

# Infinite algebras and intertwining networks for Calogero models

Olaf Lechtenfeld

Institut für Theoretische Physik and  
Riemann Center for Geometry and Physics  
Leibniz Universität Hannover

joint work with **F. Correa, L. Inzunza, I. Marquette, M. Plyushchay**

- Calogero invariants and their algebra
- A  $W_3$  algebra and a Casimir operator
- A Casimir operator
- Horizontal intertwiners and algebraic integrability
- Vertical intertwiners and a network
- Conclusions

arXiv: 1312.5749 2101.07274 2308.07390

## Calogero invariants and their algebra

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i p_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} \frac{\hbar^2 g(g-1)}{(x_i - x_j)^2}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad g \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i \quad \text{and} \quad X = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$$

$$[x_i, p_j] = \mathrm{i} \hbar \delta_{ij} \quad \Rightarrow \quad [X, P] = \mathrm{i} \hbar$$

$$\text{permutations} \quad s_{ij} = s_{ji}, \quad s_{ij}x_i = x_j s_{ij}, \quad s_{ij}p_i = p_j s_{ij}, \quad s_{ij}^2 = 1$$

$$\text{Dunkl operators} \quad \pi_i := p_i + \mathrm{i} \sum_{j(\neq i)} \frac{\hbar g}{x_i - x_j} s_{ij} \quad \Rightarrow \quad [\pi_i, \pi_j] = 0$$

$$\text{Liouville charges} \quad I_k \equiv B_{0,k} = \operatorname{res}\left(\sum_i \pi_i^k\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad [B_{0,k}, B_{0,\ell}] = 0$$

$$B_{0,1} \,=\, P\,, \quad \quad B_{0,2} \,=\, 2H\,, \quad \quad B_{0,3} \,=\, \sum_i p_i^3 \,+\, 3\sum_{i < j} \frac{\hbar^2 g(g-1)}{(x_i-x_j)^2}(p_i+p_j)$$

$$B_{1,1} \,=\, \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_i(x_ip_i + p_ix_i) \,=\,: D \qquad \text{and} \qquad B_{2,0} \,=\, \sum_i x_i^2 \,=\,: 2K$$

$$sl(2,\mathbb{R}): \qquad \tfrac{1}{\hbar}[D,H] \,=\, 2\mathfrak{i} H\,, \qquad \tfrac{1}{\hbar}[D,K] \,=\, -2\mathfrak{i} K\,, \qquad \tfrac{1}{\hbar}[K,H] \,=\, \mathfrak{i} D$$

$$B_{k,\ell} \, := \, \text{res}\Bigl(\sum_i \text{weyl}(x_i^k\pi_i^\ell)\Bigr) \qquad \qquad \text{with} \qquad \qquad \mathrm{e}^{\alpha x + \beta \pi} \, = \, \sum_{k,\ell=0}^\infty \tfrac{\alpha^k}{k!} \tfrac{\beta^\ell}{\ell!} \, \text{weyl}(x^k\pi^\ell)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} k+\ell =: \mathsf{level} & & & & & & & & \\[1mm] B_{0,0} & = N \, \mathbb{1} & & & & & & & \\[1mm] B_{1,0} & = N X & & & & & B_{0,1} & = P & \\[1mm] B_{2,0} & = 2K & & & B_{1,1} & = D & & & B_{0,2} & = 2H \\[1mm] B_{3,0} & & & B_{2,1} & & & B_{1,2} & & B_{0,3} \\[1mm] B_{4,0} & & & B_{3,1} & & & B_{2,2} & & B_{1,3} \\[1mm] \dots & & & \dots & & & \dots & & \dots \\[1mm] & & & & & & & & \dots \end{array}$$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [x_i, \pi_j] = \begin{cases} 1 + \hbar g \sum_{k(\neq i)} s_{ik} & \text{for } i = j \\ -\hbar g s_{ij} & \text{for } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad \text{and others commute}$$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [B_{k,\ell}, B_{m,n}] = (kn - \ell m) B_{k+m-1, \ell+n-1} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hbar^{2r} c_{k\ell mn}^{2r+1} B_{k+m-1-2r, \ell+n-1-2r}$$

$$c_{k\ell mn}^{2r+1} = \sum_{s=0}^{2r+1} (-)^{r+s} \frac{(k)_{2r+1-s} (\ell)_s (m)_s (n)_{2r+1-s}}{2^{2r} s! (2r+1-s)!}, \quad (x)_q = x(x-1) \cdots (x-q+1)$$

$$k+m = \ell+n = 2r+1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hbar^{2r} (c_{k\ell mn}^{2r+1} B_{0,0} + P_r(g(g-1))) \quad \text{deformation}$$

dependence on  $g$  only in central term and symmetric under  $g \leftrightarrow 1-g$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [B_{1,0}, B_{m,n}] = n B_{m,n-1}, \quad \frac{1}{i\hbar} [B_{0,1}, B_{m,n}] = -m B_{m-1,n}$$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [B_{2,0}, B_{m,n}] = 2n B_{m+1,n-1}, \quad \frac{1}{i\hbar} [B_{1,1}, B_{m,n}] = (n-m) B_{m,n}, \quad \frac{1}{i\hbar} [B_{0,2}, B_{m,n}] = -2m B_{m-1,n+1}$$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [B_{k+1,1}, B_{m+1,1}] = (k-m) B_{k+m+1,1}, \quad \frac{1}{i\hbar} [B_{1,\ell+1}, B_{1,n+1}] = (n-\ell) B_{1,\ell+n+1}$$

only  $N$  functionally indep't symmetric polynomials in  $N$  commuting variables  $\pi_i \Rightarrow$   
higher Liouville charges  $B_{0,k>N}$  depend on  $N$  indep't ones  $\{B_{0,1}, B_{0,2}, \dots, B_{0,N}\}$

maximal superintegrability:  $\exists N-1$  additional indep't (non-Liouville) charges

version 1, independent for  $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$ :

$$\tilde{B}_{1,\ell} := B_{1,\ell} - t B_{0,\ell+1} \implies \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{B}_{1,\ell} = \frac{1}{2i\hbar} [\tilde{B}_{1,\ell}, B_{0,2}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{B}_{1,\ell} = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

version 2:

$${}_k L_\ell := B_{0,k} B_{1,\ell-1} + B_{1,\ell-1} B_{0,k} - B_{0,\ell} B_{1,k-1} - B_{1,k-1} B_{0,\ell} \implies \frac{d}{dt} ({}_k L_\ell) = 0$$

independent example set for  $k=2$ :  $F_\ell := {}_2 L_\ell$  for  $\ell=1, \dots, N$  but  $F_2 \equiv 0$

adjoint action of  $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$  Casimir  $\mathcal{C}_2$  generates the  $F_\ell$ :  $[\mathcal{C}_2, I_2] = 0$

