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## Motivation: topological strings

Topological String/Spectral Theory (TS/ST) correspondence

$$
\text { Toric CY 3-fold } M \xrightarrow{\text { Mirror Symmetry }} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{M} \quad \text { (trace class operator) }
$$

The spectrum of $\rho_{M}$ is expected to be related to enumerative invariants of $M$ through the topological string partition functions.
Suggested by Aganagic-Dijkgraaf-Klemm-Mariño-Vafa (2006) and materialized by Grassi-Hatsuda-Mariño (2016).
Example: the local $\mathbb{P}^{2}$

$$
\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{-1}=\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v}+\mathrm{e}^{i \frac{\hbar}{2}} \boldsymbol{v}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u}^{-1}
$$

with positive self-adjoint operators $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ in a (separable) Hilbert space satisfying the Heisenberg-Weyl commutation relation

$$
\boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \hbar} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{u}, \quad \hbar \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}
$$

## Implications of the TS/ST correspondence

Fredholm determinant

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(1+\kappa \rho_{M}\right)=1+\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} Z(N, \hbar) \kappa^{N} \quad \text { (convergent series) }
$$

where the fermionic spectral traces $Z(N, \hbar)=\mathrm{e}^{F(N, \hbar)}$ provide a non-perturbative definition of the topological string partition functions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hbar & =\lambda N, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { (t'Hooft limit) } \\
F(N, \hbar) & \simeq \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{g}(\lambda) \hbar^{2-2 g} \quad \text { (asymptotic series) }
\end{aligned}
$$

with the standard topological string genus $g$ free energies $\mathcal{F}_{g}(\lambda)$ in the conifold frame where $\lambda$ is a flat coordinate for the CY moduli space vanishing at the conifold point.

## The spectral problem

Define a positive self-adjoint operator in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$

$$
\boldsymbol{H}:=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b} \boldsymbol{p}}+\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b} \boldsymbol{x}}+\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b}(\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{x})}, \quad \mathrm{b}:=\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2 \pi}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}
$$

with normalized Heisenberg's position and momentum operators

$$
\langle x| \boldsymbol{x}=x\langle x|, \quad\langle x| \boldsymbol{p}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\langle x|, \quad[\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{x}]=(2 \pi i)^{-1}
$$

Small $\hbar$ limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{H}=3+\hbar \sqrt{3}\left(\boldsymbol{a}^{*} \boldsymbol{a}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\hbar^{3 / 2}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{a}:=\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi}}{\sqrt[4]{3}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{p} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\pi i}{3}}\right), \quad\left[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a}^{*}\right]=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Power series expansion of eigenvalues $E_{n}(\hbar)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{n, k} \hbar^{\frac{k}{2}}$,

$$
E_{n, 0}=3, \quad E_{n, 1}=0, \quad E_{n, 2}=\sqrt{3}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right), \ldots
$$

If $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, 0<\theta<\pi / 2$, then $\boldsymbol{H}$ is formally normal:

$$
\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{H}) \subset \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{H}^{*}\right), \quad\|\boldsymbol{H} x\|=\left\|\boldsymbol{H}^{*} x\right\| \forall x \in \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{H})
$$

## Lemma

Let $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a finite set of densely defined operators such that $\boldsymbol{A}:=\sum_{j \in J} \boldsymbol{a}_{j}$ is densely defined and, for any $j, k \in J$, the operator $\boldsymbol{a}_{j}+\boldsymbol{a}_{k}$ is formally normal. Then $\boldsymbol{A}$ is formally normal.

Proof. As $\boldsymbol{a}_{j}$ is formally normal for any $j \in J$, it follows that

$$
\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{A})=\cap_{j \in J} \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{j}\right) \subset \cap_{j \in J} \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{j}^{*}\right)=\mathcal{D}\left(\sum_{j \in J} \boldsymbol{a}_{j}^{*}\right) \subset \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{A}^{*}\right)
$$

For any $j, k \in J$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{j}+\boldsymbol{a}_{k}\right)$, one deduces that

$$
\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{j} x \mid \boldsymbol{a}_{k} x\right\rangle-\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{j}^{*} x \mid \boldsymbol{a}_{k}^{*} x\right\rangle=: M_{j, k}(x)=-M_{k, j}(x)
$$

For any $x \in \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{A})$, the equality $\|\boldsymbol{A} x\|=\left\|\boldsymbol{A}^{*} x\right\|$ follows from

$$
\|\boldsymbol{A} x\|^{2}-\left\|\boldsymbol{A}^{*} x\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j, k \in J} M_{j, k}(x)=-\sum_{j, k \in J} M_{k, j}(x)=\left\|\boldsymbol{A}^{*} x\right\|^{2}-\|\boldsymbol{A} x\|^{2}
$$

In our case $\boldsymbol{H}=\boldsymbol{a}_{1}+\boldsymbol{a}_{2}+\boldsymbol{a}_{3}$ with

$$
\boldsymbol{a}_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b} \boldsymbol{p}}, \quad \boldsymbol{a}_{2}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b} x}, \quad \boldsymbol{a}_{3}=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b}(\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{x})}
$$

and $\boldsymbol{a}_{1}+\boldsymbol{a}_{2}=\boldsymbol{U} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b} \boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{U}^{*}$ with unitary operator

$$
\boldsymbol{U}:=\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{b}}(x):=\frac{\left(-q \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b} x} ; q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(-\bar{q} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b}^{-1} x} ; \bar{q}^{2}\right)_{\infty}}
$$

$q:=\mathrm{e}^{\pi i b^{2}}, \bar{q}:=\mathrm{e}^{-\pi i b^{-2}}$, and similarly for two other pairs.
Thus, $\boldsymbol{H}$ is at least formally normal and it is expected to admit a unique normal extension.

