ESI Workshop 2024 Carrollian Physics and Holography

The Carroll particles in Two Times

Federica Muscolino Email: federica.muscolino@gmail.com



About this project

"Looking for Carroll particles in two time spacetime"

Alexander Kamenshchik and Federica Muscolino Phys.Rev.D 109 (2024) 2, 025005 About this project

"Looking for Carroll particles in two time spacetime" Alexander Kamenshchik and Federica Muscolino

Phys.Rev.D 109 (2024) 2, 025005

We explore the possibility of describing the Carrollian dynamics in the framework of the Two Time physics.

Itzhak Bars, "Two-time physics", (1998).

During this presentation, we are going to touch the following points:



During this presentation, we are going to touch the following points:

What is the two time physics?



During this presentation, we are going to touch the following points:

- What is the two time physics?
- How can we describe the Carroll particles in this contest?



During this presentation, we are going to touch the following points:

- What is the two time physics?
- How can we describe the Carroll particles in this contest?
- Why is this interesting?



The two time physics is a model with an **additional time-like** dimension and an **additional space-like** dimension.

The two time physics is a model with an **additional time-like** dimension and an **additional space-like** dimension.

The additional dimensions are not added by hands.

The two time physics is a model with an **additional time-like** dimension and an **additional space-like** dimension.

The additional dimensions are not added by hands.

It is a gauge theory of the phase space

The two time physics is a model with an **additional time-like** dimension and an **additional space-like** dimension.

The additional dimensions are not added by hands.

 It is a gauge theory of the phase space

Gauging of $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$

 (X^{M}, P_{M}) \downarrow $\begin{pmatrix} X^{\prime M} \\ P_{M}^{\prime} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} X^{M} \\ P_{M} \end{pmatrix}$

The two time physics is a model with an **additional time-like** dimension and an **additional space-like** dimension.

The additional dimensions are not added by hands.

- It is a gauge theory of the phase space
- Gauging of $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$

 Forces the introduction of a new coordinate (X^{M}, P_{M}) \downarrow $\begin{pmatrix} X'^{M} \\ P'_{M} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} X^{M} \\ P_{M} \end{pmatrix}$

The two time physics is a model with an **additional time-like** dimension and an **additional space-like** dimension.

The additional dimensions are not added by hands.

- It is a gauge theory of the phase space
- Gauging of $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$
- Forces the introduction of a new coordinate
- The one time spacetime is obtained through a gauge fixing

 (X^{M}, P_{M}) \downarrow $\begin{pmatrix} X'^{M} \\ P'_{M} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} X^{M} \\ P_{M} \end{pmatrix}$

Different one-time theories are the same in two times

Different one-time theories are the same in two times



Different one-time theories are the same in two times



Dual theories \downarrow They are separated by an $Sp(2, \mathbb{R})$ transformation.

The phase-space coordinates

The phase-space coordinates

$$X^{M} = \left(X^{0^{\prime}}, X^{1^{\prime}}, X^{\mu}\right) \qquad P^{M} = \left(P^{0^{\prime}}, P^{1^{\prime}}, P^{\mu}\right),$$

The phase-space coordinates

$$\begin{split} X^{M} &= \left(X^{0'}, X^{1'}, X^{\mu}\right) \qquad \mathcal{P}^{M} = \left(\mathcal{P}^{0'}, \mathcal{P}^{1'}, \mathcal{P}^{\mu}\right), \\ X^{M}_{i} &= \left(X^{M}, \mathcal{P}^{M}\right). \end{split}$$

The phase-space coordinates

$$\begin{split} X^{M} &= \left(X^{0'}, X^{1'}, X^{\mu}\right) \qquad \mathcal{P}^{M} = \left(\mathcal{P}^{0'}, \mathcal{P}^{1'}, \mathcal{P}^{\mu}\right), \\ X^{M}_{i} &= \left(X^{M}, \mathcal{P}^{M}\right). \end{split}$$

 $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$ global transformations

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk} X_k^M.$$

The phase-space coordinates

$$\begin{split} X^{M} &= \left(X^{0'}, X^{1'}, X^{\mu} \right) \qquad P^{M} = \left(P^{0'}, P^{1'}, P^{\mu} \right), \\ X^{M}_{i} &= \left(X^{M}, P^{M} \right). \end{split}$$

 $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$ global transformations

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk} X_k^M.$$

The worldline action

$$S=rac{1}{2}\int d au \;\epsilon^{ij}\eta_{MN}\;\partial_ au X^M_i X^N_j.$$

The phase-space coordinates

$$\begin{split} X^{M} &= \left(X^{0'}, X^{1'}, X^{\mu}\right) \qquad \mathcal{P}^{M} = \left(\mathcal{P}^{0'}, \mathcal{P}^{1'}, \mathcal{P}^{\mu}\right), \\ X^{M}_{i} &= \left(X^{M}, \mathcal{P}^{M}\right). \end{split}$$

 $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$ global transformations

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk} X_k^M.$$

The worldline action

$$S = rac{1}{2} \int d au \ \epsilon^{ij} \eta_{MN} \ \partial_{ au} X^M_i X^N_j, \qquad \eta_{MN} = {\sf Diag}(-1,1,-1,1,\ldots,1).$$

 $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$ local transformations

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk}(\tau) X_k^M.$$

 $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$ local transformations

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk}(\tau) X_k^M.$$

The covariant derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} &\to D_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} = \partial_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} - \epsilon_{ij} A^{jk}(\tau) X_{k}^{M}, \\ \delta_{\omega} A^{ij}(\tau) &= \partial_{\tau} \omega^{ij} + \omega^{ik} \epsilon_{kl} A^{lj} + \omega^{jk} \epsilon_{kl} A^{li}. \end{aligned}$$

 $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$ local transformations

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk}(\tau) X_k^M.$$

The covariant derivative

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} &\to D_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} = \partial_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} - \epsilon_{ij} A^{jk}(\tau) X_{k}^{M}, \\ \delta_{\omega} A^{ij}(\tau) &= \partial_{\tau} \omega^{ij} + \omega^{ik} \epsilon_{kl} A^{lj} + \omega^{jk} \epsilon_{kl} A^{li}. \end{split}$$

The worldline gauged action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau \ \epsilon^{ij} \eta_{MN} D_{\tau} X_i^M X_j^N,$$

