# Regularity of positional numeration systems without a dominant root

Émilie Charlier Joint work with Savinien Kreczman

Département de mathématique, Université de Liège, Belgique

Workshop on Uniform Distribution of Sequences, Vienne 23 avril 2025

## Positional numeration systems

Let  $U = (U_n)_{n \ge 0}$  be a base sequence, that is, an increasing sequence of integers such that  $U_0 = 1$  and the quotients  $\frac{U_n}{U_{n-1}}$  are bounded.

A natural number x is represented by the finite word

$$\operatorname{rep}_U(x) = a_{\ell-1} \cdots a_0$$

obtained from the greedy algorithm:

$$x = \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} a_n U_n$$

A description of the numeration language

$$L_U = 0^* \{ \operatorname{rep}_U(x) : x \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

strongly depends on the base sequence U.

# Regularity of $L_U$

A fundamental question is the following:

- Given a positional system U, can we decide if the numeration language  $L_U$  is regular?
- And even more precisely, can characterize those systems U giving rise to a regular numeration language  $L_U$ ?

## Linear systems

A necessary condition is that the sequence  $U = (U_n)_{n \ge 0}$  is linear, i.e., it must satisfy a linear recurrence relation with integer coefficients: there exist integers  $c_1, \ldots, c_k$  such that

$$U_n = c_1 U_{n-1} + c_2 U_{n-2} \cdots + c_k U_{n-k}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq k.$$

A way to see this is:

- Note that, for each n,  $U_n$  is the number of words of length n in  $L_U$ .
- This implies that the formal series

$$S=\sum_{n\geq 0}U_nX^n$$

is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rational, i.e.,  $S = \frac{P}{Q}$  for polynomials  $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with Q(0) = 1.

▶ This, in turn, implies that the sequence  $(U_n)_{n>0}$  satisfies a linear recurrence relation over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

## Hollander's study for dominant root systems

This question was studied by Hollander in 1998 in the case of positional systems  $U = (U_n)_{n\geq 0}$  with a dominant root, i.e., such that the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{U_n}{U_{n-1}}$  exists and is greater than 1.

A clever observation he made was that it is sufficient to study the regularity of the language made of words of maximal length.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

#### Proposition (Hollander 1998)

 $L_U$  is regular  $\iff Max(L_U) := {rep}_U(U_n - 1) : n \ge 0 \}$  is regular.

He also showed the following necessary condition:

## Proposition (Hollander 1998)

If U has a dominant root  $\beta > 1$  and if  $L_U$  is regular, then  $\beta$  is a Parry number.

## Some intuition for these two arguments

#### Proposition (Hollander 1998)

 $L_U$  is regular  $\iff Max(L_U) := {rep}_U(U_n - 1) : n \ge 0 \}$  is regular.

The implication

$$L$$
 regular  $\implies Max(L)$  regular

is true for any language. See [Shallit 1994] for a nice short proof.

- The other direction does not work in general.
- The point here is to prove that the converse is true for numeration languages. This is due to the following property of numeration languages:

$$w \in L_U \iff$$
 for all  $s \in Suff(w)$ ,  $s \leq_{lex} rep_U(U_{|s|} - 1)$ .

#### ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

## Proposition (Hollander 1998)

If U has a dominant root  $\beta > 1$  and if  $L_U$  is regular, then  $\beta$  is a Parry number.

Here, the key observation is:

lf  $d_{\beta}(1)$  is infinite, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\operatorname{rep}_U(U_n-1)=d_\beta(1).$$

▶ If  $d_{\beta}(1) = d_1 \cdots d_{\ell}$  with  $d_{\ell} \neq 0$ , then for all lengths *L* and all large enough indices *n*, there exists  $j \ge 0$  such that

$$\operatorname{Pref}_{L}(\operatorname{rep}_{U}(U_{n}-1)) = \operatorname{Pref}_{L}(\mathbf{w}_{j})$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_j = (d_1 \cdots d_{\ell-1}(d_\ell - 1))^j d_1 \cdots d_\ell 0^\omega$ .

