim_{\sigma} j}$ if $\exists$ blocks $V_1, \dots, V_s \in \sigma$ s.t. $i \in V_1$ & $j \in V_s$ with $V_k \cap V_{k+1} \neq \emptyset, \forall k \in [s-1]$.
- Given $\pi \in \text{NC}(n)$, $\underline{[\pi]} := \{ \sigma \in \text{NCL}(n) \mid i \sim_{\pi} j \iff i \sim_{\sigma} j \text{ for all } i, j \in [n] \}$

Example

$$(1.2)(2.3.4), (1.2)(2.3)(3.4), (1.2.4)(2.3), (1.2.3)(3.4) \in [1_4]$$



property

For any n , $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. We have $k_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in [1_n]} t_{\pi}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

property.

Unital algebras $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ are free $\iff$ vanishing of mixed t -coefficients.

property (single-variable case)

$$k_n(a) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(n-1)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} t_{|V|}(a) \right) t_0(a)^{n - \#(\pi)}$$

where $k_n(a) := k_n(a, \dots, a)$
 $t_n(a) := t_n(a, \dots, a)$

Def.

- $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \varphi')$: **infinitesimal non-commutative probability space** (incps) if $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ is a ncps & $\varphi': \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is linear with $\varphi'(1) = 0$.

- Unital nch algs $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ are **infinitesimally free** if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$. $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_n$. $a_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i_j}$ & $\varphi(a_j) = 0$ then

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = 0 \\ \varphi'(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi'(a_j) \varphi(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{j-1} a_{j+1} \dots a_n). \end{cases}$$

- $(\kappa'_n)_{n \geq 1}$: **infinitesimal free cumulants** if

$\kappa'_n: \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the multilinear functional that is defined by the equation

$$\varphi'(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{NC}(n)} \partial \kappa_\pi[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$$

$$\text{where } \partial \kappa_\pi[a_1, \dots, a_n] = \sum_{\substack{V \in \pi \\ V = \{i_1 < \dots < i_s\}}} \kappa'_{|V|}(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_s}) \prod_{\substack{W \neq V \\ W = \{j_1 < \dots < j_l\} \in \pi}} \kappa_{|W|}(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_l}).$$

Theorem (Février & Nica 2010)

Infinitesimal freeness $\iff$ Vanishing of mixed free & inf. free cumulants.

Def.

Given an incps $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \varphi')$ & $x \in \mathcal{A}$, the infinitesimal S -transform of x is defined by

$$\partial S_x(z) = -(\mathcal{M}_x^{\langle \cdot \rangle})'(z) \cdot \partial \mathcal{M}_x(\mathcal{M}_x^{\langle \cdot \rangle}(z))$$

where $\partial \mathcal{M}_x$ is the inf. moment generating function: $\partial \mathcal{M}_x(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi'(x^n) z^n$.

In addition,

the infinitesimal T -transform of x is given by $\partial T_x(z) = \frac{-\partial S_x(z)}{S_x(z)^2}$.

Theorem (Tsong 2023)

x & $y \in \mathcal{A}$ are inf. free $\implies$
 $\varphi(x) \neq 0$, $\varphi(y) \neq 0$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial S_{xy}(z) = \partial S_x(z) \cdot S_y(z) + S_x(z) \cdot \partial S_y(z) \\ \partial T_{xy}(z) = \partial T_x(z) \cdot T_y(z) + T_x(z) \cdot \partial T_y(z). \end{cases}$$

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \varphi')$ be an incps & $\pi \in \text{NCL}(n)$

$\hat{\mathcal{A}} := \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid \varphi(a) \neq 0\}$ & $S(\pi) := \{k \in [n] \mid k \text{ is not a minimal elt in any blocks of } \pi\}$

Def.

The **infinitesimal t -coefficients** $\{t'_n: \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of maps given via the following

$$\varphi'(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NCL}(n)} \partial t_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \partial t_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \sum_{\substack{V \in \pi \\ V = \{i_1 < \dots < i_s\}}} t'_{s-1}(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_s}) \prod_{\substack{W \neq V \\ W = \{j_1 < \dots < j_r\} \in \pi}} t_{r-1}(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_r}) \prod_{k \in S(\pi)} t_0(a_k) \\ &\quad + \prod_{\substack{V \in \pi \\ V = \{i_1 < \dots < i_s\}}} t_{s-1}(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_s}) \sum_{k \in S(\pi)} t'_0(a_k) \prod_{\substack{k' \neq k \\ k' \in S(\pi)}} t_0(a_{k'}). \end{aligned}$$

properties (Tseng 2024)

- $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$,

$$k'_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in [1_n]} \partial t_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

- Infinitesimal freeness $\iff$ Vanishing of mixed t -coeff. & inf. t -coeff.

Property (Single variable Case) (Tseng 2024)

Given an incps $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \varphi')$, $a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, then

$$k_n'(a) = \sum_{\pi \in N(n-1)} \left(\sum_{V \in \pi} t'_{|V|}(a) \prod_{\substack{W \in \pi \\ W \neq V}} t_{|W|}(a) \cdot t_0(a)^{n-\#(\pi)} + \prod_{V \in \pi} t_{|V|}(a) (n-\#(\pi)) t_0'(a) t_0(a)^{n-\#(\pi)-1} \right)$$

Application to Random Matrix Theory

Complex Wishart matrix $X_N := \frac{1}{N} G^* G$ where G is a $M \times N$ Gaussian random Matrix with $N(0,1)$ entries.

If assume $\frac{M}{N} \rightarrow c$ & $(M-Nc) \rightarrow c'$, then

$\exists$ an incps $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi, \varphi')$ & $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(x^k) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} (E \circ \text{Tr}) (X_N^k) \\ \varphi'(x^k) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{N} (E \circ \text{Tr}) (X_N^k) - \varphi(x^k) \right\} \end{cases}$$

$\implies$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{indep } \mathbb{C}\text{-Wishart Matrices are asymptotically inf. free.} \\ k_n(x) = c \text{ \& } k_n'(x) = c' \text{ for all } n \end{array} \right.$

[Mingo 2019]

properties (Tseug 2024)

- $t_n(x) = \begin{cases} c & n=0 \\ 1 & n=1 \\ 0 & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$ & $t'_n(x) = \begin{cases} c' & n=0 \\ 0 & n \geq 1 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} T_x(z) = c + z \\ \partial T_x(z) = c' \end{cases}$
- x & y are inf. free with $\begin{cases} k_n(x) = k_n(y) = c \\ k'_n(x) = k'_n(y) = c' \end{cases}$ for all n .

$$\implies \begin{cases} T_{xy}(z) = c^2 + 2cz + z^2 \\ \partial T_{xy}(z) = 2c'c + 2cz. \end{cases}$$

Question

- $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ are free with $\varphi(x) \neq 0$, $\varphi(y) = 0$.

Do we have an analogous process to study the law of xy in the case that $\varphi(x) \neq 0$, $\varphi(y) \neq 0$?

Ans: Multiplication of free random variables & S-transform: the case of vanishing mean (Apeischer & Rao 2007).

Thank You for Your Attention ~