 $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$ local transformations

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk}(\tau) X_k^M.$$

The covariant derivative

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} &\to D_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} = \partial_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} - \epsilon_{ij} A^{jk}(\tau) X_{k}^{M}, \\ \delta_{\omega} A^{ij}(\tau) &= \partial_{\tau} \omega^{ij} + \omega^{ik} \epsilon_{kl} A^{lj} + \omega^{jk} \epsilon_{kl} A^{li}. \end{split}$$

The worldline gauged action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau \ \epsilon^{ij} \eta_{MN} D_{\tau} X_i^M X_j^N = \int d\tau \ \left[\eta^{MN} \partial_{\tau} X_M P_N - \frac{1}{2} A^{ij}(\tau) Q_{ij} \right],$$

 $Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$ local transformations

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk}(\tau) X_k^M.$$

The covariant derivative

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} &\to D_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} = \partial_{\tau} X_{i}^{M} - \epsilon_{ij} A^{jk}(\tau) X_{k}^{M}, \\ \delta_{\omega} A^{ij}(\tau) &= \partial_{\tau} \omega^{ij} + \omega^{ik} \epsilon_{kl} A^{lj} + \omega^{jk} \epsilon_{kl} A^{li}. \end{split}$$

The worldline gauged action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau \ \epsilon^{ij} \eta_{MN} D_{\tau} X_i^M X_j^N = \int d\tau \ \left[\eta^{MN} \partial_{\tau} X_M P_N - \frac{1}{2} A^{ij}(\tau) Q_{ij} \right],$$
$$Q_{11} = X \cdot X, \quad Q_{12} = X \cdot P, \quad Q_{22} = P \cdot P.$$

Where the additional time-like dimension come from?

Where the additional time-like dimension come from?

$$X \cdot X = X \cdot P = P \cdot P = 0$$

Where the additional time-like dimension come from?

$$X \cdot X = X \cdot P = P \cdot P = 0$$

Let us consider different situations.

Where the additional time-like dimension come from?

$$X \cdot X = X \cdot P = P \cdot P = 0$$

Let us consider different situations.

Euclidean metric \longrightarrow $X^M = 0$ and $P^M = 0$.

Where the additional time-like dimension come from?

$$X \cdot X = X \cdot P = P \cdot P = 0$$

Let us consider different situations.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Euclidean metric} & \longrightarrow & X^M = 0 \mbox{ and } P^M = 0. \\ \mbox{Minkowski metric} & \longrightarrow & \begin{cases} X_0^2 = |\mathbf{X}|^2 \\ P_0^2 = |\mathbf{P}|^2 \end{array} \Rightarrow & |\mathbf{X}||\mathbf{P}| = \pm \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{P}. \end{cases}$

Where the additional time-like dimension come from?

$$X \cdot X = X \cdot P = P \cdot P = 0$$

Let us consider different situations.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Euclidean metric} & \longrightarrow & X^M = 0 \mbox{ and } P^M = 0. \\ \mbox{Minkowski metric} & \longrightarrow & \begin{cases} X_0^2 = |\mathbf{X}|^2 \\ P_0^2 = |\mathbf{P}|^2 \end{array} \Rightarrow & |\mathbf{X}||\mathbf{P}| = \pm \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{P}. \end{cases}$

The additional time is necessary in order to have a **non trivial dynamics**.

The two time physics The symmetries of the action

Let us consider the symmetries of the action

$$S = \int d au \,\left[\eta^{MN} \partial_{ au} X_M P_N - rac{1}{2} A^{ij}(au) Q_{ij}
ight]$$

The two time physics The symmetries of the action

Let us consider the symmetries of the action

$$S=\int d au \; \left[\eta^{MN}\partial_ au X_M P_N -rac{1}{2} A^{ij}(au) Q_{ij}
ight]$$

It is manifestly invariant under the action of

$$Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$$
 \downarrow
Local

The two time physics The symmetries of the action

Let us consider the symmetries of the action

$$S=\int d au \; \left[\eta^{MN}\partial_ au X_M P_N -rac{1}{2}A^{ij}(au)Q_{ij}
ight]$$

It is manifestly invariant under the action of

 $Sp(2,\mathbb{R}) \otimes SO(2,d)$ $\downarrow \qquad \downarrow$ Local Global
The two time physics The symmetries of the action

Let us consider the symmetries of the action

$$S=\int d au \; \left[\eta^{MN}\partial_ au X_M P_N -rac{1}{2} {\cal A}^{ij}(au) {\cal Q}_{ij}
ight]$$

It is manifestly invariant under the action of

 $Sp(2,\mathbb{R}) \otimes SO(2,d)$ $\downarrow \qquad \downarrow$ Local Global

The SO(2, d) generators:

 $L^{MN} = X^M P^N - X^N P^M = \epsilon^{ij} X_i^M X_i^N \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{Invariant under } Sp(2,\mathbb{R})$

When the gauge is fixed, the SO(2, d) remains a symmetry of the action.

How can we deduce the one-time classical theory?

How can we deduce the one-time classical theory?

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk}(\tau) X_k^M$$

How can we deduce the one-time classical theory?

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk}(\tau) X_k^M$$

The one-time theory is defined by a gauge fixing

How can we deduce the one-time classical theory?

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk}(\tau) X_k^M$$

The one-time theory is defined by a gauge fixing $\longrightarrow \omega^{11}, \, \omega^{12}, \, \omega^{22}$

 $Q_{ij} = 0$ \longrightarrow Fix other 3 degrees of freedom.

How can we deduce the one-time classical theory?

