Twists & Localization: Local and Non-local models in QFT

Gandalf Lechner

joint with Ricardo Correa da Silva, Luca Giorgetti, Harald Grosse





Exactly solvable models - ESI Vienna - July 24, 2024

Mathematical Physics in Vienna in ~2009-10

Noncommutative Quantum Field Theory: Renormalization, Causality and Emergent Gravity



Harald Grosse. Gandalf Lechner, Harold Steinacker, Fabien Vignes-Tourneret

PROJECT: Merge General Relativity with Quantum Physics through Noncommutative Geometry

• Quantum Field Theory on \mathbb{R}^4 suffers from infrared (IR) and

Minkowski Space Deformations and Causality Properties

There also exists a general method for deforming quantum field theories on noncommutative Minkowski space



H. Grosse D. Klammer G. Lechner T. Ludwig P. Schreivogl H. Steinacker F. Vignes-

Tourneret

 $G^{\mu
u} \sim \theta^{\mu\mu'} \theta^{
u\nu'} g_{\mu'
u'}$ $i\theta^{\mu
u} \sim [X^{\mu}, X^{
u}]$

Examples: quantum plane \mathbb{R}^4_a , fuzzy spheres, ...

» effective (emergent) gravity, distinct from general relativity advantages upon quantization, consistent with vacuum energy



H. Steinacker: JHEP 2007, H. Grosse, H. Steinacker, M. Wohlgenannt: JHEP 2008 D Klammer H. Steinacker: JHEP 2008

PhD and Diploma Students, Projects, Coworkers:

PhD Students: D. Klammer, T. Ludwig, P. Schreivogl, Diploma Students: T. Kaltenbrunner, A. Much, S. Urach, Projects: FWF P18657, FWF P20017. EU Marie Curie MEIF-CT-2007-041771. Collaborators: P. Aschieri, D. Blaschke, M. Buric, E. Kronberger, P. Presnaider, F. Lizzi, J. Madore, K.-G. Schlesinger, M. Schweda, R. Sedmik, Z. Wang, M. Wohlgenannt, R. Wulkenhaar, G. Zoupanos

Mathematical Physics in Vienna in ~2009-10

Noncommutative Quantum Field Theory: Renormalization, Causality and Emergent Gravity



Harald Grosse, Gandalf Lechner, Harold Steinacker, Fabien Vignes-Tourneret

PROJECT: Merge General Relativity with Quantum Physics through Noncommutative Geometry

• Quantum Field Theory on \mathbb{R}^4 suffers from infrared (IR) and

Minkowski Space Deformations and Causality Properties

There also exists a general method for deforming quantum field theories on noncommutative Minkowski space

.



 $\begin{array}{l} G^{\mu\nu} \sim \theta^{\mu\mu'} \theta^{\nu\nu'} g_{\mu'\nu'} \\ i\theta^{\mu\nu} \sim [X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}] \end{array}$

Examples: quantum plane \mathbb{R}^4_{θ} , fuzzy spheres, ...

 effective (emergent) gravity, distinct from general relativity advantages upon quantization, consistent with vacuum energy



H. Steinacker: JHEP 2007, H. Grosse, H. Steinacker, M. Wohlgenannt: JHEP 2008, D. Klammer, H. Steinacker: JHEP 2008

PhD and Diploma Students, Projects, Coworkers:

PhD Students: D. Klammer, T. Ludwig, P. Schreivogl, Diptoma Students: T. Kaltenbrunner, A. Much, S. Urach, Projects: FWF P18657, FWF P20017, EU Marie Curie MEIF-CT-2007-041771, Collaborators: P. Aschieri, D. Blaschke, M. Buric, E. Kronberger, P. Presnajder, F. Lizzi, J. Madore, K.-G. Schlesinger, M. Schweda, R. Sedmik, Z. Warg, M. Wohlgenannt, R. Wulkenhaar, G. Zoupanos



On the following pages we have collected some useful information regarding your stay at the ESI. I your are interested in participating in ESI activities, look at our page about doing research at the ESI. If you are organizing a programme or workshop, please find further details under info for organizers.



discussions about thermal NCQFT at ESI, documented on ESI website

▶ A good working atmosphere is the basis for good research.

