

Discussion on the talk:
“Revisiting the Okubo–Marshak Argument”
by José Gracia-Bondía

Paweł Duch
Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań

Higher Structures Emerging from Renormalisation
Vienna, November 16, 2021

- ▶ A new approach to construct QFT models describing higher spin particles.
- ▶ String localized fields. Principle of string independence.
- ▶ Application to Standard Model (QCD and Electroweak sector).
- ▶ No CP violating terms in QCD: solution of the strong CP problem.

Relativistic perturbative QFT

Relativistic QFT \equiv QFT in Minkowski space

- ▶ Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,
- ▶ Poincaré covariance,
- ▶ pointlike localization $[\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\phi}(y)] = 0$ if x and y spatially separated,
- ▶ ...

Perturbative approach

Basic object \equiv \mathbb{S} -matrix (formal power series in the coupling constant g):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S} &= T \exp \left(i g \int \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x) dx \right) \\ &= 1 + i g \int \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x) dx - \frac{g^2}{2} \int T(\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x_1), \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x_2)) dx_1 dx_2 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Spin ≥ 1 particles problematic!

Top-down approach (*gauge principle*)

Key steps:

1. classical action functional (renormalizability, gauge invariance),
2. quantization procedure (BRST technique, unphysical fields, auxiliary space),
3. physical Hilbert space (cohomological construction).

Top-down approach (*gauge principle*)

Key steps:

1. classical action functional (renormalizability, gauge invariance),
2. quantization procedure (BRST technique, unphysical fields, auxiliary space),
3. physical Hilbert space (cohomological construction).

Example: QCD, gauge group $SU(3)$.

Classical action functional: $S[A_\mu] := \int \text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}(x)F^{\mu\nu}(x)) dx$

(extrema \equiv classical solutions of equations of motion).

- ▶ $A_\mu(x)$ – gauge potential valued in $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ (Lie algebra).
- ▶ $F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu A_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu A_\mu(x) + g[A_\mu(x), A_\nu(x)]$ – interacting field tensor,

Top-down approach (*gauge principle*)

Key steps:

1. classical action functional (renormalizability, gauge invariance),
2. quantization procedure (BRST technique, unphysical fields, auxiliary space),
3. physical Hilbert space (cohomological construction).

Example: QCD, gauge group $SU(3)$.

Classical action functional: $S[A_\mu] := \int \text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}(x)F^{\mu\nu}(x)) dx$

(extrema \equiv classical solutions of equations of motion).

- ▶ $A_\mu(x)$ – gauge potential valued in $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ (Lie algebra).
- ▶ $F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu A_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu A_\mu(x) + g[A_\mu(x), A_\nu(x)]$ – interacting field tensor,
- ▶ $S[A_\mu] =$ (quadratic) + g (cubic: $\partial A[A, A]$) + g^2 (quartic: $[A, A][A, A]$).

Top-down approach (*gauge principle*)

Key steps:

1. classical action functional (renormalizability, gauge invariance),
2. quantization procedure (BRST technique, unphysical fields, auxiliary space),
3. physical Hilbert space (cohomological construction).

Example: QCD, gauge group $SU(3)$.

Classical action functional: $S[A_\mu] := \int \text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}(x)F^{\mu\nu}(x)) dx$

(extrema \equiv classical solutions of equations of motion).

- ▶ $A_\mu(x)$ – gauge potential valued in $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ (Lie algebra).
- ▶ $F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu A_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu A_\mu(x) + g[A_\mu(x), A_\nu(x)]$ – interacting field tensor,
- ▶ $S[A_\mu] =$ (quadratic) + g (cubic: $\partial A[A, A]$) + g^2 (quartic: $[A, A][A, A]$).
- ▶ Gauge invariance $\Rightarrow S[A_\mu] = S[A'_\mu]$, where
 $A'_\mu(x) = U^{-1}(x)A_\mu(x)U(x) + U(x)^{-1}\partial_\mu U(x)$ and $U : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow SU(3)$.

Top-down approach (*gauge principle*)

Key steps:

1. classical action functional (renormalizability, gauge invariance),
2. quantization procedure (BRST technique, unphysical fields, auxiliary space),
3. physical Hilbert space (cohomological construction).

Example: QCD, gauge group $SU(3)$.

Classical action functional: $S[A_\mu] := \int \text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}(x)F^{\mu\nu}(x)) dx$
(extrema \equiv classical solutions of equations of motion).