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk}(\tau) X_k^M$$

The one-time theory is defined by a gauge fixing $~~\longrightarrow~~\omega^{11},~\omega^{12},~\omega^{22}$

 $Q_{ij} = 0 \longrightarrow$ Fix other 3 degrees of freedom.

$$X^{M} = X^{M}(x^{i}, p_{i}) \qquad P^{M} = P^{M}(x^{i}, p_{i})$$
$$L^{MN} = L^{MN}(x^{i}, p_{i})$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$S = \int d\tau \left[\dot{x}^{i} p_{i} - H(\tau) \right]$$

How can we deduce the one-time classical theory?

$$\delta_{\omega} X_i^M = \epsilon_{ij} \omega^{jk}(\tau) X_k^M$$

The one-time theory is defined by a gauge fixing $~~\longrightarrow~~\omega^{11},~\omega^{12},~\omega^{22}$

 $Q_{ij} = 0 \longrightarrow$ Fix other 3 degrees of freedom.

$$X_{i}^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \left(X_{i}^{0'} + X_{i}^{1'} \right)$$
$$X_{i}^{-} = \frac{1}{2} \left(X_{i}^{0'} - X_{i}^{1'} \right)$$

Choice of the gauge field:

 $A^{11} =$

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d au ~ \left[\eta^{MN} \partial_ au X_M \mathcal{P}_N - rac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{ij}(au) \mathcal{Q}_{ij}
ight]$$

$$A^{12} = 0$$
 and $A^{22} = \lambda$.

Choice of the gauge field:

$$S = \int d au \, \left[\eta^{MN} \partial_{ au} X_M P_N - rac{1}{2} A^{ij}(au) Q_{ij}
ight]$$

$$A^{11} = A^{12} = 0$$
 and

 $A^{22} = \lambda.$

Equations for the μ component:

$$\dot{X}^{M} = A^{\mathbf{12}} X^{M} + A^{\mathbf{22}} P^{M}$$
$$\dot{P}^{M} = -A^{\mathbf{12}} P^{M} - A^{\mathbf{11}} X^{M}$$

$$\dot{X}^{\mu} = \lambda P^{\mu} \quad
ightarrow \quad X^{\mu} = x^{\mu}, \quad P^{\mu} = p^{\mu}$$

Choice of the gauge field:

$$S = \int d\tau \, \left[\eta^{MN} \partial_{\tau} X_M P_N - \frac{1}{2} A^{ij}(\tau) Q_{ij} \right]$$

 $A^{11} = A^{12} = 0$ and $A^{22} = \lambda$.

Equations for the μ component:

$$\dot{X}^{M} = A^{\mathbf{12}} X^{M} + A^{\mathbf{22}} P^{M}$$
$$\dot{P}^{M} = -A^{\mathbf{12}} P^{M} - A^{\mathbf{11}} X^{M}$$

$$\dot{X}^{\mu} = \lambda P^{\mu} \quad \rightarrow \quad X^{\mu} = x^{\mu}, \quad P^{\mu} = p^{\mu}$$

Gauge choices:

$$X^+ = 1$$
 and $P^+ = 0$

Choice of the gauge field:

$$S = \int d au \, \left[\eta^{MN} \partial_{ au} X_M P_N - rac{1}{2} A^{ij}(au) Q_{ij}
ight]$$

 $A^{11} = A^{12} = 0$ and $A^{22} = \lambda$.

Equations for the μ component:

$$\dot{X}^{M} = A^{\mathbf{12}}X^{M} + A^{\mathbf{22}}P^{M}$$
$$\dot{P}^{M} = -A^{\mathbf{12}}P^{M} - A^{\mathbf{11}}X^{M}$$

$$\dot{X}^{\mu} = \lambda P^{\mu} \quad \rightarrow \quad X^{\mu} = x^{\mu}, \quad P^{\mu} = p^{\mu}$$

Gauge choices:

$$X^+ = 1$$
 and $P^+ = 0$ $ightarrow$ Fix two of the ω^{ij}

Choice of the gauge field:

$$S = \int d au \, \left[\eta^{MN} \partial_ au X_M P_N - rac{1}{2} A^{ij}(au) Q_{ij}
ight]$$

 $A^{11} = A^{12} = 0$ and $A^{22} = \lambda$.

Equations for the μ component:

$$\dot{X}^{M} = A^{\mathbf{12}}X^{M} + A^{\mathbf{22}}P^{M}$$
$$\dot{P}^{M} = -A^{\mathbf{12}}P^{M} - A^{\mathbf{11}}X^{M}$$

$$\dot{X}^{\mu} = \lambda P^{\mu} \quad \rightarrow \quad X^{\mu} = x^{\mu}, \quad P^{\mu} = p^{\mu}$$

Gauge choices:

$$X^+ = 1$$
 and $P^+ = 0$ $ightarrow$ Fix two of the ω^{ij}

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} & + & - & \mu \\ \hline X_i^+ = \frac{1}{2} \left(X_i^{\mathbf{0}'} + X_i^{\mathbf{1}'} \right) \\ X_i^- = \frac{1}{2} \left(X_i^{\mathbf{0}'} - X_i^{\mathbf{1}'} \right) \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} & \\ \hline \mathbf{X}^M & 1 & \frac{x^2}{2} & x^\mu \\ P^M & 0 & x \cdot p & p^\mu \end{array}$$

Choice of the gauge field: $S = \int d\tau \left[\eta^{MN} \partial_{\tau} X_{M} P_{N} - \frac{1}{2} A^{ij}(\tau) Q_{ij} \right]$ $A^{11} = A^{12} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A^{22} = \lambda.$ Equations for the μ component: $\begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^{M}}{\rho^{M}} = -A^{12} \chi^{M} + A^{22} \rho^{M} \\ \frac{\lambda^{\mu}}{\rho^{M}} = -A^{12} \rho^{M} - A^{11} \chi^{M} \end{cases}$ $\dot{X}^{\mu} = \lambda P^{\mu} \quad \rightarrow \quad X^{\mu} = x^{\mu}, \quad P^{\mu} = p^{\mu}$ Gauge choices: $X^{+} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad P^{+} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Fix two of the } \omega^{ij} \\ P \cdot P = p^{2} \end{array}$

The action reduces to the one expected for the relativistic massless particle in the first order formalism

$$S = \int d\tau \left[\dot{x} \cdot p - \frac{\lambda}{2} p^2 \right]$$

The action reduces to the one expected for the relativistic massless particle in the first order formalism

$$S = \int d\tau \left[\dot{x} \cdot p - \frac{\lambda}{2} p^2
ight] \longrightarrow p^2 = 0$$

The action reduces to the one expected for the relativistic massless particle in the first order formalism

$$S = \int d\tau \left[\dot{x} \cdot p - \frac{\lambda}{2} p^2
ight] \longrightarrow p^2 = 0$$

The SO(2, d) generators:

$$\begin{split} L^{\mu\nu} &= x^{\mu} p^{\nu} - x^{\nu} p^{\mu}, \qquad L^{+\mu} = p^{\mu}, \qquad L^{+-} = x \cdot p \\ L^{-\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} x^2 p^{\mu} - x \cdot p \ x^{\mu}. \end{split}$$

The action reduces to the one expected for the relativistic massless particle in the first order formalism

$$S = \int d\tau \left[\dot{x} \cdot p - \frac{\lambda}{2} p^2
ight] \longrightarrow p^2 = 0$$

The SO(2, d) generators:

$$\begin{split} L^{\mu\nu} &= x^{\mu} p^{\nu} - x^{\nu} p^{\mu}, \qquad L^{+\mu} = p^{\mu}, \qquad L^{+-} = x \cdot p \\ L^{-\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} x^2 p^{\mu} - x \cdot p \ x^{\mu}. \end{split}$$

The Poisson brackets remain unchanged.