We will refer to these words  $\mathbf{w}_i$  as the "intermediate"  $\beta$ -representations of 1.

# $\beta$ -polynomials

In order to give Hollander's full statement, we need to introduce the notion of  $\beta$ -polynomials. Suppose that  $d_{\beta}^*(1) = t_1 \cdots t_q (t_{q+1} \cdots t_{q+r})^{\omega}$ , then the polynomial

$$\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{r}} = \left( \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{r}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{r}} t_i \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{r}-i} \right) - \left( \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{q}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\boldsymbol{q}} t_i \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{q}-i} \right).$$

is called a  $\beta$ -polynomial.

For q, r minimal, we get the canonical  $\beta$ -polynomial, simply denoted  $P_{\beta}$ .

Note that in the case where  $d_\beta(1) = d_1 \cdots d_\ell$  with  $d_\ell \neq 0$ , then as  $d^*_\beta(1) = (d_1 \cdots d_{\ell-1}(d_\ell - 1))^\omega$ , we simply get

$$P_{eta}=X^{\ell}-\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}d_iX^{\ell-i}.$$

## Theorem (Hollander 1998)

Let U be a positional numeration system with a dominant root  $\beta > 1$ .

- If  $L_U$  is regular, then  $\beta$  is a Parry number.
- Case where  $d_{\beta}(1)$  is infinite.
  - L<sub>U</sub> is regular if and only if U satisfies a linear recurrence relation of characteristic polynomial P<sub>β,q,r</sub> for some q, r.
- Case where  $d_{\beta}(1) = d_1 \dots d_{\ell}$  with  $d_{\ell} \neq 0$ .
  - If U satisfies a recurrence relation of characteristic polynomial  $P_{\beta,q,r}$  for some q, r, then  $L_U$  is regular.
  - If L<sub>U</sub> is regular, then the base sequence U satisfies a linear recurrence relation of characteristic polynomial (X<sup>ℓ</sup> − 1)P<sub>β,q,r</sub> for some q, r.

## Getting rid of the dominant root condition

First step: Exploit the positiveness of the generating series.

- ▶ If  $L_U$  is regular, then the series  $\sum_{n\geq 0} U_n X^n$  is  $\mathbb{N}$ -rational (and not just  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rational).
- By a result of Soittola from 1976, we know that if a Z-rational series has nonnegative coefficients and a dominating eigenvalue, then it is N-rational.
- ▶ Conversely, we can derive from another result of Soittola that if a series  $\sum_{i\geq 0} s_n X^n$  is  $\mathbb{N}$ -rational, then there exists some  $p \geq 1$  such that for each  $i \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$ , the limit

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{s_{pn-i}}{s_{pn-i-1}}$$

exists.

Consequently, if  $L_U$  is regular, then we can associate a *p*-tuple of real numbers  $(\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{p-1})$  where for each *i*,

$$\beta_i := \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{U_{pn-i}}{U_{pn-i-1}}.$$

## Alternate bases

Second step: Introduce alternate bases and link them with maximal words of each length in  $L_U$ .

For a tuple  $B = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{p-1})$ , we consider representations of real numbers of the form

$$x = \frac{a_0}{\beta_0} + \frac{a_1}{\beta_0\beta_1} + \frac{a_2}{\beta_0\beta_1\beta_2} + \cdots$$

where  $\beta_n := \beta_{n \mod p}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

- We use a greedy algorithm to define greedy *B*-expansions of real numbers  $d_B(x)$ .
- We define the quasi-greedy *B*-expansion of 1 as  $d_B^*(1) = \lim_{x \to 1^-} d_B(x)$ .
- ▶ We get a Parry-kind characterization of allowable sequences: a sequence  $a_0a_1a_2\cdots$  is the *B*-expansion of a real number in [0, 1) if and only if for all  $n \ge 0$ , one has  $a_na_{n+1}a_{n+2}\cdots <_{\text{lex}} d^*_{S^n(B)}(1)$  where  $S^n(B)$  is the shifted base  $(\beta_n, \beta_{n+1}, \ldots)$ .