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(B_{2,0} B_{0,2} + B_{0,2} B_{2,0}) - B_{1,1}^2 \implies \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathcal{C}_2, I_\ell] = \ell F_\ell$$

additional charges  $F_\ell$  not in involution but obey a polynomial algebra of order  $2N-1$

$$\boxed{\text{A } W_3 \text{ algebra}}$$

$$N{=}2:\quad B_{1,0}\,,\quad B_{0,1}\,;\quad\; B_{2,0}\,,\quad B_{1,1}\,,\quad B_{0,2}$$

$$B'_{2,0}:=B_{2,0}-\tfrac{1}{2}B_{1,0}B_{1,0}\,,\; B'_{1,1}:=B_{1,1}-\tfrac{1}{4}\{B_{1,0},B_{0,1}\}\,,\; B'_{0,2}:=B_{0,2}-\tfrac{1}{2}B_{0,1}B_{0,1}$$

$$\{B'_{k,\ell}\} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \quad \quad \text{and} \quad \quad [B_{1,0},B'_{k,\ell}] \, = \, 0 \, = \, [B_{0,1},B'_{k,\ell}] \quad \quad \text{for} \quad k{+}\ell = 2$$

$$W_2=W'_2\oplus W_1=\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})'\oplus \textsf{Heisenberg}$$

$$\mathcal{C}'_2 \, = \, 2\{K',H'\} - D'^2 \, = \, \tfrac{1}{2}\{B'_{2,0},B'_{0,2}\} - {B'}^2_{1,1} \stackrel{p_i \mapsto \frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_i}{=} \hbar^2 g(g{-}1) \geq -\tfrac{1}{4}\hbar^2$$

$$N{=}3:\quad B_{1,0}\,,\quad B_{0,1}\,;\quad\; B_{2,0}\,,\quad B_{1,1}\,,\quad B_{0,2}\,;\quad\; B_{3,0}\,,\quad B_{2,1}\,,\quad B_{1,2}\,,\quad B_{0,3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{3,0} \> = \> \sum_i x_i^3 \>, \> \> B_{2,1} \> = \> \sum_i \mathsf{weyl}\big(x_i^2 p_i\big) \> = \> \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_i \big(x_i^2 p_i + p_i x_i^2\big) \> = \> \sum_i x_i p_i x_i \\[1ex] B_{1,2} \> = \> \sum_i \mathsf{weyl}\big(x_i \, p_i^2\big) + \sum_{i < j} \frac{\hbar^2 \textcolor{violet}{g}(g{-}1)}{(x_i{-}x_j)^2} (x_i{+}x_j) \>, \> \> B_{0,3} \> = \> \sum_i p_i^3 \> + \> 3 \sum_{i < j} \frac{\hbar^2 \textcolor{violet}{g}(g{-}1)}{(x_i{-}x_j)^2} (p_i{+}p_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{U}(W_3) : \quad \text{Weyl}\left(A_1 A_2 \cdots A_q\right) := \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_q} A_{\sigma(1)} A_{\sigma(2)} \cdots A_{\sigma(q)} \quad \text{for } A_s \in \{B_{k,\ell}\}$$

notation  $B_{k,\ell} =: (k\ell)$  and  $\text{Weyl}(B_{k,\ell} B_{m,n} \dots B_{s,t}) =: (k\ell | mn | \dots | st)$

$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[B_{k,\ell}, B_{m,n}]$	(30)	(21)	(12)	(03)
(30)	0	3(40)	6(31)	$9(22) - \frac{3}{2}\hbar^2(00)$ $+ 9\hbar^2 g(g-1)$
(21)	-3(40)	0	$3(22) + \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2(00)$ $- 3\hbar^2 g(g-1)$	6(13)
(12)	-6(31)	$-3(22) - \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2(00)$ $+ 3\hbar^2 g(g-1)$	0	3(04)
(03)	$-9(22) + \frac{3}{2}\hbar^2(00)$ $- 9\hbar^2 g(g-1)$	-6(13)	-3(04)	0

dependent observables:

$$(40) = \frac{4}{3}(30|10) + \frac{1}{2}(20|20) - (20|10|10) + \frac{1}{6}(10|10|10|10)$$

$$(31) = \frac{1}{3}(30|01) + (21|10) + \frac{1}{2}(20|11) - \frac{1}{2}(20|10|01) - \frac{1}{2}(11|10|10) + \frac{1}{6}(10|10|10|01)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (22) = & \frac{2}{3}(21|01) + \frac{1}{6}(20|02) + \frac{2}{3}(12|10) + \frac{1}{3}(11|11) - \frac{1}{6}(20|01|01) \\ & - \frac{2}{3}(11|10|01) - \frac{1}{6}(10|10|02) + \frac{1}{6}(10|10|01|01) \end{aligned}$$

$$(13) = (12|01) + \frac{1}{2}(11|02) + \frac{1}{3}(10|03) - \frac{1}{2}(11|01|01) - \frac{1}{2}(10|02|01) + \frac{1}{6}(10|01|01|01)$$

$$(04) = \frac{4}{3}(03|01) + \frac{1}{2}(02|02) - (02|01|01) + \frac{1}{6}(01|01|01|01)$$

center-of-mass decoupling:  $W_3 = W'_3 \oplus \text{Heisenberg}$

$$B'_{2,0} \equiv (20)' = (20) - \frac{1}{3}(10|10)$$

$$B'_{1,1} \equiv (11)' = (11) - \frac{1}{3}(10|01)$$

$$B'_{0,2} \equiv (02)' = (02) - \frac{1}{3}(01|01)$$

$$B'_{3,0} \equiv (30)' = (30) - (20|10) + \frac{2}{9}(10|10|10)$$

$$B'_{2,1} \equiv (21)' = (21) - \frac{1}{3}(20|01) - \frac{2}{3}(11|10) + \frac{2}{9}(10|10|01)$$

$$B'_{1,2} \equiv (12)' = (12) - \frac{2}{3}(11|01) - \frac{1}{3}(10|02) + \frac{2}{9}(10|01|01)$$

$$B'_{0,3} \equiv (03)' = (03) - (02|01) + \frac{2}{9}(01|01|01)$$

nested Weyl ordering:

$$(a|(b|c)) = (a|b|c) + \frac{1}{12} \{ [[a, b], c] + [[a, c], b] \}$$

$$(a|b|(c|d)) = (a|b|c|d) + \frac{1}{12} \{ (a|[b, c], d) + (a|[b, d], c) + ([a, c]|[b, d]) + (a \leftrightarrow b) \}$$