- A good working atmosphere is the basis for good research.
- Personal energy conservation does not exist: The more energy you invest, the more you get out (in the right circumstances)

- A good working atmosphere is the basis for good research.
- Personal energy conservation does not exist: The more energy you invest, the more you get out (in the right circumstances)
- A good model/example is worth at least as much as a general theory (in QFT, the examples are the hard part anyway)

- A good working atmosphere is the basis for good research.
- Personal energy conservation does not exist: The more energy you invest, the more you get out (in the right circumstances)
- A good model/example is worth at least as much as a general theory (in QFT, the examples are the hard part anyway)
- ▶ Integrable models, NCQFT

- A good working atmosphere is the basis for good research.
- Personal energy conservation does not exist: The more energy you invest, the more you get out (in the right circumstances)
- A good model/example is worth at least as much as a general theory (in QFT, the examples are the hard part anyway)
- Integrable models, NCQFT



Many thanks, and Happy Birthday, Harald!

Overview of talk

Describe QFT models that are build from two ingredients:

- Twist
- Localization

Overview of talk

Describe QFT models that are build from two ingredients:

- Twist
- Localization

Starting point: Hilbert space $\mathcal H$ over $\mathbb C$ and linear operator

 $T:\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}\rightarrow\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}.$

So far, **no** conditions on T.

Overview of talk

Describe QFT models that are build from two ingredients:

- Twist
- Localization

Starting point: Hilbert space $\mathcal H$ over $\mathbb C$ and linear operator

 $T:\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}\rightarrow\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}.$

So far, **no** conditions on T.

Aim: Build a Fock space and then quantum fields from T.

Twisted Fock spaces

▶ Define $T_k \coloneqq 1^{\otimes (k-1)} \otimes T \otimes 1^{\otimes (n-k-1)}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

Quantum symmetrizers (~ quantum groups)

 $P_T^{(n)} \coloneqq \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \varphi_{T,n}(\pi), \qquad P_T^{(3)} = 1 + T_1 + T_2 + T_1 T_2 + T_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2 T_1$ $P_T^{(n+1)} = (1 \otimes P_T^{(n)})(1 + T_1 + T_1 T_2 + \dots + T_1 \cdots T_n).$

Twisted Fock spaces

• Define $T_k \coloneqq 1^{\otimes (k-1)} \otimes T \otimes 1^{\otimes (n-k-1)}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

Quantum symmetrizers (~ quantum groups)

 $P_T^{(n)} \coloneqq \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \varphi_{T,n}(\pi), \qquad P_T^{(3)} = 1 + T_1 + T_2 + T_1 T_2 + T_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2 T_1$ $P_T^{(n+1)} = (1 \otimes P_T^{(n)})(1 + T_1 + T_1 T_2 + \dots + T_1 \cdots T_n).$

► *T*-twisted Fock space (Nichols algebra)

$$\mathcal{F}_T(\mathcal{H}) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} / \ker P_T^{(n)}$$

Twisted Fock spaces

• Define $T_k \coloneqq 1^{\otimes (k-1)} \otimes T \otimes 1^{\otimes (n-k-1)}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

Quantum symmetrizers (~ quantum groups)

 $P_T^{(n)} \coloneqq \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \varphi_{T,n}(\pi), \qquad P_T^{(3)} = 1 + T_1 + T_2 + T_1 T_2 + T_2 T_1 + T_1 T_2 T_1$ $P_T^{(n+1)} = (1 \otimes P_T^{(n)})(1 + T_1 + T_1 T_2 + \dots + T_1 \cdots T_n).$

T-twisted Fock space (Nichols algebra)

$$\mathcal{F}_T(\mathcal{H}) \coloneqq \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} / \ker P_T^{(n)}$$

Examples

- T = 0: $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{H}) =$ Boltzmann Fock space over \mathcal{H}
- T = F (tensor flip): $\mathcal{F}_F(\mathcal{H}) =$ Bose Fock space over \mathcal{H}
- T = -F: $\mathcal{F}_{-F}(\mathcal{H}) =$ Fermi Fock space over \mathcal{H}
- T = qF: $\mathcal{F}_{qF}(\mathcal{H}) = q$ -deformed Fock space over \mathcal{H}
- many more examples ...