The action reduces to the one expected for the relativistic massless particle in the first order formalism

$$S = \int d\tau \left[\dot{x} \cdot p - \frac{\lambda}{2} p^2
ight] \longrightarrow p^2 = 0$$

The SO(2, d) generators:

$$\begin{split} L^{\mu\nu} &= x^{\mu} p^{\nu} - x^{\nu} p^{\mu}, \qquad L^{+\mu} = p^{\mu}, \qquad L^{+-} = x \cdot p \\ L^{-\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} x^2 p^{\mu} - x \cdot p \ x^{\mu}. \end{split}$$

The Poisson brackets remain unchanged.

$$\delta S = 0$$

The action reduces to the one expected for the relativistic massless particle in the first order formalism

$$S = \int d\tau \left[\dot{x} \cdot p - \frac{\lambda}{2} p^2
ight] \longrightarrow p^2 = 0$$

The SO(2, d) generators:

$$\begin{split} L^{\mu\nu} &= x^{\mu} p^{\nu} - x^{\nu} p^{\mu}, \qquad L^{+\mu} = p^{\mu}, \qquad L^{+-} = x \cdot p \\ L^{-\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} x^2 p^{\mu} - x \cdot p \ x^{\mu}. \end{split}$$

The Poisson brackets remain unchanged.

$$\delta S = 0$$

L^{MN} generate the **conformal symmetry** of the relativistic particle.

We can define a parametrization also for the non-relativistic particle

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} + & - & 0 & i \\ \hline X^{M} & t & \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} - tH}{m} & \pm \left| \mathbf{x} - \frac{t}{m} \mathbf{p} \right| & x^{i} \\ \hline P^{M} & m & H & 0 & p^{i} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} A^{11} = A^{12} = 0 \\ A^{22} = \frac{\lambda}{m} \end{array}$$

We can define a parametrization also for the non-relativistic particle

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} + & - & 0 & i \\ \hline X^{M} & t & \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} - tH}{m} & \pm \left| \mathbf{x} - \frac{t}{m} \mathbf{p} \right| & x^{i} \\ \hline P^{M} & m & H & 0 & p^{i} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} A^{11} = A^{12} = 0 \\ A^{22} = \frac{\lambda}{m} \end{array}$$

The **action** reduces to

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\dot{t}E + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \lambda \left(H - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} \right) \right]$$

We can define a parametrization also for the non-relativistic particle

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} + & - & 0 & i \\ \hline X^{M} & t & \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} - tH}{m} & \pm \left| \mathbf{x} - \frac{t}{m} \mathbf{p} \right| & x^{i} \\ \hline P^{M} & m & H & 0 & p^{i} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} A^{11} = A^{12} = 0 \\ A^{22} = \frac{\lambda}{m} \end{array}$$

The action reduces to

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\dot{t}E + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \lambda \left(H - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} \right) \right] \longrightarrow H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}$$

We can define a parametrization also for the non-relativistic particle

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} + & - & 0 & i \\ \hline X^{M} & t & \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} - tH}{m} & \pm \left| \mathbf{x} - \frac{t}{m} \mathbf{p} \right| & x^{i} \\ \hline P^{M} & m & H & 0 & p^{i} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} A^{11} = A^{12} = 0 \\ A^{22} = \frac{\lambda}{m} \end{array}$$

The action reduces to

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\dot{t}E + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \lambda \left(H - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} \right) \right] \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}$$

and the equations of motion become

$$\dot{t} = \lambda, \quad \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m}, \quad \dot{E} = 0, \quad \dot{\mathbf{p}} = 0.$$

The SO(2, d) generators:

$$L^{ij} = x^{i} p^{j} - x^{j} p^{i}, \qquad L^{0i} = \pm \left| \mathbf{x} - \frac{t}{m} \mathbf{p} \right| p^{i}, \qquad L^{+i} = t p^{i} - m x^{i}$$
$$L^{-i} = \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} - tH}{m} p^{i} - H x^{i}, \qquad L^{+-} = -\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x},$$
$$L^{-0} = \mp \left| \mathbf{x} - \frac{t}{m} \mathbf{p} \right| H, \qquad L^{+0} = \mp \left| \mathbf{x} - \frac{t}{m} \mathbf{p} \right| m.$$