$$(a|(b|c|d)) = (a|b|c|d) + \frac{1}{12} \{ (b|[a, c], d) + (b|[a, d], c) + \text{cyclic in } (b, c, d) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((a|b)|(c|d)) &= (a|b|c|d) + \frac{1}{12} \{ (a|[b, c], d) + (a|[b, d], c) + (b|[a, c], d) + (b|[a, d], c) + (b|[a, d], c) + (b|[a, d], c) \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \{ ([a, c]|[b, d]) + ([a, d]|[b, c]) \} \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[B'_{k,\ell}, B'_{m,n}]$	(30)'	(21)'	(12)'	(03)'
(30)'	0	$\frac{1}{2}(20 20)'$	$(20 11)'$	$-\frac{3}{2}(20 02)' + 3(11 11)' + \hbar^2[9g(g-1) - 4]$
(21)'	$-\frac{1}{2}(20 20)'$	0	$\frac{5}{6}(20 02)' - \frac{1}{3}(11 11)' - \hbar^2[3g(g-1) - \frac{4}{3}]$	$(11 02)'$
(12)'	$-(20 11)'$	$-\frac{5}{6}(20 02)' + \frac{1}{3}(11 11)' + \hbar^2[3g(g-1) - \frac{4}{3}]$	0	$\frac{1}{2}(02 02)'$
(03)'	$\frac{3}{2}(20 02)' - 3(11 11)' - \hbar^2[9g(g-1) - 4]$	$-(11 02)'$	$-\frac{1}{2}(02 02)'$	0

in  $sl(2, \mathbb{R})'$  covariant notation:

$$(20)' = : \sqrt{8} J_{-1} , \quad (11)' = : 2 J_0 , \quad (02)' = : \sqrt{8} J_{+1}$$

$$(30)' = : 2 K_{-3/2} , \quad (21)' = : \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} K_{-1/2} , \quad (12)' = : \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} K_{+1/2} , \quad (03)' = : 2 K_{+3/2}$$

spin-1 and spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  representations of  $sl(2, \mathbb{R})'$ :

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[J_i, J_k] = f_{ik}^\ell J_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{i\hbar}[J_i, K_\alpha] = f_{i\alpha}^\beta K_\beta$$

antisymmetric coupling of two spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  representations:

$$[K, K] \sim J J + \text{central} : \left[ \frac{3}{2} \otimes \frac{3}{2} \right]_{\text{A}} = 2 \oplus 0 = \left[ 1 \otimes 1 \right]_{\text{S}}$$

singlet  $\mathbf{0} = sl(2, \mathbb{R})'$  Casimir:

$$\mathcal{C}'_2 = (20|02)' - (11|11)' = 8(J_{+1}|J_{-1}) - 4(J_0|J_0)$$

$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[K_\alpha, K_\beta]$ :

	$K_{3/2}$	$K_{1/2}$	$K_{-1/2}$	$K_{-3/2}$
$K_{3/2}$	0	$-\sqrt{3}(J_{+1} J_{+1})$	$-\sqrt{6}(J_{+1} J_0)$	$-(J_{+1} J_{-1}) - (J_0 J_0)$ $-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}'_2 - \hbar^2 C$
$K_{1/2}$	$\sqrt{3}(J_{+1} J_{+1})$	0	$-(J_{+1} J_{-1}) - (J_0 J_0)$ $+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}'_2 + \hbar^2 C$	$-\sqrt{6}(J_0 J_{-1})$
$K_{-1/2}$	$\sqrt{6}(J_{+1} J_0)$	$(J_{+1} J_{-1}) + (J_0 J_0)$ $-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}'_2 - \hbar^2 C$	0	$-\sqrt{3}(J_{-1} J_{-1})$
$K_{-3/2}$	$(J_{+1} J_{-1}) + (J_0 J_0)$ $+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}'_2 + \hbar^2 C$	$\sqrt{6}(J_0 J_{-1})$	$\sqrt{3}(J_{-1} J_{-1})$	0

with central term  $C = \frac{9}{4}g(g-1) - 1$

nontrivial nonlinear commutator:

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[K_\alpha, K_\beta] = f_{\alpha\beta}^{ik}(J_i|J_k) + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}'_2 + \hbar^2[\frac{9}{4}g(g-1)-1]\right)$$

## A Casimir operator

N=3: 9 generators but dim(phase space)=6  $\Rightarrow$  expect three Casimir operators

classical ansatz:  $\mathcal{C}_6^{\text{class}} = \alpha T'_{66}^6 + \beta T'_{66}^5 + \gamma T'_{66}^4$  with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$

$$T'_{66}^6 = (20|20|20|02|02|02)' - 3(20|20|11|11|02|02)' + 3(20|11|11|11|11|02)' \\ - (11|11|11|11|11|11)'$$

$$T'_{66}^5 = (30|30|02|02|02)' - 6(30|21|11|02|02)' + 6(30|20|12|02|02)' - 6(30|20|11|03|02)' \\ + 4(30|11|11|11|03)' - 3(21|21|20|02|02)' + 12(21|21|11|11|02)' + 6(21|20|20|03|02)' \\ - 6(21|20|12|11|02)' - 12(21|12|11|11|11)' + (20|20|20|03|03)' - 3(20|20|12|12|02)' \\ - 6(20|20|12|11|03)' + 12(20|12|12|11|11)'$$

$$T'_{66}^4 = (30|30|03|03)' - 6(30|21|12|03)' + 4(30|12|12|12)' + 4(21|21|21|03)' \\ - 3(21|21|12|12)'$$

$$[T'_{66}^s, (20)'] = [T'_{66}^s, (11)'] = [T'_{66}^s, (02)'] = 0 \quad \text{for } s = 6, 5, 4 \quad \checkmark$$

$$[\mathcal{C}_6^{\text{class}}, (30)'] = [\mathcal{C}_6^{\text{class}}, (21)'] = [\mathcal{C}_6^{\text{class}}, (12)'] = [\mathcal{C}_6^{\text{class}}, (03)'] \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$[T'_{66}^6, (30)'] \rightarrow T'_{85}^6, [T'_{66}^5, (30)'] \xrightarrow{\hbar=0} T'_{85}^6 \& T'_{85}^5, [T'_{66}^4, (30)'] \xrightarrow{\hbar=0} T'_{85}^5$$

classical solution:  $\mathcal{C}_6^{\text{class}} = 6T_{66}'^6 + 9T_{66}'^5 - 54T_{66}'^4$

turn on  $\hbar$ :  $[T_{66}'^5, (30)'] \rightarrow T_{85}'^6 \& T_{85}'^5 \& \hbar^2 T_{63}'^4 \& \hbar^4 T_{41}'^2$   
 $[T_{66}'^4, (30)'] \rightarrow T_{85}'^5 \& \hbar^2 T_{63}'^3 \& \hbar^4 T_{41}'^2$

quantum ansatz :  $\mathcal{C}_6^{\text{quant}} = \mathcal{C}_6^{\text{class}} + \hbar^2(\delta T_{44}'^4 + \epsilon T_{44}'^3) + \hbar^4 \zeta T_{22}'^2 \quad \text{with } \delta, \epsilon, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$T_{44}'^4 = (20|20|02|02)' - 2(20|11|11|02)' + (11|11|11|11)'$$

$$T_{44}'^3 = (30|12|02)' - (30|11|03)' - (21|21|02)' + (21|20|03)' + (21|12|11)' - (20|12|12)'$$

$$T_{22}'^2 = (20|02)' - (11|11)'$$

$$\hbar^2[T_{44}'^4, (30)'] \rightarrow \hbar^2 T_{63}'^4$$

$$\hbar^2[T_{44}'^3, (30)'] \rightarrow \hbar^2 T_{63}'^4 \& \hbar^2 T_{63}'^3 \& \hbar^4 T_{41}'^2$$

$$\hbar^4[T_{22}'^2, (30)'] \rightarrow \hbar^4 T_{41}'^2$$

highly overdetermined system!

