

A homotopy theory for higher Lie theory

①

Background and motivation

Lie Theory: Lie I, II, III

Where it fails: Obstructions of homotopical nature

HLT: Introducing main characters and plotlines

- dg (NQ) mflds, simplicial (super)manifolds
- contractible submersions and horn-contractibility
- HLT as an ∞ -adjunction

Constructing the homotopy theories:

fibrations, weak equivalences, iEFD's

Where we stand, what is known

LIE THEORY

(2)

$$LG_P \xrightarrow{l} LA_{LG} \quad (\text{f.d. real})$$

↓

$$G \mapsto \mathfrak{g} = l(G), \text{ a functor}$$

upto iso

Lie I Given a G , ~~with \mathfrak{g}~~ with $\mathfrak{l}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$, $\exists!$ ~~G~~ 1-connected

s.t. \widehat{G} , s.t. $\mathfrak{l}(\widehat{G}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}$; (in fact, $\widehat{G} = \widetilde{G}_e$, and

$$\widetilde{G}_e \xrightarrow{\pi} G_e \xrightarrow{i} G \text{ with } \mathfrak{l}(\pi \circ i) = \text{id}$$

Lie II Given G, H , $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}(G)$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{l}(H)$, and a hom. $\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$

If G is 1-connected, $\exists! \underline{\Phi}: G \rightarrow H$ s.t. $\mathfrak{l}(\underline{\Phi}) = \varphi$

(i.e. $\mathfrak{l}: \text{Hom}(G, H) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a bijection when G is 1-conn.)

Lie III Given a \mathfrak{g} , \exists a G with $\mathfrak{l}(G) \simeq \mathfrak{g}$.

To summarize:

Thm (Lie Theory) \exists an adjunction

$$LA_{LG} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{r} \end{array} LG_P$$

whose unit $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow L(L(\mathfrak{g}))$ is an iso, and

whose counit $\epsilon_G: L(l(G)) \rightarrow G$ is $\widetilde{G}_e \xrightarrow{\pi} G_e \xrightarrow{i} G$
(the universal cover of G_e)

Rmk L exhibits LA_{LG} as a full co-reflective subcategory of LG_P , whose objects are the 1-connected Lie groups

WHERE IT FAILS

(3)

- LIE III fails^(*) for ∞ -dim'l Lie algebras ($\pi_2(\bar{G})$) (van Est)
 - LIE III fails^(*) for Lie Algebroids ($\pi_2(\text{leaf})$) (Mackenzie)
 - LIE II fails^(*) for higher cocycles/cohomology^($\pi_{\geq 2}$) (van Est)
 - LIE II fails^(*) for R.U.T.H. (Igusa, Block-Smith, AriasAbad, Schätz, Velez)
 - What about Lie Theory for higher symmetries (CA, str(cy)...)?
theor
- (*) in its naive form.

{

Need a new framework!

Has to do with/come from homotopy theory

(late '90's - early '00's) Sullivan Curiel

Craigher, Fernandes, Zhu, Ševera, Henriques, Getzler

"Integration = Homotopy"

Simplicial manifolds

HLT: Introducing the main characters

(4)

Lie algebras \rightsquigarrow dg₍₊₎-manifolds (NQ-manifolds)
(super)
(-) (higher Lie algebroids)

Lie groups \rightsquigarrow (Kan) simplicial (super) manifolds
(higher Lie groupoids)

1-connected \rightsquigarrow horn-contractible (wait!)
Categories

Categories \rightsquigarrow $(\infty, 1)$ -categories

Hom. sets \rightsquigarrow RHom-spaces (homotopy types, \mathbb{S} , sets)

bijection \rightsquigarrow homotopy equivalence

functors, adjunctions \rightsquigarrow ∞ -cat versions.

{ Rmk: Need to embrace ∞ -dim'l (super) manifolds!

