

4 Torics Varieties as Ambient Spaces

How should we work with subvarieties of a toric variety?

Correspondence. Today, the normal toric variety X is *smooth* and *projective*. Set $n := |\Sigma(1)|$. The Cox ring is $S := \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$, where $\deg(x_i) := [D_i] \in \text{Cl}(X)$ for all $0 \leq i < n$, and the irrelevant ideal is $B := \langle x^{\hat{\sigma}} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma \rangle = \bigcap_{\gamma} \langle x_{\gamma_0}, x_{\gamma_1}, \dots, x_{\gamma_k} \rangle$.

The *saturation* of an ideal I in S by the irrelevant ideal is

$$(I : B^{\infty}) := \{f \in S \mid fB^m \subseteq I \text{ for some positive } m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Geometrically, this removes the components supported on the irrelevant set.

Proposition. Every subscheme $Y \subseteq X$ is defined by a homogeneous ideal I in S . Moreover, the homogeneous ideals I and J in S determine the same ideal sheaf on X (and thereby the same closed subscheme in X) if and only if $(I : B^{\infty}) = (J : B^{\infty})$.

Example. Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces and complete intersections in Fano toric varieties.

Remark. Cox (1995) describes the data needed to specify a map from an arbitrary scheme to a smooth toric variety. It involves a collection of n line bundles (and a global section of each) that satisfy certain compatibility and nondegeneracy conditions.

Hilbert Polynomials. Set $d := \dim X$ and let $r := n - d$ denote the rank of the Picard group of X ; $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$.

Proposition. For each $\text{Pic}(X)$ -graded S -module F , there exists a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Q}[t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{r-1}]$ such that $p(\mathbf{t}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} F_{\mathbf{t}}$ for all $\mathbf{t}$ sufficiently far into the interior of the nef cone. Moreover, we have

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i h^i(X, \tilde{F}(\mathbf{t})).$$

Theorem (MacLagan–Smith 2005). For any $p(\mathbf{t}) \in \mathbb{Q}[t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{r-1}]$, there exists a projective scheme $\text{Hilb}^p(X)$ that parameterizes all closed subschemes of X with Hilbert polynomial p .

Unlike projective space, there isn't (yet?) a combinatorial characterization of the polynomials corresponding to nonempty $\text{Hilb}^p(X)$.

Example. The lines on the smooth quadric surface $X := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ embedded in $\mathbb{P}^3$ belong to two rulings. The closed subscheme of $\text{Hilb}^{t+1}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ parameterizing subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^3$ with Hilbert polynomial $t + 1$ lying on X is $\text{Hilb}^{t_0+1}(X) \sqcup \text{Hilb}^{t_1+1}(X) = \mathbb{P}^1 \sqcup \mathbb{P}^1$.

Virtual Resolutions. On $\mathbb{P}^d$, the saturated ideal $I = (I : B^{\infty})$ is most often the “best” homogeneous ideal representing a subvariety. On a general toric variety, this is no longer true.

Example. A hyperelliptic curve C of genus 4 can be embedded as a curve of bidegree $(2, 8)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Its saturated ideal typically has a free resolution of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& S(-3, -1)^1 & & & & & \\
& \oplus & S(-3, -3)^3 & & & & \\
& S(-2, -2)^1 & \oplus & S(-3, -5)^3 & & & \\
& \oplus & S(-2, -5)^6 & \oplus & & & \\
S^1 \leftarrow S(-2, -3)^2 & \leftarrow & \oplus & \leftarrow S(-2, -7)^2 & \leftarrow S(-3, -7)^1 & \leftarrow 0. \\
& \oplus & S(-1, -7)^1 & \oplus & & & \\
& S(-1, -5)^3 & \oplus & S(-2, -8)^1 & & & \\
& \oplus & S(-1, -8)^2 & & & & \\
& S(0, -8)^1 & & & & &
\end{array}$$

However, the maximal minors of a (4×3) -matrix cut out the same curve and give

$$\begin{array}{c}
S(-3, -1)^1 \\
\oplus \\
S^1 \leftarrow S(-2, -2)^1 \leftarrow S(-3, -3)^3 \leftarrow 0. \\
\oplus \\
S(-2, -3)^2
\end{array}$$

Definition (Berkesh–Erman–Smith 2020). A free $\text{Pic}(X)$ -graded S -complex is a *virtual resolution* of a $\text{Pic}(X)$ -graded S -module F if the corresponding $\mathcal{O}_X$ -complex is a locally free resolution of $\tilde{F}$.