quantum solution:

$$(\delta, \epsilon, \zeta) = (207 - 108\lambda, 648 - 324\lambda, 709 - 1656\lambda + 486\lambda^2), \quad \lambda \equiv g(g-1)$$

the lowest quantum  $W'_3$  Casimir in one formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_6^{\text{quant}} = & \\
& 6 \{ (20|20|20|02|02|02)' - 3 (20|20|11|11|02|02)' + 3 (20|11|11|11|11|02)' - (11|11|11|11|11|11)' \} \\
& + 9 \{ (30|30|02|02|02)' - 6 (30|21|11|02|02)' + 6 (30|20|12|02|02)' - 6 (30|20|11|03|02)' \\
& \quad + 4 (30|11|11|11|03)' - 3 (21|21|20|02|02)' + 12 (21|21|11|11|02)' + 6 (21|20|20|03|02)' \\
& \quad - 6 (21|20|12|11|02)' - 12 (21|12|11|11|11)' + (20|20|20|03|03)' - 3 (20|20|12|12|02)' \\
& \quad - 6 (20|20|12|11|03)' + 12 (20|12|12|11|11)' \} \\
& - 54 \{ (30|30|03|03)' - 6 (30|21|12|03)' + 4 (30|12|12|12)' + 4 (21|21|21|03)' - 3 (21|21|12|12)' \} \\
& + 9(23-12\lambda)\hbar^2 \{ (20|20|02|02)' - 2 (20|11|11|02)' + (11|11|11|11)' \} \\
& + 324(2-\lambda)\hbar^2 \{ (30|12|02)' - (30|11|03)' - (21|21|02)' + (21|20|03)' + (21|12|11)' - (20|12|12)' \} \\
& + (709-1656\lambda+486\lambda^2)\hbar^4 \{ (20|02)' - (11|11)' \}
\end{aligned}$$

its value in the Calogero realization:

$$p_i \mapsto \frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad C_6^{\text{quant}} \mapsto (144 + 216\lambda - 1215\lambda^2)\hbar^6$$

putting back the center-of-mass degree of freedom (10) and (01)  $\Rightarrow$

massive Weyl re-ordering required  $\Rightarrow$

lowest quantum  $W_3$  Casimir:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_6^{\text{quant}} = & \ 3T_{66}^9 - 3T_{66}^8 + 9T_{66}^7 - 3T_{66}^6 + 9T_{66}^5 - 54T_{66}^4 \\ & - \frac{9}{2}\hbar^2 T_{44}^6 + 27\hbar^2 T_{44}^5 - \frac{9}{2}\hbar^2 T_{44}^4 + 54\hbar^2 T_{44}^3 \\ & - \frac{27}{8}\hbar^4 T_{22}^3 + \frac{81}{8}\hbar^4 T_{22}^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{66}^9 = & (20|20|20|01|01|01|01|01|01) - 6(20|20|11|10|01|01|01|01|01) + 3(20|20|10|10|02|01|01|01|01) \\
& + 12(20|11|11|10|10|01|01|01|01) - 12(20|11|10|10|10|02|01|01|01) + 3(20|10|10|10|10|02|02|01|01) \\
& - 8(11|11|11|10|10|10|01|01|01) + 12(11|11|10|10|10|10|02|01|01) - 6(11|10|10|10|10|10|02|02|01) \\
& + (10|10|10|10|10|02|02|02)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{66}^8 = & (30|30|01|01|01|01|01|01|01) - 6(30|21|10|01|01|01|01|01|01) - 6(30|20|11|01|01|01|01|01|01) \\
& + 6(30|20|10|02|01|01|01|01) + 6(30|12|10|10|01|01|01|01|01) + 12(30|11|11|10|01|01|01|01|01) \\
& - 18(30|11|10|10|02|01|01|01) - 2(30|10|10|10|03|01|01|01) + 6(30|10|10|10|02|02|01|01) \\
& + 9(21|21|10|01|01|01|01|01) + 6(21|20|20|01|01|01|01|01) - 6(21|20|11|10|01|01|01|01) \\
& - 6(21|20|10|10|02|01|01|01) - 18(21|12|10|10|01|01|01) - 12(21|11|11|10|10|01|01|01) \\
& + 30(21|11|10|10|10|02|01|01) + 6(21|10|10|10|10|03|01|01) - 12(21|10|10|10|10|02|02|01) \\
& + 6(20|20|20|02|01|01|01|01) - 12(20|20|12|10|01|01|01|01) - 6(20|20|11|11|01|01|01|01) \\
& - 24(20|20|11|10|02|01|01|01) + 6(20|20|10|10|03|01|01|01) + 12(20|20|10|10|02|02|01|01) \\
& + 30(20|12|11|10|10|01|01|01) - 6(20|12|10|10|10|02|01|01) + 24(20|11|11|11|10|01|01|01) \\
& + 12(20|11|11|10|10|02|01|01) - 18(20|11|10|10|10|03|01|01) - 24(20|11|10|10|10|02|02|01) \\
& + 6(20|10|10|10|10|03|02|01) + 6(20|10|10|10|10|02|02|02) + 9(12|12|10|10|10|10|01|01|01) \\
& - 12(12|11|11|10|10|01|01) - 6(12|11|10|10|10|02|01) - 6(12|10|10|10|10|10|03|01) \\
& + 6(12|10|10|10|10|02|02) - 24(11|11|11|11|10|10|01|01) + 24(11|11|11|10|10|10|02|01) \\
& + 12(11|11|10|10|10|10|03|01) - 6(11|11|10|10|10|10|02|02) - 6(11|10|10|10|10|10|03|02) \\
& + (10|10|10|10|10|10|03|03)
\end{aligned}$$