The two time physics Other "dual" theories

Gauge choice		+'	-'	$m = (\mu \oplus i), \ \mu = 0, 1, \cdots$
Relativistic massless particle	$X^M =$	1	$\frac{1}{2}x^{2}$	x^{μ}
$p^{2} = 0$	$P^M =$	0	$x \cdot p$	p^{μ}
$AdS_{d-n} \times S^n$	$X^M =$	$\frac{R_0^2}{ \vec{y} }$	$\frac{1}{2 \overrightarrow{y} }(x^2 + \overrightarrow{y}^2)$	$\frac{R_0}{ \vec{y} }x^{\mu}, \frac{R_0}{ \vec{y} }\vec{y}^i$
$\vec{y}^2(p^2+\vec{k}^2)=0$	$P^M =$	0	$\frac{\left \vec{y}\right }{R_0^2}(x \cdot p + \vec{y} \cdot \vec{k})$	$\frac{ \vec{y} }{R_0}p^{\mu}, \frac{ \vec{y} }{R_0}\vec{k}^i$
Maximally Symmetric Spaces	$X^M =$	$1 + \sqrt{1 - Kx^2}$	$\frac{x^2/2}{1+\sqrt{1-Kx^2}}$	x^{μ}
$p^2 - \frac{K (x \cdot p)^2}{1 - K x^2} = 0$	$P^M =$	0	$\frac{\sqrt{1-Kx^2}}{1+\sqrt{1-Kx^2}}x \cdot p$	$p^{\mu} - \frac{Kx \cdot p x^{\mu}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - Kx^2}}$
Free function $\alpha(x)$	$X^M =$	$x^{2} + \alpha \left(x \right)$	$\frac{x^2/2}{x^2 + \alpha(x)}$	x^{μ}
$p^{2} + \frac{4\alpha(x)(x \cdot p)^{2}}{(x^{2} - \alpha(x))^{2}} = 0$	$P^M =$	0	$\frac{x \cdot p}{\alpha(x) - x^2}$	$p^{\mu} - \frac{2x \cdot p}{x^2 - \alpha(x)} x^{\mu}$
Conformally flat $g_{\mu\nu}=e^m_{\mu}(x)e^n_{\nu}(x)\eta_{mn}$	$X^M =$	$\pm e^{\sigma(x)}$	$\pm \frac{1}{2} e^{\sigma(x)} q^2(x)$	$ \pm e^{\sigma(x)} q^m \left(x^{\mu} \right) \\ e^m_{\mu}(x) \equiv \pm e^{\sigma(x)} \frac{\partial q^m(x)}{\partial x^{\mu}} $
$g^{\mu\nu}\left(x\right)p_{\mu}p_{\nu}=0$	$P^M =$	0	$q^{m}\left(x\right)e_{m}^{\mu}\left(x\right)p_{\mu}$	$e_{m}^{\mu}\left(x ight)p_{\mu}$
Relativistic massive particle	$X^M =$	$\frac{1+a}{2a}$	$\frac{x^2a}{1+a}$	$x^{\mu} = a \equiv \sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2 x^2}{(x \cdot p)^2}}$
$p^2 + m^2 = 0$	$P^M =$	$\frac{-m^2}{2ax \cdot p}$	$a \ x \cdot p$	p^{μ}
Non-relativistic massive particle	$X^M =$	t	$\frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p} - tH}{m}$	$X^{0}=\pm\left \mathbf{r-}\frac{t}{m}\mathbf{p}\right ,~X^{i}=\mathbf{r}^{i}$
$H - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{2m} = 0$	$P^M =$	\overline{m}	Н	$P^0=0,\ P^i={\bf p}^i$

Table1: Parametrization of X^M, P^M for $M=(+',-',(m \text{ or } \mu))$

I. Bars, "Dual Field Theories In (d - 1) + 1 Emergent Spacetimes From A Unifying Field Theory In d + 2Spacetime"

The two time physics is able to describe **relativistic** and **non-relativistic** particles

Why not the Carroll particles?

The two time physics is able to describe relativistic and non-relativistic particles

Why not the Carroll particles?

Some consequences:

The two time physics is able to describe relativistic and non-relativistic particles Why not the Carroll particles?

Some consequences:

Dualities

Relativistic



The two time physics is able to describe relativistic and non-relativistic particles Why not the Carroll particles?

Some consequences:

Dualities

Hidden symmetries

Relativistic

Galilei Carroll

SO(2, d) group

The two time physics is able to describe relativistic and non-relativistic particles Why not the Carroll particles?

Some consequences:

Dualities

Hidden symmetries

Relativistic



SO(2, d) group

Different limits of the speed of light can be understood in terms of different gauge fixing

We want to reproduce the dynamics of the particle at rest

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\dot{t}E + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \lambda_t \left(E - E_0 \right) \right],$$

We want to reproduce the dynamics of the particle at rest

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\dot{t}E + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \lambda_t \left(E - E_0 \right)
ight],$$

which is is invariant under the Carroll group

$$E, \quad p_i, \quad B^i = Ex^i \quad \text{and} \quad L^{ij} = x^i p^j - x^j p^i$$

We want to reproduce the dynamics of the particle at rest

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\dot{t}E + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \lambda_t \left(E - E_0 \right)
ight],$$

which is is invariant under the Carroll group

$$E, p_i, B^i = Ex^i$$
 and $L^{ij} = x^i p^j - x^j p^i$

with the following equations of motion

$$\dot{t} = \lambda_t, \qquad \dot{x}^i = 0, \qquad \dot{E} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dot{p}^i = 0.$$

	+	_	0	i
X^M	X^+	Χ-	<i>X</i> ⁰	X ⁱ
P^M	P^+	P^-	P^0	P^{i}

$$A^{11} = A^{12} = 0, \qquad A^{22} = \lambda_t.$$

$$A^{11} = A^{12} = 0, \qquad A^{22} = \lambda_t.$$

The equations of motions:

$$\dot{X}^i = \lambda_t P^i \ \dot{P}^i = 0$$
The Carroll dynamics from the two time physics The *rest* particle

$$A^{11} = A^{12} = 0, \qquad A^{22} = \lambda_t.$$

The equations of motions:

$$\begin{array}{c} \dot{X}^{i} = \lambda_{t} P^{i} \\ \dot{P}^{i} = 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} X^{i} = x^{i} + \lambda_{t} \tau p^{i} \\ P^{i} = p^{i} \end{array}$$

The Carroll dynamics from the two time physics The *rest* particle

$$A^{11} = A^{12} = 0, \qquad A^{22} = \lambda_t.$$

The equations of motions:

$$\begin{array}{c} \dot{X}^{i} = \lambda_{t} P^{i} \\ \dot{P}^{i} = 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} X^{i} = x^{i} + \lambda_{t} \tau p^{i} \\ P^{i} = p^{i} \end{array}$$

The constraints:

$$X \cdot X = tX \cdot P = t^2 P \cdot P$$
 $P \cdot P = -2(E - E_0)$

The final gauge choice: $P^+ = E_0, \qquad P^0 = 0$

The final gauge choice:

$$P^+ = E_0, \qquad P^0 = 0$$

The final gauge choice: $P^+ = E_0, \qquad P^0 = 0$

The action:

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\dot{t}E + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \lambda_t \left(E - E_0 \right) \right]$$