[C-Cisternino 2021]

#### Lemma

Let  $U = (U_n)_{n \ge 0}$  be a positional numeration system with an associated alternate base  $(\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{p-1})$ . For all  $i \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ , all lengths  $\ell$  and all large enough indices n, there exists j such that

 $\operatorname{Pref}_{\ell}(\operatorname{rep}_{U}(U_{pn-i}-1)) = \operatorname{Pref}_{\ell}(\mathbf{w}_{i,j})$ 

where the infinite words  $\mathbf{w}_{i,j}$  are  $(\beta_i, \ldots, \beta_{i+p-1})$ -representations of 1 which are "intermediate" between the greedy and the quasi-greedy one.

## Proposition

Let U be a positional numeration system with a regular numeration language  $L_U$ , and let  $(\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{p-1})$  be an associated alternate base. Then for each  $i \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ , the quasi-greedy expansion  $d^*_{S^i(B)}(1)$  is ultimately periodic.

Such alternate bases are called Parry.

[C-Kreczman 2025+]

## Intermediate representations of 1

Let  $U = (U_n)_{n \ge 0}$  be defined by  $U_{n+10} = 16U_{n+5} - 9U_n$  for  $n \ge 0$  and the following initial conditions.

Then for  $i \in \{0, \ldots, 4\}$ , the limits

$$\beta_i := \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{U_{5n-i}}{U_{5n-i-1}}$$

exist, and can be effectively computed.

Set  $B = (\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_4)$ .

We get the following greedy and quasi-greedy  $S^{i}(B)$ -expansions of 1:



For i = 0, the intermediate representations are given by

$$\begin{split} \textbf{w}_{0,1} &= 110 \cdot 110^{\omega} \\ \textbf{w}_{0,2} &= 110 \cdot 10 \cdot 1110^{\omega} \\ \textbf{w}_{0,3} &= 110 \cdot 10 \cdot 110 \cdot 110^{\omega} \end{split}$$

We encode the possible interactions of the remainders  $i \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$  in a graph *G*:



Third step: Suppose that U is a positional numeration system with an associated Parry alternate base  $(\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{p-1})$ . Then study the regularity of the sub-languages

$$egin{aligned} & L_{U,i} := \{ w \in \operatorname{Max}(L_U) : |w| \equiv -i \pmod{p} \} \ & = \{ \operatorname{rep}_U(U_{pn-i}-1) : n \geq 1 \} \end{aligned}$$

by analyzing all possible interactions between remainders as encoded in the graph G.



Suppose that  $d_{S^i(B)}(1) = t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,q} (t_{i,q+1} \cdots t_{i,q+r})^{\omega}$ . Then we define a sequence  $\Delta_{i,q,r}$  by

$$(\Delta_{i,q,r})_n = \left(U_{pn-i} - \sum_{s=1}^{q+r} t_{i,s} U_{pn-i-s}\right) - \left(U_{pn-i-r} - \sum_{s=1}^{q} t_{i,s} U_{pn-i-r-s}\right).$$

## Case 1

Suppose that *i* has no outgoing edge in the graph *G*, i.e.,  $d_{S^i(B)}(1)$  is infinite.

The language  $L_{U,i}$  is regular if and only if there exist some q, r such that the sequence  $\Delta_{i,q,rp}$  is ultimately zero.

Suppose that  $d_{S^i(B)}(1) = t_{i,1} \cdots t_{i,\ell}$ . Then we define a sequence  $\Delta_i$  by

$$(\Delta_i)_n = U_{pn-i} - \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} t_{i,s} U_{pn-i-s}.$$

#### Case 2

Suppose that there is a path from *i* to some vertex with no outgoing edge in the graph *G*, i.e., there exist  $i_1 = i, i_2, ..., i_k$  such that

$$d_{S^{i_j}(B)}(1) = t_{i_j,1} \cdots t_{i_j,\ell_{i_j}} \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$$
 $d_{S^{i_k}(B)}(1) = d^*_{S^{i_k}(B)}(1)$ 
 $d^*_{S^{i}(B)}(1) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (t_{i_j,1} \cdots t_{i_j,\ell_{i_j}-1}(t_{i_j,\ell_{i_j}}-1))\right) d_{S^{i_k}(B)}(1).$ 

Suppose that the languages  $L_{U,i_j}$  are regular for all  $j \in \{2, ..., k\}$ . The language  $L_{U,i}$  is regular if and only if the sequence  $\Delta_i$  is ultimately periodic.