$$T_{66}^7 =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 3(30|30|02|01|01|01|01) - 6(30|21|11|01|01|01|01) - 12(30|21|10|02|01|01|01) - 2(30|20|12|01|01|01|01) \\ & - 10(30|20|11|02|01|01|01) + 2(30|20|10|03|01|01|01) + 10(30|20|10|02|02|01|01) + 16(30|12|11|10|01|01|01) \\ & + 4(30|12|10|10|02|01|01) + 8(30|11|11|11|01|01|01) - 4(30|11|11|10|02|01|01) - 10(30|11|10|10|03|01|01) \\ & - 6(30|11|10|10|02|02|01) + 2(30|10|10|10|03|02|01) + 2(30|10|10|10|02|02|02) + 5(21|21|20|01|01|01|01) \\ & + 8(21|21|11|10|01|01|01) + 14(21|21|10|10|02|01|01) + 10(21|20|20|02|01|01|01) - 14(21|20|12|10|01|01|01) \\ & - 4(21|20|11|11|01|01|01) - 2(21|20|11|10|02|01|01) + 4(21|20|10|10|03|01|01) - 14(21|20|10|10|02|02|01) \\ & - 26(21|12|11|10|10|01|01) - 14(21|12|10|10|10|02|01) - 16(21|11|11|11|10|01|01) + 32(21|11|11|10|10|02|01) \\ & + 16(21|11|10|10|10|03|01) - 6(21|11|10|10|10|02|02) - 2(21|10|10|10|10|03|02) + 2(20|20|20|03|01|01|01) \\ & + 2(20|20|20|02|02|01|01) - 6(20|20|12|11|01|01|01) - 14(20|20|12|10|02|01|01) - 4(20|20|11|11|02|01|01) \\ & - 6(20|20|11|10|03|01|01) - 4(20|20|11|10|02|02|01) + 10(20|20|10|10|03|02|01) + 2(20|20|10|10|02|02|02) \\ & + 14(20|12|12|10|10|01|01) + 32(20|12|11|11|10|01|01) - 2(20|12|11|10|10|02|01) - 12(20|12|10|10|10|03|01) \\ & + 10(20|12|10|10|10|02|02) + 2(20|11|11|11|11|01|01) + 8(20|11|11|11|10|02|01) - 4(20|11|11|10|10|03|01) \\ & - 4(20|11|11|10|10|02|02) - 10(20|11|10|10|10|03|02) + 3(20|10|10|10|10|03|03) + 8(12|12|11|10|10|10|01) \\ & + 5(12|12|10|10|10|10|02) - 16(12|11|11|11|10|10|01) - 4(12|11|11|10|10|10|02) - 6(12|11|10|10|10|10|03) \\ & - 4(11|11|11|11|11|10|01) + 8(11|11|11|10|10|10|03) + 2(11|11|11|11|10|10|02) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{66}^6 = & 8(30|30|03|01|01|01) + 21(30|30|02|02|01|01) - 24(30|21|12|01|01|01) - 84(30|21|11|02|01|01) \\
& - 24(30|21|10|03|01|01) - 42(30|21|10|02|02|01) - 24(30|20|12|02|01|01) - 30(30|20|11|03|01|01) \\
& - 12(30|20|11|02|02|01) + 54(30|20|10|03|02|01) + 12(30|20|10|02|02|02) + 48(30|12|12|10|01|01) \\
& + 96(30|12|11|11|01|01) + 24(30|12|11|10|02|01) - 24(30|12|10|10|03|01) + 30(30|12|10|10|02|02) \\
& + 12(30|11|11|11|02|01) - 36(30|11|11|10|03|01) - 12(30|11|11|10|02|02) - 30(30|11|10|10|03|02) \\
& + 8(30|10|10|10|03|03) + 16(21|21|21|01|01|01) + 66(21|21|20|02|01|01) - 24(21|21|12|10|01|01) \\
& - 12(21|21|11|11|01|01) + 144(21|21|11|10|02|01) + 48(21|21|10|10|03|01) - 9(21|21|10|10|02|02) \\
& + 30(21|20|20|03|01|01) + 12(21|20|20|02|02|01) - 54(21|20|12|11|01|01) - 138(21|20|12|10|02|01) \\
& + 12(21|20|11|11|02|01) + 24(21|20|11|10|03|01) - 36(21|20|11|10|02|02) - 24(21|20|10|10|03|02) \\
& - 24(21|12|12|10|10|01) - 132(21|12|11|11|10|01) - 54(21|12|11|10|10|02) - 24(21|12|10|10|10|03) \\
& - 24(21|11|11|11|11|01) + 36(21|11|11|11|10|02) + 96(21|11|11|10|10|03) + 12(20|20|20|03|02|01) \\
& - 2(20|20|20|02|02|02) - 9(20|20|12|12|01|01) - 36(20|20|12|11|02|01) - 42(20|20|12|10|03|01) \\
& + 12(20|20|12|10|02|02) - 12(20|20|11|11|03|01) + 6(20|20|11|11|02|02) - 12(20|20|11|10|03|02) \\
& + 21(20|20|10|10|03|03) + 144(20|12|12|11|10|01) + 66(20|12|12|10|10|02) + 36(20|12|11|11|11|01) \\
& + 12(20|12|11|11|10|02) - 84(20|12|11|10|10|03) - 6(20|11|11|11|11|02) + 12(20|11|11|11|10|03) \\
& + 16(12|12|12|10|10|10) - 12(12|12|11|11|10|10) - 24(12|11|11|11|11|10) + 2(11|11|11|11|11|11)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{66}^5 = & 12(30|30|03|02|01) + (30|30|02|02|02) - 36(30|21|12|02|01) - 24(30|21|11|03|01) \\
& - 6(30|21|11|02|02) - 12(30|21|10|03|02) - 12(30|20|12|03|01) + 6(30|20|12|02|02) \\
& - 6(30|20|11|03|02) + 12(30|20|10|03|03) + 48(30|12|12|11|01) + 24(30|12|12|10|02) \\
& - 24(30|12|11|10|03) + 4(30|11|11|11|03) + 24(21|21|21|02|01) + 24(21|21|20|03|01) \\
& - 3(21|21|20|02|02) - 24(21|21|12|11|01) - 12(21|21|12|10|02) + 48(21|21|11|10|03) \\
& + 12(21|21|11|11|02) + 6(21|20|20|03|02) - 12(21|20|12|12|01) - 36(21|20|12|10|03) \\
& - 6(21|20|12|11|02) - 24(21|12|12|11|10) - 12(21|12|11|11|11) + (20|20|20|03|03) \\
& - 3(20|20|12|12|02) - 6(20|20|12|11|03) + 24(20|12|12|12|10) + 12(20|12|12|11|11)
\end{aligned}$$