- ▶ $A_\mu(x)$ – gauge potential valued in $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ (Lie algebra).
- ▶ $F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu A_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu A_\mu(x) + g[A_\mu(x), A_\nu(x)]$ – interacting field tensor,
- ▶ $S[A_\mu] = (\text{quadratic}) + g (\text{cubic: } \partial A[A, A]) + g^2 (\text{quartic: } [A, A][A, A])$.
- ▶ Gauge invariance $\Rightarrow S[A_\mu] = S[A'_\mu]$, where
 $A'_\mu(x) = U^{-1}(x)A_\mu(x)U(x) + U(x)^{-1}\partial_\mu U(x)$ and $U : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow SU(3)$.

Quantization (problematic because of gauge symmetry):

- ▶ $S_{\text{mod}}[A_\mu, B_\mu, C, \bar{C}] = S[A_\mu] + S_{\text{gf}}[A_\mu, B_\mu] + S_{\text{gh}}[C, \bar{C}, A_\mu]$.
- ▶ Observables: $[\mathcal{O}, Q_{\text{BRST}}] = 0$, physical Hilbert space: $\frac{\ker Q_{\text{BRST}}}{\text{Ran } Q_{\text{BRST}}}$.

Bottom-up approach (*string independence*)

Key steps:

1. observable particles \Rightarrow physical Hilbert space and free quantum fields,
2. interaction terms (polynomials in the free fields respecting global symmetries, string independence and renormalizability).

Bottom-up approach (*string independence*)

Key steps:

1. observable particles \Rightarrow physical Hilbert space and free quantum fields,
2. interaction terms (polynomials in the free fields respecting global symmetries, string independence and renormalizability).

Massless free field describing spin 1 particle:

- ▶ $F_{\mu\nu}(x)$ - anti-symmetric tensor satisfying the Maxwell equation.
- ▶ Bad UV properties.
- ▶ $A_\mu(x, e) = \int_0^\infty F_{\mu\nu}(x + \tau e) e^\nu d\tau$, e - spatial four-vector (direction of string).
- ▶ Better UV properties but slightly worse localization.

Bottom-up approach (*string independence*)

Key steps:

1. observable particles \Rightarrow physical Hilbert space and free quantum fields,
2. interaction terms (polynomials in the free fields respecting global symmetries, string independence and renormalizability).

Massless free field describing spin 1 particle:

- ▶ $F_{\mu\nu}(x)$ - anti-symmetric tensor satisfying the Maxwell equation.
- ▶ Bad UV properties.
- ▶ $A_\mu(x, e) = \int_0^\infty F_{\mu\nu}(x + \tau e) e^\nu d\tau$, e - spatial four-vector (direction of string).
- ▶ Better UV properties but slightly worse localization.

Example: QCD

Interaction terms: polynomials in $A_{a\mu}(x, e)$, $a \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$.

- ▶ $d_e \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x, e) = (\text{total derivative}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x, e)$ at first order in g coincides with $\sum_{a,b,c} f_{abc} A_{a\mu} A_{b\nu} \partial^\mu A_c^\nu$ and f_{abc} completely anti-symmetric,

Bottom-up approach (*string independence*)

Key steps:

1. observable particles \Rightarrow physical Hilbert space and free quantum fields,
2. interaction terms (polynomials in the free fields respecting global symmetries, string independence and renormalizability).

Massless free field describing spin 1 particle:

- ▶ $F_{\mu\nu}(x)$ - anti-symmetric tensor satisfying the Maxwell equation.
- ▶ Bad UV properties.
- ▶ $A_\mu(x, e) = \int_0^\infty F_{\mu\nu}(x + \tau e) e^\nu d\tau$, e - spatial four-vector (direction of string).
- ▶ Better UV properties but slightly worse localization.

Example: QCD

Interaction terms: polynomials in $A_{a\mu}(x, e)$, $a \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$.

- ▶ $d_e \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x, e) = (\text{total derivative}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x, e)$ at first order in g coincides with $\sum_{a,b,c} f_{abc} A_{a\mu} A_{b\nu} \partial^\mu A_c^\nu$ and f_{abc} completely anti-symmetric,
- ▶ $d_{e_1} \text{T}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x_1, e_1), \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x_2, e_2)) = (\text{total derivative}) \Rightarrow f_{abc}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity [$\Rightarrow f_{abc}$ structure constant of compact Lie algebra] and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(x, e)$ contains second order term.

Comparison

Top-down approach (standard, gauge principle):

- ▶ unphysical degrees of freedom (obscure physical interpretation),
- ▶ very general, proof of perturbative renormalizability to all orders.

Bottom-up approach (new, string independence):

- ▶ only physical degrees of freedom,
- ▶ simplification of computations at tree level,
- ▶ no complete proof of renormalizability to all orders.

Questions

- ▶ Can one derive all couplings of the SM using the bottom-up approach?
- ▶ New physics: spin 2 particles, quantum gravity?