Positivity

▶ Natural inner product on "*n*-particle space" $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}/\ker P_T^{(n)}$

 $\langle [\Psi], [\Phi] \rangle_{T,n} = \langle \Psi, P_T^{(n)} \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}.$

needs to be **positive** $\rightarrow P_T^{(n)} \ge 0$.

Positivity

▶ Natural inner product on "*n*-particle space" $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}/\ker P_T^{(n)}$

 $\langle [\Psi], [\Phi] \rangle_{T,n} = \langle \Psi, P_T^{(n)} \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}.$

needs to be **positive** $\rightarrow P_T^{(n)} \ge 0$.

Definition: T twist : $\Leftrightarrow P_T^{(n)} \ge 0$. Strict twist: also ker $P_T^{(n)} = \{0\}$.

▶ Twists are selfadjoint, $T = T^*$, because $P_T^{(2)} = 1 + T \ge 0$.

Positivity

▶ Natural inner product on "*n*-particle space" $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}/\ker P_T^{(n)}$

$$\langle [\Psi], [\Phi] \rangle_{T,n} = \langle \Psi, P_T^{(n)} \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}$$

needs to be **positive** $\rightarrow P_T^{(n)} \ge 0$.

Definition: T twist : $\Leftrightarrow P_T^{(n)} \ge 0$. Strict twist: also ker $P_T^{(n)} = \{0\}$.

- ▶ Twists are selfadjoint, $T = T^*$, because $P_T^{(2)} = 1 + T \ge 0$.
- Simple examples: $T = 0 \Rightarrow P_T^{(n)} = 1$, and $T = \pm F \Rightarrow P_T^{(n)} = (\text{anti-})$ symmetrization
- ▶ More examples ... ?





$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dp), \qquad (Tf)(p,q) = e^{ip \cdot \theta q} \cdot f(q,p),$$

with θ antisymmetric real matrix, is a unitary twist.



 $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dp), \qquad (Tf)(p,q) = e^{ip \cdot \theta q} \cdot e^{-p \cdot Ap} e^{-q \cdot Aq} \cdot f(q,p),$ with θ antisymmetric real matrix and $A \ge 0$, is a twist.

Theorem ([Jørgensen/Schmitt/Werner; Bożejko/Speicher])

Let $T = T^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}).$

- If $||T|| \le \frac{1}{2}$ or $T \ge 0$, then T is a strict twist.
- ② If T satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and ||T|| ≤ 1, then T is a twist. Strict for ||T|| < 1.</p>

Reminder: Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE)



Theorem ([Jørgensen/Schmitt/Werner; Bożejko/Speicher])

Let $T = T^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}).$

If $||T|| \le \frac{1}{2}$ or $T \ge 0$, then T is a strict twist.

② If T satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and ||T|| ≤ 1, then T is a twist. Strict for ||T|| < 1.</p>

Reminder: Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE)

$$\bigvee_{T_1T_2T_1 = T_2T_1T_2}$$

Many examples from R-matrices (integrable models), real selfconjugate objects in $C^{\ast}\text{-tensor}$ categories, ...

Theorem ([Jørgensen/Schmitt/Werner; Bożejko/Speicher])

Let $T = T^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}).$

If $||T|| \le \frac{1}{2}$ or $T \ge 0$, then T is a strict twist.

② If T satisfies the Yang-Baxter Equation and ||T|| ≤ 1, then T is a twist. Strict for ||T|| < 1.</p>

Reminder: Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE)

$$\bigvee_{T_1T_2T_1 = T_2T_1T_2}$$

Many examples from R-matrices (integrable models), real selfconjugate objects in $C^{\ast}\text{-tensor}$ categories, ...

From now on: \mathcal{H} Hilbert space, T arbitrary twist.