$$T_{66}^4 = (30|30|03|03) - 6(30|21|12|03) + 4(30|12|12|12) + 4(21|21|21|03) - 3(21|21|12|12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{44}^6 = & (20|20|01|01|01|01) - 4(20|11|10|01|01|01) + 2(20|10|10|02|01|01) \\ & + 4(11|11|10|10|01|01) - 4(11|10|10|10|02|01) + (10|10|10|10|02|02) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{44}^5 = & (20|20|02|01|01) - (20|11|11|01|01) - 2(20|11|10|02|01) + (20|10|10|02|02) \\ & + 2(11|11|11|10|01) - (11|11|10|10|02) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{44}^4 = & 4(17-6\lambda)(30|12|01|01) - 4(17-6\lambda)(30|11|02|01) - 4(17-6\lambda)(30|10|03|01) \\ & + 4(17-6\lambda)(30|10|02|02) - 4(17-6\lambda)(21|21|01|01) + 4(17-6\lambda)(21|20|02|01) \\ & + 4(17-6\lambda)(21|12|10|01) + 8(17-6\lambda)(21|11|11|01) - 12(17-6\lambda)(21|11|10|02) \\ & + 4(17-6\lambda)(21|10|10|03) + 4(17-6\lambda)(20|20|03|01) - (59-24\lambda)(20|20|02|02) \\ & - 12(17-6\lambda)(20|12|11|01) + 4(17-6\lambda)(20|12|10|02) + 2(59-24\lambda)(20|11|11|02) \\ & - 4(17-6\lambda)(20|11|10|03) - 4(17-6\lambda)(12|12|10|10) + 8(17-6\lambda)(12|11|11|10) \\ & - (59-24\lambda)(11|11|11|11) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{44}^3 = & (17-6\lambda)(30|12|02) - (17-6\lambda)(30|11|03) - (17-6\lambda)(21|21|02) \\ & + (17-6\lambda)(21|20|03) + (17-6\lambda)(21|12|11) - (17-6\lambda)(20|12|12) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{22}^3 = & (177 - 16\lambda(13-3\lambda))(20|01|01) - 2(177 - 16\lambda(13-3\lambda))(11|10|01) \\ & + (177 - 16\lambda(13-3\lambda))(10|10|02) \end{aligned}$$

$$T_{22}^2 = (177 - 16\lambda(13-3\lambda))(20|02) - (177 - 16\lambda(13-3\lambda))(11|11)$$

## Horizontal intertwiners and algebraic integrability

Heckman (1991) constructed intertwiners  $\textcolor{blue}{g} \leftrightarrow g+1$  via Dunkl operators:

$$M(\textcolor{blue}{g}) I_k(g) = I_k(g+1) M(g) \quad \text{for} \quad M(\textcolor{blue}{g}) = \text{res} \left( \prod_{i < j} (\pi_i - \pi_j)(\textcolor{blue}{g}) \right)$$

$$M(\textcolor{blue}{g})^* I_k(g+1) = I_k(g) M(g)^* \quad \text{for} \quad M(\textcolor{blue}{g})^* = \text{res} \left( \prod_{i < j} (\pi_i - \pi_j)(-g) \right)$$

$$M(\textcolor{red}{1}-g) I_k(g) = I_k(g-\textcolor{red}{1}) M(\textcolor{red}{1}-g) \quad \Leftarrow \quad M(\textcolor{blue}{g})^* = M(-g)$$

immediate consequence:

$$[M(\textcolor{blue}{g})^* M(\textcolor{blue}{g}), I_k(\textcolor{blue}{g})] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [M(\textcolor{blue}{g}) M(\textcolor{blue}{g})^*, I_k(g+1)] = 0$$

new conserved charge? no, because it is a polynomial in the Liouville charges:

$$M(\textcolor{blue}{g})^* M(\textcolor{blue}{g}) = M(-g) M(-g)^* =: \mathcal{R}(I(\textcolor{blue}{g}))$$

coefficients of polynomial  $\mathcal{R}(I)$  do not depend on  $g$   $\rightarrow$  evaluate for  $g=0$ :

$$\mathcal{R}(I(0)) = M(0)^* M(0) = \prod_{i < j} (p_i - p_j)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & p_1 & \dots & p_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & p_2 & \dots & p_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & p_n & \dots & p_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}^2 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ p_1 & p_2 & \dots & p_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_1^{n-1} & p_2^{n-1} & \dots & p_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & p_1 & \dots & p_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & p_2 & \dots & p_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & p_n & \dots & p_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \left| \left( \sum_k p_k^{i+j-2} \right)_{ij} \right| = \det(I_{i+j-2}(0))_{ij} \quad \text{hence:}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(I(g)) = \det(I_{i+j-2}(g))_{ij}$$

$$n=2 : \quad \mathcal{R}(I) = -I_1^2 + 2I_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
n=3 : \quad \mathcal{R}(I) &= -I_1^2 I_4 + 2I_1 I_2 I_3 - I_2^3 + 3I_2 I_4 - 3I_3^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{6} (-I_1^6 + 9I_1^4 I_2 - 8I_1^3 I_3 - 21I_1^2 I_2^2 + 36I_1 I_2 I_3 + 3I_2^3 - 18I_3^2)
\end{aligned}$$

so far,  $g \in \mathbb{R}$  generic; but  $g \in \mathbb{N}$  admits intertwiner with free theory ( $g=1$ ):

$$\mathbb{M}(g) = M(g-1)M(g-2)\cdots M(2)M(1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{M}(g)I_k(1) = I_k(g)\mathbb{M}(g)$$

$$\mathbb{M}(g)^* = M(-1)M(-2)\cdots M(2-g)M(1-g) \quad \text{is conjugate intertwiner}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{M}(g)\mathbb{M}(g)^* = (\mathcal{R}(g))^{g-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{M}(g)^*\mathbb{M}(g) = (\mathcal{R}(g+1))^{g-1}$$

Darboux dressing of some free  $G(1)$  with  $[G(1), I_k(1)] = 0$  for some  $k$ :

$$G(g) = \mathbb{M}(g)G(1)\mathbb{M}(g)^* \quad \Rightarrow \quad [G(g), I_k(g)] = 0$$

consistent with involution of Liouville charges:

$$\mathbb{M}(g)I_k(1)\mathbb{M}(g)^* = (\mathcal{R}(g))^{g-1}I_k(g)$$

large choice of ‘naked’  $G(1)$ : any polynomial in  $\{p_i\}$  with constant coefficients  
 identical particles    $\rightarrow$    observables totally (anti)symmetric under  $s_{ij}$   
 totally symmetric    $\rightarrow$    Liouville integrals;   totally antisymmetric    $\rightarrow$    simplest is

$$G(1) = M(0) = \prod_{i < j} (p_i - p_j)$$

Darboux dressing:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(g) &= \mathbb{M}(g) M(0) \mathbb{M}(g)^* \\ &= M(g-1) M(g-2) \cdots M(1) M(0) M(-1) \cdots M(2-g) M(1-g) \end{aligned}$$

builds a chain relating  $I_k(g) = I_k(1-g)$  back to  $I_k(g)$ :

$$Q(g) I_k(1-g) = I_k(g) Q(g) \quad \Rightarrow \quad [Q(g), I_k(g)] = 0$$

a conserved charge of order  $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)(2g-1)$  algebraically independent of  $\{I_k, F_\ell\}$

seeming other option  $g \in \mathbb{N} + \frac{1}{2}$  fails:

$$M(g-1) \cdots M(\frac{3}{2}) M(\frac{1}{2}) M(-\frac{1}{2}) M(-\frac{3}{2}) \cdots M(1-g) = (\mathcal{R}(g))^{g-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$H(\textcolor{blue}{0}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{M(0)} H(\textcolor{blue}{1}) \xrightarrow{M(1)} H(\textcolor{blue}{2}) \xrightarrow{M(2)} \dots H(\textcolor{blue}{g}) \xrightarrow{M(g)} H(g+1) \xrightarrow{M(g+1)} \dots$$