The final gauge choice: $P^+ = E_0, \qquad P^0 = 0$

The action:

$$S = \int d\tau \left[-\dot{t}E + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \lambda_t \left(E - E_0 \right) \right]$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$S = \int d\tau \left[\dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{p} - E_0 \right]$$

The SO(2, d) generators:

 $(r = \sqrt{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}})$

$$L^{ij} = x^{i} p^{j} - x^{j} p^{i}, \qquad L^{0i} = r p^{i}, \qquad L^{+i} = -E_{0} x^{i}$$
$$L^{-i} = -\frac{E - E_{0}}{E_{0}} x^{i} - \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2E_{0}} x^{i} + \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{E_{0}} p^{i}, \qquad L^{+-} = -\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x},$$
$$L^{-0} = -r \left(\frac{E - E_{0}}{E_{0}} + \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2E_{0}}\right), \qquad L^{+0} = -rE_{0}.$$

The SO(2, d) generators:

 $(r = \sqrt{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}})$

$$L^{ij} = x^{i} p^{j} - x^{j} p^{i}, \qquad L^{0i} = r p^{i}, \qquad L^{+i} = -E_{0} x^{i}$$
$$L^{-i} = -\frac{E - E_{0}}{E_{0}} x^{i} - \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2E_{0}} x^{i} + \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{E_{0}} p^{i}, \qquad L^{+-} = -\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x},$$
$$L^{-0} = -r \left(\frac{E - E_{0}}{E_{0}} + \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2E_{0}}\right), \qquad L^{+0} = -rE_{0}.$$

The invariance of the action:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta x^{k} &= \varepsilon_{ij} \{ L^{ij}, x^{k} \} \\ \delta p^{k} &= \varepsilon_{ij} \{ L^{ij}, p^{k} \} \end{aligned} \rightarrow \qquad \delta S = 0$$

The SO(2, d) generators:

 $(r = \sqrt{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}})$

$$L^{ij} = x^{i} p^{j} - x^{j} p^{i}, \qquad L^{0i} = r p^{i}, \qquad L^{+i} = -E_{0} x^{i}$$
$$L^{-i} = -\frac{E - E_{0}}{E_{0}} x^{i} - \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2E_{0}} x^{i} + \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{E_{0}} p^{i}, \qquad L^{+-} = -\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x},$$
$$L^{-0} = -r \left(\frac{E - E_{0}}{E_{0}} + \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2E_{0}}\right), \qquad L^{+0} = -rE_{0}.$$

The invariance of the action:

$$\begin{array}{l} \delta x^{k} = \varepsilon_{ij} \{ L^{ij}, x^{k} \} \\ \delta p^{k} = \varepsilon_{ij} \{ L^{ij}, p^{k} \} \end{array} \rightarrow \qquad \delta S = 0$$

The Poisson brackets: $\{L^{MN}, L^{RS}\} = \eta^{MR}L^{NS} + \eta^{NS}L^{MR} - \eta^{MS}L^{NR} - \eta^{NR}L^{MS}$

The SO(2, d) generators:

 $(r = \sqrt{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}})$

$$L^{ij} = x^{i} p^{j} - x^{j} p^{i}, \qquad L^{0i} = r p^{i}, \qquad L^{+i} = -E_{0} x^{i}$$
$$L^{-i} = -\frac{E - E_{0}}{E_{0}} x^{i} - \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2E_{0}} x^{i} + \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{E_{0}} p^{i}, \qquad L^{+-} = -\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x},$$
$$L^{-0} = -r \left(\frac{E - E_{0}}{E_{0}} + \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2E_{0}}\right), \qquad L^{+0} = -rE_{0}.$$

The invariance of the action:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \delta x^{k} = \varepsilon_{ij} \{ L^{ij}, x^{k} \} \\ \delta p^{k} = \varepsilon_{ij} \{ L^{ij}, p^{k} \} \end{array} \quad \rightarrow \qquad \delta S = 0 \end{array}$$

The Poisson brackets: $\{L^{MN}, L^{RS}\} = \eta^{MR}L^{NS} + \eta^{NS}L^{MR} - \eta^{MS}L^{NR} - \eta^{NR}L^{MS}$

$$\{L^{-i}, L^{-j}\} = -2\frac{E - E_0}{E_0^2}L^{ij} \stackrel{?}{=} 0$$

The **quantization** is defined by means of the canonical commutation rules:

$$[x^i, p_j] = i\delta^i{}_j$$

 L^{MN} and Q_{ij} are functions of the operators x^i and p_i . They become Hermitian operators written in terms of x^i and p_i operators.

The **quantization** is defined by means of the canonical commutation rules:

$$[x^i, p_j] = i\delta^i{}_j$$

 L^{MN} and Q_{ij} are functions of the operators x^i and p_i . They become Hermitian operators written in terms of x^i and p_i operators.

The **quantization** is defined by means of the canonical commutation rules:

$$[x^i, p_j] = i\delta^i{}_j$$

 L^{MN} and Q_{ij} are functions of the operators x^i and p_i . They become Hermitian operators written in terms of x^i and p_i operators.

$$\mathbf{p}^2 r \rightarrow p_i r p^i$$

The **quantization** is defined by means of the canonical commutation rules:

$$[x^i, p_j] = i\delta^i{}_j$$

 L^{MN} and Q_{ij} are functions of the operators x^i and p_i . They become Hermitian operators written in terms of x^i and p_i operators.

$$\mathbf{p}^2 r
ightarrow p_i r p^i$$

 $\mathbf{p}^2 r
ightarrow r p_i r^{-1} p^i r = p_i r p^i - rac{d-3}{2r}$

The **quantization** is defined by means of the canonical commutation rules:

$$[x^i, p_j] = i\delta^i{}_j$$

 L^{MN} and Q_{ij} are functions of the operators x^i and p_i . They become Hermitian operators written in terms of x^i and p_i operators.

$$\mathbf{p}^{2}r \rightarrow p_{i}rp^{i}$$
$$\mathbf{p}^{2}r \rightarrow rp_{i}r^{-1}p^{i}r = p_{i}rp^{i} - \frac{d-3}{2r}$$
$$\mathbf{p}^{2}r \rightarrow r^{\lambda}p_{i}r^{1-2\lambda}p^{i}r^{\lambda} = p_{i}rp^{i} + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - d + 2)}{2r}$$

We can make the following requirements:

We can make the following requirements:

• the commutation rules of the L^{MN} describes the SO(2, d) algebra $\{L^{MN}, L^{RS}\} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad [L^{MN}, L^{RS}]$

We can make the following requirements:

the commutation rules of the L^{MN} describes the SO(2, d) algebra

 $\{L^{MN}, L^{RS}\} \qquad \leftrightarrow \qquad [L^{MN}, L^{RS}]$

The **constraints** on Q_{ij} translates to

 $|Q_{ij}|$ Phys $\rangle = 0$

We can make the following requirements:

• the commutation rules of the L^{MN} describes the SO(2, d) algebra $\{L^{MN}, L^{RS}\} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad [L^{MN}, L^{RS}]$

The **constraints** on Q_{ij} translates to

 $Q_{ij}|\mathsf{Phys}
angle=0$

An observation about the Casimir operators

We can make the following requirements:

• the commutation rules of the L^{MN} describes the SO(2, d) algebra $\{L^{MN}, L^{RS}\} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad [L^{MN}, L^{RS}]$

The **constraints** on Q_{ij} translates to

 $Q_{ij}|\mathsf{Phys}
angle=0$

An observation about the Casimir operators

 $C_2(Sp(2,\mathbb{R})) = X_M P^2 X^M - (X \cdot P)(P \cdot X)$

We can make the following requirements:

• the commutation rules of the L^{MN} describes the SO(2, d) algebra $\{L^{MN}, L^{RS}\} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad [L^{MN}, L^{RS}]$

The **constraints** on Q_{ij} translates to

 $Q_{ij}|\mathsf{Phys}
angle=0$

An observation about the Casimir operators

$$C_2(Sp(2,\mathbb{R})) = X_M P^2 X^M - (X \cdot P)(P \cdot X)$$

$$C_2(SO(2,d)) = C_2(Sp(2,\mathbb{R})) + \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{4}\right)$$

We can make the following requirements:

• the commutation rules of the L^{MN} describes the SO(2, d) algebra $\{L^{MN}, L^{RS}\} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad [L^{MN}, L^{RS}]$

The **constraints** on Q_{ij} translates to

 $Q_{ij}|\mathsf{Phys}
angle=0$

An observation about the Casimir operators

 $C_2(Sp(2,\mathbb{R})) = X_M P^2 X^M - (X \cdot P)(P \cdot X)$ $C_2(SO(2,d)) = C_2(Sp(2,\mathbb{R})) + \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{4}\right)$

 $C_2(Sp(2,\mathbb{R}))|\mathsf{Phys}
angle = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad C_2(SO(2,d))|\mathsf{Phys}
angle = \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{4}\right)|\mathsf{Phys}
angle$

We can make the following requirements:

• the commutation rules of the L^{MN} describes the SO(2, d) algebra $\{L^{MN}, L^{RS}\} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad [L^{MN}, L^{RS}]$

The **constraints** on Q_{ij} translates to

 $Q_{ij}|\mathsf{Phys}
angle=0$

An observation about the Casimir operators

 $C_2(Sp(2,\mathbb{R})) = X_M P^2 X^M - (X \cdot P)(P \cdot X)$ $C_2(SO(2,d)) = C_2(Sp(2,\mathbb{R})) + \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{4}\right)$

One can observe the same behavior for the higher Casimirs

 $C_2(Sp(2,\mathbb{R}))|\mathsf{Phys}\rangle = 0 \Rightarrow C_2(SO(2,d))|\mathsf{Phys}\rangle = \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{4}\right)|\mathsf{Phys}\rangle$

We can find an ordering that satisfies our conditions:

$$L^{ij} = x^{i} p^{j} - x^{j} p^{i}, \qquad L^{0i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(r \ p^{i} + p^{i} r \right), \qquad L^{+i} = -E_{0} x^{i}$$
$$L^{-i} = -\frac{1}{2E_{0}} p_{j} x^{i} p^{j} + \frac{1}{2E_{0}} \left(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} p^{i} + p^{i} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} \right) + \frac{x^{i}}{8E_{0} r^{2}},$$
$$^{+-} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} \right), \quad L^{-0} = -\frac{1}{2E_{0}} p_{i} r p^{i} - \frac{5 - 2d}{8E_{0} r}, \quad L^{+0} = -E_{0} r.$$

L

We can find an ordering that satisfies our conditions:

$$L^{ij} = x^{i} p^{j} - x^{j} p^{i}, \qquad L^{0i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(r \ p^{i} + p^{i} r \right), \qquad L^{+i} = -E_{0} x^{i}$$
$$L^{-i} = -\frac{1}{2E_{0}} p_{j} x^{i} p^{j} + \frac{1}{2E_{0}} \left(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} p^{i} + p^{i} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} \right) + \frac{x^{i}}{8E_{0} r^{2}},$$
$$^{+-} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} \right), \quad L^{-0} = -\frac{1}{2E_{0}} p_{i} r p^{i} - \frac{5 - 2d}{8E_{0} r}, \quad L^{+0} = -E_{0} r.$$

1

These generators forms the SO(2, d) algebra, with the following **commutation rules**

$$\left[L^{MN}, L^{RS}\right] = i\eta^{MR}L^{NS} + i\eta^{NS}L^{MR} - i\eta^{MS}L^{NR} - i\eta^{NR}L^{MS}$$

The quantized theory reveals a **curious relation** with the **hydrogen atom**.

The quantized theory reveals a **curious relation** with the **hydrogen atom**.

The SO(2, d) generators are the same at $\tau = 0$.