Field operators

For every one-particle vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, have

$$\begin{split} a_T^*(\xi) &: \mathcal{F}_T(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{F}_T(\mathcal{H}), \qquad [\Psi] \mapsto [\xi \otimes \Psi] \quad \text{creation operator} \\ a_T(\xi) &\coloneqq T\text{-adjoint of } a_T^*(\xi) \quad \text{annihilation operator} \\ \phi_T(\xi) &\coloneqq a_T^*(\xi) + a_T(\xi) \quad \text{field operator} \end{split}$$

Field operators

For every one-particle vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, have

$$\begin{split} a_T^*(\xi) &: \mathcal{F}_T(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{F}_T(\mathcal{H}), \qquad [\Psi] \mapsto [\xi \otimes \Psi] \qquad \text{creation operator} \\ a_T(\xi) &\coloneqq T\text{-adjoint of } a_T^*(\xi) \qquad \qquad \text{annihilation operator} \\ \phi_T(\xi) &\coloneqq a_T^*(\xi) + a_T(\xi) \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{field operator} \end{split}$$

these satisfy T-depdendent "twisted" commutation relations.

Field operators

For every one-particle vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, have

$$\begin{split} a_T^*(\xi) &: \mathcal{F}_T(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{F}_T(\mathcal{H}), \qquad [\Psi] \mapsto [\xi \otimes \Psi] \qquad \text{creation operator} \\ a_T(\xi) &\coloneqq T\text{-adjoint of } a_T^*(\xi) \qquad \qquad \text{annihilation operator} \\ \phi_T(\xi) &\coloneqq a_T^*(\xi) + a_T(\xi) \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{field operator} \end{split}$$

these satisfy $T\mbox{-depdendent}$ "twisted" commutation relations.

• The "*n*-point functions"

$$\langle \Omega, \phi_T(\xi_1) \cdots \phi_T(\xi_n) \Omega \rangle$$

can be expressed in diagrammatical form:







 $\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \qquad \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \cdot \langle \xi_3, \xi_4 \rangle \qquad \langle \xi_3 \otimes T(\xi_2 \otimes \xi_1), T(\xi_4 \otimes \xi_5) \otimes \xi_6 \rangle$

Example: Six-point function



Note that $\xi \mapsto \phi_T(\xi)$ is only *real* linear.

Given a real linear subspace $H \subset \mathcal{H}$, consider algebra generated by fields,

 $\mathcal{L}_T(H) \coloneqq \{ \text{Polynomials in } \phi_T(h), h \in H \}''.$

Note that $\xi \mapsto \phi_T(\xi)$ is only *real* linear. Given a real linear subspace $H \subset \mathcal{H}$, consider algebra generated by fields,

 $\mathcal{L}_T(H) \coloneqq \{ \text{Polynomials in } \phi_T(h), h \in H \}''.$

Desired Interpretation:

• $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ describes quantum fields in a theory with "interaction" T that are localized in a spacetime region encoded in H.

Note that $\xi \mapsto \phi_T(\xi)$ is only *real* linear.

Given a real linear subspace $H \subset \mathcal{H}$, consider algebra generated by fields,

 $\mathcal{L}_T(H) \coloneqq \{ \text{Polynomials in } \phi_T(h), h \in H \}''.$

Desired Interpretation:

- $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ describes quantum fields in a theory with "interaction" T that are localized in a spacetime region encoded in H.
- ► Extremely non-local example: T = 0, $H = \mathbb{R}$ -span{ONB}. → Then $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ =free group factor.

Note that $\xi \mapsto \phi_T(\xi)$ is only *real* linear.

Given a real linear subspace $H \subset \mathcal{H}$, consider algebra generated by fields,

 $\mathcal{L}_T(H) \coloneqq \{ \text{Polynomials in } \phi_T(h), h \in H \}''.$

Desired Interpretation:

- ▶ L_T(H) describes quantum fields in a theory with "interaction" T that are localized in a spacetime region encoded in H.
- ► Extremely non-local example: T = 0, $H = \mathbb{R}$ -span{ONB}. → Then $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ =free group factor.

▶ QFT example:
$$T = F$$
, $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^s, d\mu_m(p))$,
 $H = \{\tilde{f} : f \text{ real}, \text{ supp } f \in \mathcal{O}\}$
→ Then $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ =algebra of free Klein-Gordon field localized in \mathcal{O} .