$$H(\textcolor{blue}{1}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}(g)} H(\textcolor{blue}{g})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H(1-g) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}(\textcolor{blue}{g})^*} & H(\textcolor{blue}{0}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{M(\textcolor{blue}{0})} & H(\textcolor{blue}{1}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}(\textcolor{blue}{g})} & H(\textcolor{blue}{g}) \\ \| & & & & & & \| \\ H(\textcolor{blue}{g}) & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & \xrightarrow{Q(\textcolor{blue}{g})} & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & H(\textcolor{blue}{g}) \end{array}$$

check the square of the new Liouville charge:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(g)^2 &= M(g-1) \cdots M(3-g) M(2-g) \underbrace{M(1-g) M(g-1)}_{\mathcal{R}(g-1)} M(g-2) \cdots M(1-g) \\
&= M(g-1) \cdots M(3-g) M(2-g) \mathcal{R}(g-1) M(g-2) M(g-3) \cdots M(1-g) \\
&= M(g-1) \cdots M(3-g) \underbrace{M(2-g) M(g-2)}_{\mathcal{R}(g-2)} \mathcal{R}(g-2) M(g-3) \cdots M(1-g) \\
&= M(g-1) \cdots M(3-g) (\mathcal{R}(g-2))^2 M(g-3) \cdots M(1-g) \\
&\vdots \\
&= M(g-1) M(1-g) (\mathcal{R}(1-g))^{2g-2} = (\mathcal{R}(1-g))^{2g-1} = (\mathcal{R}(g))^{2g-1}
\end{aligned}$$

again a polynomial in the Liouville integrals, so formally  $Q = \mathcal{R}^{g-\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $g \in \mathbb{N}$

nonlinear ( $\mathbb{Z}_2$  graded) algebra of  $2n$  conserved charges:

$$\begin{aligned}
[I_k, I_\ell] &= 0 & [I_k, F_\ell] &= \mathcal{A}_{k,\ell}(I) & [F_k, F_\ell] &= \mathcal{B}_{k,\ell}(I, F) \\
[Q, I_\ell] &= 0 & [Q, F_\ell] &= (2g-1) Q \mathcal{C}_\ell(I) & Q^2 &= (\mathcal{R}(I))^{2g-1}
\end{aligned}$$

with some definite polynomials  $\mathcal{A}_{k,\ell}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{k,\ell}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  and  $\mathcal{R}$

example: three particles ( $N=3$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
M(g) &= \text{res}\left(\pi_{12}(g)\pi_{23}(g)\pi_{31}(g)\right) & \Delta &= x_{12}x_{23}x_{31} \\
&= \Delta^g \left( p_{12}p_{23}p_{31} - \frac{\text{i}g}{x_{12}}p_{12}^2 - \frac{\text{i}g}{x_{23}}p_{23}^2 - \frac{\text{i}g}{x_{31}}p_{31}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2g}{x_{12}^2}p_{12} + \frac{2g}{x_{23}^2}p_{23} + \frac{2g}{x_{31}^2}p_{31} \right) \Delta^{-g} \\
&= p_{12}p_{23}p_{31} + \frac{2\text{i}g}{x_{12}}p_{23}p_{31} + \frac{2\text{i}g}{x_{23}}p_{31}p_{12} + \frac{2\text{i}g}{x_{31}}p_{12}p_{23} \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{g(g-1)}{x_{31}^2} - \frac{4g^2}{x_{12}x_{23}} \right)p_{31} + \left( \frac{g(g-1)}{x_{12}^2} - \frac{4g^2}{x_{23}x_{31}} \right)p_{12} + \left( \frac{g(g-1)}{x_{23}^2} - \frac{4g^2}{x_{31}x_{12}} \right)p_{23} \\
&\quad - \frac{6\text{i}g^2(g+1)}{x_{12}x_{23}x_{31}} + 2\text{i}g(g-1)(g+2) \left( \frac{1}{x_{12}^3} + \frac{1}{x_{23}^3} + \frac{1}{x_{31}^3} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$M^*M \equiv \mathcal{R} = -3I_3^2 + 6I_3I_2I_1 - \frac{4}{3}I_3I_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}I_2^3 - \frac{7}{2}I_2^2I_1^2 + \frac{3}{2}I_2I_1^4 - \frac{1}{6}I_1^6$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(g=2) = & \frac{1}{6} p_{12}^3 p_{23}^3 p_{31}^3 \\
& + \frac{3}{x_{12}^2} (p_{12}^3 p_{23}^2 p_{31}^2 + 2 p_{12} p_{23}^3 p_{31}^3) \\
& + \frac{12i}{x_{12}^3} (p_{12}^2 p_{23}^3 p_{31} + p_{23}^3 p_{31}^3 + 4 p_{12}^2 p_{23}^2 p_{31}^2) \\
& - \left( \frac{12}{x_{12}^4} - \frac{24}{x_{12}^2 x_{31}^2} \right) p_{12}^3 p_{23}^2 + \left( \frac{264}{x_{23}^4} - \frac{180}{x_{12}^4} - \frac{168}{x_{12}^2 x_{23}^2} \right) p_{12} p_{23}^2 p_{31}^2 \\
& + i \left( \frac{1440}{x_{12}^5} - \frac{720}{x_{12})^3 x_{31}^2} - \frac{720}{x_{12})^2 x_{31}^3} \right) p_{12}^3 p_{23} \\
& + i \left( \frac{1080}{x_{12}^5} - \frac{360}{x_{31}^5} - \frac{360}{x_{12}^3 x_{31}^2} - \frac{1080}{x_{12}^2 x_{31}^3} \right) p_{12}^2 p_{23}^2 \\
& + \left( \frac{4200}{x_{12}^6} + \frac{3360}{x_{23}^6} - \frac{1920}{x_{12}^3 x_{23}^3} + \frac{1200}{x_{23}^3 x_{31}^3} + \frac{2880}{x_{12}^2 x_{31}^4} \right) p_{12}^3 \\
& - \frac{4320}{x_{12}^2 x_{31}^4} p_{12}^2 p_{23} - \frac{5760}{x_{12}^2 x_{31}^4} p_{12} p_{23} p_{31} \\
& + i \left( \frac{25200}{x_{12}^7} - \frac{10080}{x_{23}^7} - \frac{7200}{x_{12}^5 x_{23}^2} - \frac{5760}{x_{12}^4 x_{23}^3} + \frac{10080}{x_{12}^3 x_{23}^4} - \frac{1440}{x_{12}^2 x_{23}^5} \right) p_{12}^2 \\
& - \left( \frac{90720}{x_{12}^8} + \frac{198720}{x_{12}^7 x_{23}} - \frac{129600}{x_{12}^6 x_{23}^2} + \frac{34560}{x_{12}^5 x_{23}^3} - \frac{17280}{x_{12}^3 x_{23}^5} \right) p_{12} \\
& - i \left( \frac{181440}{x_{12}^9} + \frac{60480}{x_{12}^7 x_{23} x_{31}} \right) + \text{all permutations in (123)}
\end{aligned}$$