Theorem (Hanlon–Hicks–Lazarev 2024). *Every coherent sheaf on X has a virtual resolution of length at most $\dim(X)$.*

Idea. Construct a short virtual resolution of the diagonal $X \rightarrow X \times X$. The original proof was inspired by homological mirror symmetry. There are already several distinct proofs including a combinatorial commutative algebra construction by Berkesh–Heller–Smith–Yang (2026) that relates them. $\square$

Computational Examples in *Macaulay2*.

```

Macaulay2, version 1.26.06
Type "help" to see useful commands
i1 : needsPackage "NormalToricVarieties";
i2 : kk = ZZ/101;
i3 : X0 = toricProjectiveSpace(4, CoefficientRing => kk);
i4 : S0 = ring X0;
i5 : coefficientRing S0 === kk
o5 = true
i6 : I0 = ideal random(5, S0);
o6 : Ideal of S0
i7 : I0 == saturate(I0, ideal X0)
o7 = true
i8 : codim I0
o8 = 1
i9 : p0 = hilbertPolynomial(X0, I0)
o9 =  $\frac{5}{6}i_0^3 + \frac{25}{6}i_0^2$ 
o9 : QQ[i ]
0

```

```

i10 : freeResolution I0
o10 =  $S_0^1 \leftarrow S_0^1$ 
      0      1
o10 : Complex
i11 : all(2..10, i -> hilbertFunction({i}, I0) == p0(i))
o11 = true
i12 : X1 = smoothFanoToricVariety(6, 8, CoefficientRing => kk);
i13 : S1 = ring X1;
i14 : isNef(X1_0+X1_1+X1_2+X1_8+X1_9)
o14 = true
i15 : isNef(X1_4)
o15 = true
i16 : isNef(X1_3+X1_5+X1_6+X1_7)
o16 = true
i17 : gens S1
o17 = { $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9$ }
o17 : List
i18 : I1 = ideal(random(degree(X1_0+X1_1+X1_2+X1_8+X1_9), S1),
               random(degree(X1_4), S1),
               random(degree(X1_3+X1_5+X1_6+X1_7), S1));
o18 : Ideal of S1
i19 : freeResolution I1
o19 =  $S_1^1 \leftarrow S_1^3 \leftarrow S_1^3 \leftarrow S_1^1$ 
      0      1      2      3
o19 : Complex
i20 : p1 = hilbertPolynomial(X1, I1)
o20 =  $\frac{5}{6}i_3 + \frac{25}{6}i_3$ 
o20 :  $QQ[i_0 \dots i_3]$ 
i21 : p0
o21 =  $\frac{5}{6}i_0 + \frac{25}{6}i_0$ 
o21 :  $QQ[i_0]$ 
i22 : all((1,1,1,1)..(3,3,3,3), i -> hilbertFunction(toList i, I1) == p1 i)
o22 = true
i23 : hilbertFunction({0,-1,1,4}, I1) != p1(0,-1,1,4)
o23 = true
i24 : J1 = saturate(I1, ideal X1);
o24 : Ideal of S1
i25 : I1 != J1
o25 = true