Note that $\xi \mapsto \phi_T(\xi)$ is only *real* linear.

Given a real linear subspace $H \subset \mathcal{H}$, consider algebra generated by fields,

 $\mathcal{L}_T(H) \coloneqq \{ \text{Polynomials in } \phi_T(h), h \in H \}''.$

Desired Interpretation:

- ▶ L_T(H) describes quantum fields in a theory with "interaction" T that are localized in a spacetime region encoded in H.
- ► Extremely non-local example: T = 0, $H = \mathbb{R}$ -span{ONB}. → Then $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ =free group factor.

▶ QFT example:
$$T = F$$
, $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^s, d\mu_m(p))$,
 $H = \{\tilde{f} : f \text{ real}, \text{supp } f \in \mathcal{O}\}$
→ Then $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ =algebra of free Klein-Gordon field localized in \mathcal{O} .

Minimal requirement: $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ should comply with the Reeh-Schlieder Theorem: Want

- $\mathcal{L}_T(H)\Omega$ is a dense subspace (Ω cyclic), and
- $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ contains no vacuum annihilators (Ω separating)

Standard subspaces

We need to understand when Ω is cyclic and separating for $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$.

- For Ω cyclic ("large" algebra), need $H + iH \subset \mathcal{H}$ dense.
- For Ω separating ("small" algebra), need $H \cap iH = \{0\}$. (Otherwise $2a_T(h) = \phi_T(h) + i\phi_T(ih) \in \mathcal{L}_T(H)$)

Such closed real subspaces H are called **standard subspaces** (basis of modular theory).

Standard subspaces

We need to understand when Ω is cyclic and separating for $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$.

- For Ω cyclic ("large" algebra), need $H + iH \subset \mathcal{H}$ dense.
- For Ω separating ("small" algebra), need $H \cap iH = \{0\}$. (Otherwise $2a_T(h) = \phi_T(h) + i\phi_T(ih) \in \mathcal{L}_T(H)$)

Such closed real subspaces H are called **standard subspaces** (basis of modular theory).

Fact: Any standard subspace H ⊂ H defines an "internal dynamics" (unitary one-parameter group) (Δ^{it}_H)_{t∈ℝ} and a "conjugation" (antiunitary involution) J_H.

Standard subspaces

We need to understand when Ω is cyclic and separating for $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$.

- For Ω cyclic ("large" algebra), need $H + iH \subset \mathcal{H}$ dense.
- For Ω separating ("small" algebra), need $H \cap iH = \{0\}$. (Otherwise $2a_T(h) = \phi_T(h) + i\phi_T(ih) \in \mathcal{L}_T(H)$)

Such closed real subspaces H are called **standard subspaces** (basis of modular theory).

- Fact: Any standard subspace H ⊂ H defines an "internal dynamics" (unitary one-parameter group) (Δ^{it}_H)_{t∈ℝ} and a "conjugation" (antiunitary involution) J_H.
- H being standard does imply that Ω is cyclic for L_T(H), but does not imply that it is separating. Counterexample e.g. T = 1.

$$[T, \Delta_H^{it} \otimes \Delta_H^{it}] = 0.$$

$$[T, \Delta_H^{it} \otimes \Delta_H^{it}] = 0.$$

Theorem ([Correa da Silva / L 22])

 $H \subset \mathcal{H}$ standard subspace, T compatible twist. Then Ω is separating for $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ if and only if two conditions are satisfied:

- **1** T solves the **YBE**.
- **2** T is crossing symmetric w.r.t. H: for all $v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}$,

 $\langle v_1 \otimes v_2, T^*(\boldsymbol{w_1} \otimes \boldsymbol{w_2}) \rangle = \langle v_2 \otimes J_H \Delta_H^{-1/2} w_2, T(J_H \Delta_H^{1/2} v_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{w_1}) \rangle.$

 Crossing symmetry is a generalization of a property of scattering amplitudes in QFT (→ analytic S-matrix, conformal bootstrap ...)

$$[T, \Delta_H^{it} \otimes \Delta_H^{it}] = 0.$$

Theorem ([Correa da Silva / L 22])