#### Case 3

Suppose that *i* belongs to a cycle in the graph *G*, i.e., that there exists  $i_1 = i, i_2, \ldots, i_k$  such that

$$\blacktriangleright \ d_{S^{i_j}(B)}(1) = t_{i_j,1} \cdots t_{i_j,\ell_{i_j}} \text{ for all } j \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \ d^*_{\mathcal{S}^i(B)}(1) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^k (t_{i_j,1} \cdots t_{i_j,\ell_{i_j}-1}(t_{i_j,\ell_{i_j}}-1)) \right)^{\omega}.$$

The languages  $L_{U,i_1}, \ldots, L_{U,i_k}$  are all regular if and only if

► the sequences  $\Delta_{i_1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i_k}$  are all ultimately periodic  $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , with a common period M such that Mp is a multiple of  $\ell_{i_1} + \cdots + \ell_{i_k}$ 

• for all 
$$j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$$
, the  $M$  sums

$$(\Delta_{i_j})_n + (\Delta_{i_{j+1}})_{n-m_{j,1}} + \cdots + (\Delta_{i_{j+mk-1}})_{n-m_{j,mk-1}}$$

are all ultimately nonnegative, where  $m = \frac{Mp}{\ell_{i_1} + \dots + \ell_{i_k}}$  and  $m_{j,h} = \frac{i_j + \ell_{i_j} + \dots + \ell_{i_{j+h-1}} - i_{j+h}}{p}$ .

(Here, the indices j in  $i_j$  are considered modulo k).

#### Case 4

Suppose that there is a path from *i* to a cycle in the graph *G*, i.e., that there exists  $i_1 = i, i_2, \ldots, i_k, i_{k+1}, \ldots, i_{k+k'}$  such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad d_{S^{i_{j}}(B)}(1) = t_{i_{j},1} \cdots t_{i_{j},\ell_{i_{j}}} \text{ for all } j \in \{1,\ldots,k+k'\} \\ \bullet \quad d^{*}_{S^{i}(B)}(1) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} (t_{i_{j},1} \cdots t_{i_{j},\ell_{i_{j}}-1}(t_{i_{j},\ell_{i_{j}}}-1))\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{k+k'} (t_{i_{j},1} \cdots t_{i_{j},\ell_{i_{j}}-1}(t_{i_{j},\ell_{i_{j}}}-1))\right)^{\omega}. \end{array}$$

Suppose that the languages  $L_{U,i_j}$  are regular for all  $j \in \{2, \ldots, k + k'\}$ .

The language  $L_{U,i}$  is regular if and only if "a complicated condition holds, but nice enough so that it can be effectively checked".

# Summing up

- All in all, we obtain a characterization of positional numeration systems with a regular numeration language.
- This characterization is effective.
- $\blacktriangleright$  It also fills the gap in Hollander's result in the case of a simple Parry dominant root  $\beta$ .

Indeed, suppose that  $d_{\beta}(1) = d_1 \cdots d_{\ell}$  and if U satisfies a linear recurrence relation of characteristic polynomial  $(X^{\ell} - 1)P_{\beta,q,r}$  for some q, r.

Define the sequence  $\Delta = (\Delta_n)_{n \ge \ell}$  by  $\Delta_n = U_n - d_1 U_{n-1} - \cdots - d_\ell U_{n-\ell}$ . In this situation, this sequence  $\Delta$  is ultimately periodic with period  $r = m\ell$ , which is a multiple of  $\ell$ .

We then get that the numeration language  $L_U$  is regular if and only if the  $\ell$  sums

$$\Delta_n + \Delta_{n-\ell} + \cdots + \Delta_{n-(m-1)\ell}$$

are ultimately nonnegative.

# Thank you!

<□> <0</p>