```

```

i26 : freeResolution J1
o26 = S11 <-- S13 <-- S13 <-- S11
      0      1      2      3
o26 : Complex
i27 : degree \ J1_*
o27 = {{1, 1, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 1, 4}, {0, 0, 0, 5}}
o27 : List
i28 : degree \ I1_*
o28 = {{0, 0, 1, 4}, {1, 1, 0, 0}, {1, 0, 1, 5}}
o28 : List
i29 : degree (- toricDivisor X1)
o29 = {2, 1, 2, 9}
o29 : List
i30 : X2 = toricProjectiveSpace(1) ** toricProjectiveSpace(2);
i31 : S2 = ring X2;
i32 : I2 = ideal(S2_0^2*S2_2^2+S2_1^2*S2_3^2+S2_0*S2_1*S2_4^2,
S2_1^3*S2_2-S2_1^3*S2_3+S2_0^3*S2_4,
S2_1^2*S2_2^3-S2_1^2*S2_2^2*S2_3-
S2_0*S2_1*S2_3^2*S2_4-S2_0^2*S2_4^3,
S2_0*S2_1*S2_2^3-S2_0*S2_1*S2_2^2*S2_3-
S2_0^2*S2_3^2*S2_4+S2_1^2*S2_2*S2_4^2-
S2_1^2*S2_3*S2_4^2,
S2_1*S2_2^3*S2_3^2-S2_1*S2_2^2*S2_3^3-
S2_0*S2_3^4*S2_4-S2_0*S2_2^3*S2_4^2+
S2_0*S2_2^2*S2_3*S2_4^2-S2_1*S2_2*S2_4^4+
S2_1*S2_3*S2_4^4,
S2_1*S2_2^5-S2_1*S2_2^4*S2_3-
S2_0*S2_2^2*S2_3^2*S2_4+S2_1*S2_3^2*S2_4^3+
S2_0*S2_4^5, S2_0*S2_2^5-S2_0*S2_2^4*S2_3+
S2_1*S2_3^4*S2_4+S2_1*S2_2^3*S2_4^2-
S2_1*S2_2^2*S2_3*S2_4^2+S2_0*S2_3^2*S2_4^3,
S2_2^8-2*S2_2^7*S2_3+S2_2^6*S2_3^2+
S2_3^6*S2_4^2+3*S2_2^3*S2_3^2*S2_4^3-
3*S2_2^2*S2_3^3*S2_4^3-S2_2*S2_4^7+S2_3*S2_4^7);
o32 : Ideal of S2
i33 : gens S2
o33 = {x0, x1, x2, x3, x4}
o33 : List
i34 : I2 == saturate(I2, ideal X2)
o34 = true
i35 : p2 = hilbertPolynomial(X2, I2)
o35 = 2i0 + 8i1 - 3
o35 : QQ[i0..i1]
i36 : CI2 = freeResolution I2
o36 = S21 <-- S28 <-- S212 <-- S26 <-- S21
      0      1      2      3      4
o36 : Complex

```

```

i37 : netList apply(1+length CI2, i -> degrees CI2_i)
o37 = +-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|{0,0}| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|{3,1}|{2,2}|{2,3}|{2,3}|{1,5}|{1,5}|{1,5}|{0,8}| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|{3,3}|{3,3}|{3,3}|{2,5}|{2,5}|{2,5}|{2,5}|{2,5}|{2,5}|{1,7}|{1,8}|{1,8}| | | | | | | | |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|{3,5}|{3,5}|{3,5}|{2,7}|{2,7}|{2,8}| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|{3,7}| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

i38 : multigraded betti CI2
o38 =      0      1      2      3      4
0: 1
4: . a^3*b+a^2*b^2 . .
5: . 2*a^2*b^3 . .
6: . 3*a*b^5 3*a^3*b^3 . .
7: . 6*a^2*b^5 . .
8: . b^8 a*b^7 3*a^3*b^5 .
9: . 2*a*b^8 2*a^2*b^7 .
10: . a^2*b^8 a^3*b^7

o38 : MultigradedBettiTally
i39 : J2 = minors(3, matrix{
      { S2_3^2, S2_4^2, -S2_2^2},
      {-S2_1*(S2_2-S2_3), 0, S2_0*S2_4},
      { S2_0, -S2_1, 0},
      { 0, S2_0, S2_1}});

o39 : Ideal of S2
i40 : CJ2 = freeResolution J2
o40 = S2^1 <-- S2^4 <-- S2^3
      0      1      2

o40 : Complex
i41 : netList apply(1+length CJ2, i -> degrees CJ2_i)
o41 = +-----+-----+-----+-----+
|{0, 0}| | | | |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|{3, 1}|{2, 2}|{2, 3}|{2, 3}|
+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|{3, 3}|{3, 3}|{3, 3}| |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+

i42 : multigraded betti CJ2
o42 =      0      1      2
0: 1
4: . a^3*b+a^2*b^2 .
5: . 2*a^2*b^3 .
6: . 3*a^3*b^3

o42 : MultigradedBettiTally
i43 : I2 == saturate(J2, ideal X2)
o43 = true
i44 : p2 == hilbertPolynomial(X2, J2)
o44 = true

```