 $H \subset \mathcal{H}$ standard subspace, T compatible twist. Then Ω is separating for $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ if and only if two conditions are satisfied:

- T solves the YBE.
- **2** T is crossing symmetric w.r.t. H: for all $v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}$,

 $\langle v_1 \otimes v_2, T^*(\boldsymbol{w_1} \otimes \boldsymbol{w_2}) \rangle = \langle v_2 \otimes J_H \Delta_H^{-1/2} w_2, T(J_H \Delta_H^{1/2} v_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{w_1}) \rangle.$

- Crossing symmetry is a generalization of a property of scattering amplitudes in QFT (→ analytic S-matrix, conformal bootstrap ...)
- YBE and crossing symmetry both come from physics and are usually taken as assumptions, but can here be derived from modular theory.

$$[T, \Delta_H^{it} \otimes \Delta_H^{it}] = 0.$$

Theorem ([Correa da Silva / L 22])

 $H \subset \mathcal{H}$ standard subspace, T compatible twist. Then Ω is separating for $\mathcal{L}_T(H)$ if and only if two conditions are satisfied:

- T solves the YBE.
- **2** T is crossing symmetric w.r.t. H: for all $v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}$,

 $\langle v_1 \otimes v_2, T^*(\boldsymbol{w_1} \otimes \boldsymbol{w_2}) \rangle = \langle v_2 \otimes J_H \Delta_H^{-1/2} w_2, T(J_H \Delta_H^{1/2} v_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{w_1}) \rangle.$

- Crossing symmetry is a generalization of a property of scattering amplitudes in QFT (→ analytic S-matrix, conformal bootstrap ...)
- YBE and crossing symmetry both come from physics and are usually taken as assumptions, but can here be derived from modular theory.
- ▶ In situation of theorem, have $\mathcal{L}_T(H)' = \mathcal{R}_T(H')$ (left-right duality).

In general, crossing symmetry is a subtle property involving analytic continuation of

$$t \longmapsto \langle v_1 \otimes \Delta_H^{it} v_2, T(\Delta_H^{it} w_1 \otimes w_2) \rangle,$$

to $\mathbb{R} \times i(0, \frac{1}{2})$.

In general, crossing symmetry is a subtle property involving analytic continuation of

$$t \longmapsto \langle v_1 \otimes \Delta_H^{it} v_2, T(\Delta_H^{it} w_1 \otimes w_2) \rangle,$$

to $\mathbb{R} \times i(0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Analytic continuation of diagrams



 In general, crossing symmetry is a subtle property involving analytic continuation of

$$t \longmapsto \langle v_1 \otimes \Delta_H^{it} v_2, T(\Delta_H^{it} w_1 \otimes w_2) \rangle,$$

to $\mathbb{R} \times i(0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Analytic continuation of diagrams



Leads to KMS (Gibbs type) property and then to separating vacuum

An NCQFT example, for simplicity in d = 1 + 1Take $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \frac{dp_1}{\sqrt{p_1^2 + m^2}})$ and $\hat{p} = (p_0, p_1)$ with $p_0 = \sqrt{p_1^2 + m^2}$, and twist

$$(Tf)(p_1,q_1) = e^{i\hat{p}\cdot\theta\hat{q}} \cdot f(q_1,p_1).$$

This twist satisfies the YBE and is crossing symmetric w.r.t. the standard subspace H (a Hardy space) with

 $(J_H f)(p_1) = \overline{f(p_1)}, \qquad (\Delta_H^{it} f)(p_1) = f(\Lambda_{-2\pi t} p_1)$ Lorentz boost

An NCQFT example, for simplicity in d = 1 + 1

Take
$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \frac{dp_1}{\sqrt{p_1^2 + m^2}})$$
 and $\hat{p} = (p_0, p_1)$ with $p_0 = \sqrt{p_1^2 + m^2}$, and twist
 $(Tf)(p_1, q_1) = e^{i\hat{p}\cdot\theta\hat{q}} \cdot f(q_1, p_1).$

This twist satisfies the YBE and is crossing symmetric w.r.t. the standard subspace ${\cal H}$ (a Hardy space) with