HLT: Is an adjunction betw. $(\infty, 1)$ cat's

$$\text{LALGD}_{\infty} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{R} \end{array} \text{LGPD}_{\infty}$$

whose unit $\mu_g: g \rightarrow l(L(g))$ is an equivalence, and

whose counit $\epsilon_g: L(l(g)) \rightarrow g$ is the horn-contractible cover

So, the task is to define those ∞ -cat's and that adjunction, and to show it satisfies the above properties — sounds simple enough :

in particular, need to define what an equivalence is, for dg-manifolds

(5)

DG (NQ) = MANIFOLDS

- "NQ-Mfld" (Severe): a supermfld with a right action
 $(\underset{\text{manifold}}{dg_+ \text{ or } dg_{3,0}}) M \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1} \mathbb{R}^{0|1} \xrightarrow{\quad} M$
 smooth
 infinitesimally given by vector fields on M : ϵ, d with
 $[\epsilon, \epsilon] = 0, [\epsilon, d] = d, [d, d] = 0$
- $M_0 = M \circ 0$, a supermanifold ($0 \cdot 0 = 0$), the fixed pt. loci
 $M_0 \xrightleftharpoons{c} M$ of the action
- linearize the action on $T_{M_0} M$: the tangent complex of M
- $T_{M_0} M = (\underbrace{\dots \xrightarrow{\delta^{-3}} E \xrightarrow{\delta^{-2}} E \xrightarrow{\delta^{-1}} E^0}_{N_{M_0} M} \xrightarrow{\delta^0} T M_0)$
 $\delta^0 = p: E^0 \rightarrow T M_0$
- A splitting is an iso
 $M \xrightarrow{\cong} N_{M_0} M = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} E^i[i]$
 M_0 {
 the anchor map; $\text{Im } p \subset T M_0$
 is an integrable ($d^2 = 0$) singular
 distribution \Rightarrow the orbit foliation.
- Lie ∞ -algebroid (anchor to $T M_0 + E^1[1], E^2[2], \dots$)
- In particular, if $N_{M_0} M = E^1[1]$, the splitting is canonical,
 \rightsquigarrow Lie algebroids: $(A \xrightarrow{\sim} M_0, p, c, i) \mapsto (A[1], d)$
- Category Man_{DG}, morphisms respect tangent ex's and
 orbits foliations, but not splittings.
- Contains lie algebroids as a full subcat., but also
 many other interesting objects and morphisms:
 Lie-algebras and algebroids as ∞ -morphisms between them.

(6)

SIMPLICIAL (SUPER) MANIFOLDS

A simplicial (super) manifold is a functor $\chi: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow (\text{S})\text{Man}$ where Δ is the category of nonempty finite ordinals.

Alternatively, $X_* = (X_0 \leftarrow X_1 \leftarrow X_2 \leftarrow \dots)$

$$X_n = X([n]) = X^{\Delta[n]}, \quad f: [n] \rightarrow [m] \Rightarrow X_m \xleftarrow{f^*} X_m \quad (X^{\Delta[m]} \leftarrow X^{\Delta[n]})$$

- A (finite) s-set K , can form the sheaf X^K (a finite limit of the X_i 's), and for every map $j: K \rightarrow L$, have the dual map $X^K \xleftarrow{j^*} X^L$ of sheaves

- In particular, have horn inclusions ~~for all n, k~~

$$j_{n,k}: \Lambda(n,k) \rightarrow \Delta[n], \quad n \geq 1, \quad k=0,1,\dots,n$$

and corresponding projections $p_{n,k} = j_{n,k}^*: X_n = X^{\Delta[n]} \rightarrow X^{\Lambda(n,k)}$

$$\text{Ex. } \Lambda(2,1) = \underset{i \in \{0,1\}}{\{1\} \amalg \{1\}} \quad \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & \leftarrow & 1 \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \hookrightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & \leftarrow & 1 \\ \nearrow & & \searrow \\ 0 & & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \Delta[2]$$

$$X^{\Lambda(2,1)} = X_2 \times_{X_0} X_1 = \{x_2 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow x_0\}, \quad \begin{array}{l} \partial_0, -, \partial_2 = p_{2,1} \\ X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \times_{X_0} X_1 \\ x_{2,0} \mapsto (x_{2,1}, x_{1,0}) \end{array}$$