## Vertical intertwiners and a network

Chalykh, Feigin, Veselov (1998) generalize quantum integrable Calogero model:

$$H_N^{+1}(g) := H_N(g) + \frac{1}{2} p_{N+1}^2$$

$$\widetilde{H}_{N+1}(g) := H_N(g) + \frac{1}{2} p_{N+1}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\hbar^2 g}{(x_i - \sqrt{g-1} x_{N+1})^2}$$

$\widetilde{H}_{N+1}(g)$  algebraically integrable since  $\exists \widetilde{W}$  with  $\widetilde{W}(g) H_N^{+1}(g) = \widetilde{H}_{N+1}(g) \widetilde{W}(g)$

but trivializes in the classical limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  with  $\hbar g \rightarrow \gamma$

we have  $\widetilde{H}_{N+1}(2) = H_{N+1}(2)$  hence  $\widetilde{W}(2) H_N^{+1}(2) = H_{N+1}(2) \widetilde{W}(2)$

this suggests existence of “vertical intertwiner”  $W_N(g)$  such that

$$W_N(g) H_N^{+1}(g) = H_{N+1}(g) W_N(g) \quad \forall g \in \mathbb{N}$$

where  $W_N(1) = \mathbb{1}$  and  $W_N(2) = \widetilde{W}(2)$

together with horizontal intertwiners  $M_N(g)$   $H_N(g) = H_N(g+1)$   $M_N(g)$  have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H_N^{+1}(1) \dots & \xrightarrow{M_N(g-1)} & H_N^{+1}(g) & \xrightarrow{M_N(g)} & H_N^{+1}(g+1) & \xrightarrow{M_N(g+1)} & \dots \\
 \| & & \downarrow W_N(g) & & \downarrow W_N(g+1) & & \\
 H_{N+1}(1) \dots & \xrightarrow{M_{N+1}(g-1)} & H_{N+1}(g) & \xrightarrow{M_{N+1}(g)} & H_{N+1}(g+1) & \xrightarrow{M_{N+1}(g+1)} & \dots \\
 & & & & & & \\
 H_{N+1}^{+1}(1) \dots & \xrightarrow{M_{N+1}(g-1)} & H_{N+1}^{+1}(g) & \xrightarrow{M_{N+1}(g)} & H_{N+1}^{+1}(g+1) & \xrightarrow{M_{N+1}(g+1)} & \dots \\
 \| & & \downarrow W_{N+1}(g) & & \downarrow W_{N+1}(g+1) & & \\
 H_{N+2}(1) \dots & \xrightarrow{M_{N+2}(g-1)} & H_{N+2}(g) & \xrightarrow{M_{N+2}(g)} & H_{N+2}(g+1) & \xrightarrow{M_{N+2}(g+1)} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

hence a recursion  $W_N(g+1) M_N(g) = M_{N+1}(g) W_N(g)$

$\Rightarrow W_N(g) = \prod_{i=1}^N (p_i - p_{N+1})^{g-1} + O(g)$  is an operator of order  $N(g-1)$

proof of existence or construction of the vertical intertwiner is a factorization problem:

either recursively

$$M_{N+1}(g) \ W_N(g) = W_N(g+1) \ M_N(g)$$

or directly from

$$\mathbb{M}_{N+1}(g) \equiv \mathbb{M}_{N+1}(g) \ W_N(1) = W_N(g) \ \mathbb{M}_N(g)$$

examples:

$$W_2(2) = p_{13} p_{23} + \frac{2i}{x_{13}} p_{23} + \frac{2i}{x_{23}} p_{13} - \frac{4}{x_{13} x_{23}} - \frac{2}{x_{12}^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(3) = & p_{13}^2 p_{23}^2 + \frac{6}{x_{13}} i p_{13} p_{23}^2 + \frac{6}{x_{23}} i p_{13}^2 p_{23} \\ & - \frac{12}{x_{13}^2} p_{23}^2 - \frac{12}{x_{23}^2} p_{13}^2 - \left( \frac{36}{x_{23} x_{13}} + \frac{12}{x_{12}^2} \right) p_{13} p_{23} \\ & - \left( \frac{72}{x_{13}^2 x_{23}} + \frac{36}{x_{12}^2 x_{13}} + \frac{12}{x_{12}^3} \right) i p_{23} - \left( \frac{72}{x_{23}^2 x_{13}} + \frac{36}{x_{12}^2 x_{23}} - \frac{12}{x_{12}^3} \right) i p_{13} \\ & + \frac{144}{x_{12}^2} \left( \frac{1}{x_{13}^2} + \frac{1}{x_{23}^2} - \frac{1}{x_{13} x_{23}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

have computed also  $W_2(4)$  and  $W_2(5)$  and proved the existence of  $W_2(g \leq 7)$

## Conclusions

- the rational Calogero model for  $N$  unrestricted realizes a  $W_{1+\infty}$  algebra
- for particle number  $N$  fixed it becomes a nonlinear dynamical  $W_N$  algebra
- conserved charges = commutant of  $H$  in  $\mathcal{U}(W_N)$ , is easily characterized
- smallest  $W_3$  Casimir is of order 9 in 9 generators and of order 12 in  $(x_i, p_j)$
- classical limit also via solving a system of PDEs (using Poisson brackets)
- horizontal intertwiners relate  $H_N(g)$  to  $H_N(g+1)$   $\Rightarrow$  isospectrality
- extra ‘odd’ conserved charge for integral coupling  $\Rightarrow$  algebraic integrability
- new vertical intertwiners couple an additional particle  $\Rightarrow H_N(g)$  to  $H_{N+1}(g)$
- a network relates all  $H_N(g \in \mathbb{N})$  to free particles  $\Rightarrow$  extend to cons’d charges?

**THANK YOU FOR  
YOUR ATTENTION !**



HAPPY  
BIRTHDAY  
HARALD !

## Some history

- 1923 **Burchnall & Chaundy:**  
odd-order ordinary differential operators commuting with 1d Hamiltonian
- 1978 **Krichever:**  
their existence is tied to algebro-geometric, or ‘finite-gap’, nature of Hamiltonian
- 1989 **Dunkl:**  
commuting operators combining partial differentials and Coxeter reflections
- 1990 **Chalykh & Veselov:**  
“commutative rings of partial differential operators and Lie algebras”  
1st examples of 2d finite-gap Hamiltonians, construction of intertwiners for  $g=2$
- 1991 **Heckman:**  
uses Dunkl operators to construct intertwiners for any multiplicity  $g-1$
- 1990s **Berest, Chalykh, Etingof, M. Feigin, Ginzburg, Styrkas, Veselov:**  
extension to higher dimension  $N-1$  and multiplicity  $g-1$ , in particular:  
construction of Baker-Akhiezer functions, explicit formulæ for add'l charges,  
including via Darboux dressing with intertwiners (only for  $N=3$ ,  $g=2$ )