I. Bars,

"Conformal Symmetry and Duality between Free Particle, H-atom and Harmonic Oscillator"

This can be understood as follows

This can be understood as follows

We can define some generators of the SO(1,2) subgroup of SO(2,d)

$$J^{0} = -L^{-0} - \frac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{1} = -L^{-0} + \frac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{2} = -L^{+-1}$$

This can be understood as follows

We can define some generators of the SO(1,2) subgroup of SO(2,d)

$$J^{0} = -L^{-0} - \frac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{1} = -L^{-0} + \frac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{2} = -L^{+-}$$

$$C_{2}(SO(1,2)) = j(j+1) \quad \rightarrow \quad J^{0}|j,j_{0}\rangle = j_{0}|j,j_{0}\rangle$$

This can be understood as follows

We can define some generators of the SO(1,2) subgroup of SO(2,d)

$$J^{0} = -L^{-0} - rac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{1} = -L^{-0} + rac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{2} = -L^{+-}, \ C_{2}(SO(1,2)) = j(j+1) \quad
ightarrow \quad J^{0}|j,j_{0}
angle = j_{0}|j,j_{0}
angle$$

Using the definitions of the L^{MN} 's, we see that $J^0 = r^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{p^2}{2E_0} + \frac{E_0}{2} \right) r^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

This can be understood as follows

We can define some generators of the SO(1,2) subgroup of SO(2,d)

$$J^{0} = -L^{-0} - rac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{1} = -L^{-0} + rac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{2} = -L^{+-}.$$

 $C_{2}(SO(1,2)) = j(j+1) \quad
ightarrow \quad J^{0}|j,j_{0}
angle = j_{0}|j,j_{0}
angle$

Using the definitions of the L^{MN} 's, we see that $J^0 = r^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{p^2}{2E_0} + \frac{E_0}{2} \right) r^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

 $r^{-rac{1}{2}} J^0 |j,j_0\rangle$

This can be understood as follows

We can define some generators of the SO(1,2) subgroup of SO(2,d)

$$J^{0} = -L^{-0} - \frac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{1} = -L^{-0} + \frac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{2} = -L^{+-}$$

$$C_{2}(SO(1,2)) = j(j+1) \quad \rightarrow \quad J^{0}|j, j_{0}\rangle = j_{0}|j, j_{0}\rangle$$

Using the definitions of the L^{MN} 's, we see that $J^0 = r^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{p^2}{2E_0} + \frac{E_0}{2} \right) r^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

$$r^{-\frac{1}{2}} J^{0} |j, j_{0}\rangle \rightarrow \left(\frac{p^{2}}{2E_{0}} + \frac{E_{0}}{2}\right) |\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle = \frac{j_{0}}{r} |\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle \qquad \left(|\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle = r^{\frac{1}{2}} |j, j_{0}\rangle\right)$$

This can be understood as follows

We can define some generators of the SO(1,2) subgroup of SO(2,d)

$$J^{0} = -L^{-0} - \frac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{1} = -L^{-0} + \frac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^{2} = -L^{+-}$$

$$C_{2}(SO(1,2)) = j(j+1) \quad \rightarrow \quad J^{0}|j, j_{0}\rangle = j_{0}|j, j_{0}\rangle$$

Using the definitions of the L^{MN} 's, we see that $J^0 = r^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{p^2}{2E_0} + \frac{E_0}{2} \right) r^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

$$r^{-\frac{1}{2}} J^{0} |j, j_{0}\rangle \rightarrow \left(\frac{p^{2}}{2E_{0}} + \frac{E_{0}}{2}\right) |\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle = \frac{j_{0}}{r} |\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle \qquad \left(|\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle = r^{\frac{1}{2}} |j, j_{0}\rangle\right)$$

With some manipulation, we arrive to

$$\left(rac{ ilde{p}^2}{2E_0}-rac{1}{ ilde{r}}
ight)|\Psi_{j,j_{f 0}}
angle=rac{E_0}{2j_0^2}|\Psi_{j,j_{f 0}}
angle$$

This can be understood as follows

We can define some generators of the SO(1,2) subgroup of SO(2,d)

$$egin{aligned} J^0 &= -L^{-0} - rac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^1 &= -L^{-0} + rac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^2 &= -L^{+-}, \ C_2(SO(1,2)) &= j(j+1) \quad o \quad J^0 | j, j_0
angle &= j_0 | j, j_0
angle \end{aligned}$$

Using the definitions of the L^{MN} 's, we see that $J^0 = r^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{p^2}{2E_0} + \frac{E_0}{2} \right) r^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

$$r^{-\frac{1}{2}} J^{0} |j, j_{0}\rangle \rightarrow \left(\frac{p^{2}}{2E_{0}} + \frac{E_{0}}{2}\right) |\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle = \frac{j_{0}}{r} |\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle \qquad \left(|\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle = r^{\frac{1}{2}} |j, j_{0}\rangle\right)$$

With some manipulation, we arrive to

 $\tilde{r} = j_0 r$, $\tilde{p}^i = \frac{p^i}{j_0}$

$$\left(rac{ ilde{
ho}^2}{2E_0}-rac{1}{ ilde{r}}
ight)|\Psi_{j,j_{f o}}
angle=rac{E_0}{2j_0^2}|\Psi_{j,j_{f o}}
angle$$

This can be understood as follows

We can define some generators of the SO(1,2) subgroup of SO(2,d)

$$egin{aligned} J^0 &= -L^{-0} - rac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^1 &= -L^{-0} + rac{1}{2}L^{+0}, \quad J^2 &= -L^{+-}, \ C_2(SO(1,2)) &= j(j+1) \quad o \quad J^0 | j, j_0
angle &= j_0 | j, j_0
angle \end{aligned}$$

Using the definitions of the L^{MN} 's, we see that $J^0 = r^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{p^2}{2E_0} + \frac{E_0}{2} \right) r^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

$$r^{-\frac{1}{2}} J^{0} |j, j_{0}\rangle \rightarrow \left(\frac{p^{2}}{2E_{0}} + \frac{E_{0}}{2}\right) |\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle = \frac{j_{0}}{r} |\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle \qquad \left(|\Psi_{j, j_{0}}\rangle = r^{\frac{1}{2}} |j, j_{0}\rangle\right)$$

With some manipulation, we arrive to $\tilde{r} = j_0$

$$\tilde{r} = j_0 r, \quad \tilde{p}^i = rac{p^i}{j_0}$$

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2E_0} - \frac{1}{\tilde{r}}\right) |\Psi_{j,j_0}\rangle = \frac{E_0}{2j_0^2} |\Psi_{j,j_0}\rangle \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Different notion of time and energy}$$
Recap and concluding remarks

- We defined a gauge fixing that is able to reproduce the dynamics of a Carroll particle from the two time physics.
- The *SO*(2, *d*) generators show peculiar correspondence with the H Atom.
- We are working on a gauge fixing that describe Carrollian tachyons.
- A systematic characterization and comprehension of the "sub-theories" would be useful.



Thank you for listening!