- The Kan condition: $p_{n,k}$ is surjective for all n, k . ("every horn can be filled")

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & X_1 \\ \downarrow s_{2,1} \quad \uparrow p_{2,1} & & \uparrow \\ X_1 \times_{X_0} X_1 & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{a composition; also set left \& right division} \\ \text{from other horns, and then their higher} \\ \text{analogues} \rightsquigarrow \text{"a weak } \infty\text{-groupoid"} \end{array}$$

(set-theoretic)

Smoothness: surjectivity is not enough, $p_{n,k}$ must be submersions (so local smooth sections exist). (7)

Def. A submersion $q: U \rightarrow V$ of (super)manifolds is

- surjective if its fibers are nonempty
 - étale
 - contractible
- 0-dim'l
contractible

Rank Each of these classes of maps gives rise to a Grothendieck pretopology on the category $(S)\text{Man}$

Def. X_\bullet is said to be Kan - or a lie ∞ -groupoid - if $p_{n,k}$ are surjective submersions; it is étale (resp. horn-contractible) if $p_{n,k}$ are étale (resp. contractible).

Ex. For a lie groupoid $G_1 \rightrightarrows G_0$, its nerve $N_\bullet(G)$ is a Kan simplicial manifold. Furthermore, it is étale (resp. horn-contractible) iff G is étale (resp. source-contractible).

Equivalence. Kan simplicial mflds have homotopy groups, π_i , (in the category of sheaves, or better yet, diffeological spaces — so they have lie algebras), and the assignment is functorial

$f: X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ is said to be a w.e. if it induces isomorphisms on all the π_i 's (equivalent definitions are possible)

Let W be the class of these w.e.'s. Define the ∞ -category of higher lie groupoids (or smooth stacks) to be the localization

$$\text{LGpd}_\infty := \text{Man}_\Delta^{\text{Kan}}[W]^{-1}$$

What about the infinitesimal side?

We have functors (not adjoint) between the categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xleftarrow{\text{Int}} & \xleftarrow{\text{Thur-Sullivan}} \\
 \text{Man}_{\text{dg}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{Kan}} \\
 & \xleftarrow{\text{diff}} & \xleftarrow{\text{Severa}} \\
 & & \mathbb{N}_*(\text{Pair}(\mathbb{R}^{0|1})) \\
 & & \downarrow
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{Int}(M_*) = \text{Map}_{\text{Man}_{\text{dg}}} (T[1]\Delta^*, M_*) ; (\text{diff}(X_*) = \text{Map}_{\text{Man}_{\Delta}} (E_*(\mathbb{R}^{0|1}), X_*))$$

Ševera-Siran: representable in the category of Fréchet manifolds,
and is Kan.

Moreover, is horn-contractible \Rightarrow the universal integration!

Def. A dg-map $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a w.e. if $\text{Int}(f)$ is

(Later: internal characterization of these)

Let \tilde{W} be the class of These, and define the ∞ -category
of higher Lie algebroids to be the localization

$$L\text{ALG}\mathcal{D}\infty := \text{MAN}_{\text{dg}}[\tilde{W}]^{-1}$$

Problem While Int does induce a functor $L: L\text{ALG}\mathcal{D}\infty \rightarrow L\text{GP}\mathcal{D}\infty$,
 diff does not: it does not even take W to \tilde{W} !

Eg. let M_0 be a manifold with $\Gamma = \pi_1(M_0, m)$; then the inclusion
 $\Gamma \rightarrow \pi_1(M_0)$ is in W , but after applying diff we have
 $\ast \rightarrow T[1]M_0$ (inclusion of the point m), which is in \tilde{W}
iff M_0 is contractible (and then in particular $\Gamma = \{e\}$)

(this has to do with (the lack of) horn-contractibility!)