 $(J_H f)(p_1) = \overline{f(p_1)}, \qquad (\Delta_H^{it} f)(p_1) = f(\Lambda_{-2\pi t} p_1)$ Lorentz boost

- The field operators underlying this model can be understood as "free fields on Moyal spacetime" [Grosse/GL 07]
- It turns out that this is an interacting model that is solvable in the sense that its S-matrix (factorizing, elastic) can be computed:

$$S_2(\eta) = e^{i\kappa m^2 \sinh \eta}$$

An NCQFT example, for simplicity in d = 1 + 1

Take
$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \frac{dp_1}{\sqrt{p_1^2 + m^2}})$$
 and $\hat{p} = (p_0, p_1)$ with $p_0 = \sqrt{p_1^2 + m^2}$, and twist
 $(Tf)(p_1, q_1) = e^{i\hat{p}\cdot\theta\hat{q}} \cdot f(q_1, p_1).$

This twist satisfies the YBE and is crossing symmetric w.r.t. the standard subspace ${\cal H}$ (a Hardy space) with

 $(J_H f)(p_1) = \overline{f(p_1)}, \qquad (\Delta_H^{it} f)(p_1) = f(\Lambda_{-2\pi t} p_1)$ Lorentz boost

- The field operators underlying this model can be understood as "free fields on Moyal spacetime" [Grosse/GL 07]
- It turns out that this is an interacting model that is solvable in the sense that its S-matrix (factorizing, elastic) can be computed:

$$S_2(\eta) = e^{i\kappa m^2 \sinh \eta}$$

- The localization given by *H* is localization in a spacelike wedge. We expect no better localization in this model.
- In a chiral situation, this is proven [GL/Scotford 22].

More models

▶ For *unitary T*, many models are known. In case *T* is compatible with an irreducible scalar positive energy rep. of the 2d Poincaré group, all twists are classified [Correa da Silva, Giorgetti, GL 24], namely

$$(Tf)(\eta_1,\eta_2) = s(\eta_2 - \eta_1)f(\eta_2,\eta_1),$$

with a bounded analytic function s on the strip $\mathbb{R} imes i(0,\pi)$ with

$$s(\eta + i\pi) = \overline{s(\eta)} = s(-\eta).$$

The corresponding models exist as local QFTs under a regularity condition on s, and can be solved: Their S-matrix is factorizing and asymptotically complete, with two-particle S-matrix s.

More models

▶ For *unitary T*, many models are known. In case *T* is compatible with an irreducible scalar positive energy rep. of the 2d Poincaré group, all twists are classified [Correa da Silva, Giorgetti, GL 24], namely

$$(Tf)(\eta_1,\eta_2) = s(\eta_2 - \eta_1)f(\eta_2,\eta_1),$$

with a bounded analytic function s on the strip $\mathbb{R} \times i(0,\pi)$ with

$$s(\eta + i\pi) = \overline{s(\eta)} = s(-\eta).$$

The corresponding models exist as local QFTs under a regularity condition on s, and can be solved: Their S-matrix is factorizing and asymptotically complete, with two-particle S-matrix s.

▶ The models with ||T|| < 1 are expected to be more non-local (they are close to the free group factor at T = 0)

More models

▶ For *unitary T*, many models are known. In case *T* is compatible with an irreducible scalar positive energy rep. of the 2d Poincaré group, all twists are classified [Correa da Silva, Giorgetti, GL 24], namely

$$(Tf)(\eta_1,\eta_2) = s(\eta_2 - \eta_1)f(\eta_2,\eta_1),$$

with a bounded analytic function s on the strip $\mathbb{R} \times i(0,\pi)$ with

$$s(\eta + i\pi) = \overline{s(\eta)} = s(-\eta).$$

The corresponding models exist as local QFTs under a regularity condition on s, and can be solved: Their S-matrix is factorizing and asymptotically complete, with two-particle S-matrix s.

- ▶ The models with ||T|| < 1 are expected to be more non-local (they are close to the free group factor at T = 0)
- Approach not restricted to Minkowski space. → Models on deSitter space, the real line, the circle (CFT), higher dimensions ..