Homotopy theory

Calculating $(\dots)[W]$ is extremely difficult if one only has the W ; an auxiliary structure is required to make the problem tractable. One such structure is that of a category of fibrant objects (CFO): apart from W , one also has a class F of fibrations (and therefore also hypercovers $W \in F$), satisfying a number of axioms, most important of which being the existence of a path object $P(X)$ for every X , factorizing the diagonal: $X \xrightarrow{\epsilon_W} P(X) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_F} X \times X$,

Thm (Rogers-Zhu, building on Behrend-Getzler) There is an iCFO structure on $\text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{Kan}}$ with F being the Kan fibrations (in the smooth - i.e. surj. submersions - pretopology)

Conj. There is an iCFO structure on $\text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{hKan}}$, with F being the Kan fibrations in the contractible submersions pretopology.

Conj. $\text{diff}|\text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{hKan}}$ does take W to \tilde{W}

Conj. ("injective resolutions") There is a functor $R : \text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{Kan}} \rightarrow \text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{hKan}}$ and a natural transformation $\alpha : \text{id} \Rightarrow \iota \circ R$ (where $\iota : \text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{hKan}} \rightarrow \text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{Kan}}$ is the inclusion) which is in W

Cor. The induced $\text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{hKan}}[W] \rightarrow \text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{Kan}}[W]$ is an equivalence.

Cor. There is an iCFO structure on $\text{Man}_{\Delta \text{dg}}$, transferred along Int
 $\text{Int} : \text{Man}_{\Delta \text{dg}} \rightarrow \text{Man}_{\Delta}^{\text{hKan}}$

CONJ. (HLT) There is an adjunction

$$\text{LAGD}_{\infty} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad L \quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad l \quad} \end{array} \text{LGPD}_{\infty}$$

with L (resp. l) induced by Int (resp. $\text{diff} \circ R$)

COR. This is, in fact, an adjoint equivalence!

(Note the sharp contrast with 1-Lie theory!)

EVIDENCE

CONJ (THM in the transitive case) $f: M \rightarrow M'$ is in \tilde{W} iff

(1) $\underline{\pi_0}(f): \underline{\pi_0}(M) \longrightarrow \underline{\pi_0}(M')$ is a bijection of sets

(2) For each orbit (leaf) $O \subset M_0$,

$$f|_O: O \rightarrow f_*(O)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence

(3) For each $m \in M_0$,

$$T_m f: T_m M \rightarrow T_{f_0(m)} M'$$

is a quasi-isomorphism

CONJ $f: M \rightarrow M'$ is in $\tilde{F} = \text{Int}^{-1}(F)$ iff it satisfies the conditions of Bräuer-Zhu and Laurent-Gengoux-Ryvkin in cases those apply.

(11)

Prop. If G, H are source-contractible Lie groupoids, and $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ is in W , then $\text{diff}(\varphi)$ is in \tilde{W} .

P.F. In this case, for each $m \in G_0$, the principal bundle

$O_m \leftarrow s^{-1}(m) \mathcal{G}_m$ is universal; namely $|BG_m| \leftarrow |EG_m| \mathcal{G}_m$.

the conclusion follows from the l.e.s. for this bundle and the above characterization of \tilde{W} .

Prop. Let G be a Lie group(oid). Then the groupoid

$\text{Gauge}(P_G)$, where P_G is the universal principal G -bundle $|BG| \leftarrow |EG| \mathcal{G}_G$, is source-contractible, and the inclusion $G \rightarrow \text{Gauge}(P_G)$ is in W .

P.F. Clear.

Ex. let $G = \mathbb{Z}$. Then $P_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the universal cover $S^1 \leftarrow RS\mathbb{Z}$, and $\text{Gauge}(P_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is $\text{TL}_1(S^1)$, ~~then~~ and is source-contractible. Then $\text{diff} \circ R(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{TC}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, "the Lie algebroid of \mathbb{Z} ".