## Principle of F-duality

The common spectral problem for $\boldsymbol{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}^{*}$ is equivalent to constructing an element $\langle x \mid \Psi\rangle:=\Psi(x) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ admitting analytic continuation to a domain in $\mathbb{C}$ containing the strip $|\Im z|<\cos \theta$ and satisfying two difference equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi(x-i \mathrm{~b})+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi i \mathrm{~b}^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b} x} \Psi(x+i \mathrm{~b})=\left(E-\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b} x}\right) \Psi(x), \\
\Psi\left(x-i \mathrm{~b}^{-1}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-\pi i \mathrm{~b}^{-2}} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b}^{-1} x} \Psi\left(x+i \mathrm{~b}^{-1}\right)=\left(\bar{E}-\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b}^{-1} x}\right) \Psi(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

related to each other by the substitutions

$$
(\mathrm{b}, E) \leftrightarrow\left(\mathrm{b}^{-1}, \bar{E}\right) \text { (Faddeev's modular duality=F-duality). }
$$

In the general case of Baxter's TQ-equations, an approach for constructing solutions in the strongly coupled regime is suggested by Sergeev (2005).
An approach through auxiliary non-linear integral equations is developed by Babelon-Kozlowski-Pasquier (2018).

There are two possibilities

$$
\left.\Psi(x)\right|_{x \rightarrow+\infty} \sim \psi_{k}(x):=\mathrm{e}^{\pi i(1-3 k) x^{2}-2 \pi x \cos \theta}, \quad k \in\{0,1\}
$$

with exact solutions $\psi_{k}(x)=\psi_{k}(x) \varphi_{k}(x)$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varphi_{k}\left(x-\epsilon_{k}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-\left(2 x+\epsilon_{k}\right) 3 \pi \mathrm{~b}} \varphi_{k}\left(x+\epsilon_{k}\right)=\left(1-E \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b} x}\right) \varphi_{k}(x) \\
\epsilon_{k}:=(1-2 k) i \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}
$$

+ the F-dual equations $(\mathrm{b}, E) \mapsto\left(\mathrm{b}^{-1}, \bar{E}\right)$ and the boundary conditions

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi_{k}(x)=1
$$

## The factorisation Ansatz

The F-dual substitutions

$$
\varphi_{k}(x)=\chi_{k}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b} x}\right) \bar{\chi}_{k}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b}^{-1} x}\right), \quad k \in\{0,1\}
$$

give rise to power series solutions $\left(q:=e^{\pi i b^{2}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{k}(z)=\phi_{q^{2 k-1}, E}(1 / z), \quad \phi_{q, E}(z):= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}(q, E)}{\left(q^{-2} ; q^{-2}\right)_{n}} z^{n}, \\
& \bar{\chi}_{k}=\left.\chi_{k}\right|_{(q, E) \mapsto\left(\bar{q}^{-1}, \bar{E}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the polynomials $p_{n}=p_{n}(q, E) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right][E]$ of degree $n$ in $E$ defined by

$$
p_{n+1}=E p_{n}+\left(q^{n}-q^{-n}\right)\left(q^{n-1}-q^{1-n}\right) p_{n-2}, \quad p_{0}=1
$$

$\left.p_{n}(q, E)\right|_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sim q^{-n^{2} / 3} \Rightarrow R C\left(\phi_{q, E}(z)\right)=\infty$ (radius of convergence) and $R C\left(\phi_{1 / q, E}(z)\right)=0$.

## The vector spaces $F_{p, c}, V_{p, \alpha, c}, T_{p, r}^{m}$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of holomorphic maps $f: \mathbb{C}_{\neq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For $c \in \mathbb{C}, p, r, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the following vector subspaces of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}}$

- $F_{p, c}:=\left\{f \mid f\left(z / p^{2}\right)+(z p)^{3} f\left(z p^{2}\right)=(1-c z) f(z)\right\}$;
- $V_{p, \alpha, c}:=\left\{f \mid \alpha z f\left(z / p^{2}\right)+z^{2} p \alpha^{-1} f\left(z p^{2}\right)=(1-c z) f(z)\right\}$;
- $T_{p, r}^{m}:=\left\{f \mid r z^{m} f(z p)=f(z)\right\}$.


## Lemma

(i) $|p|^{m}<1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{dim}\left(T_{p, r}^{m}\right)=|m|(\theta$-functions of order $|m|)$;
(ii) $\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{q, \alpha, E}\right)=1$;
(iii) the multiplication of functions induces a linear map $V_{q, \alpha, E} \otimes T_{q^{2}, q^{2} \alpha}^{1} \rightarrow F_{q, E} ;$
(iv) $\operatorname{dim}\left(F_{q, E}\right)=3$ and $\phi_{q, E} \in F_{q, E}$.

## Proof of $(i i) \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{q, \alpha, E}\right)=1$

Cosider a linear map

$$
A: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}}, \quad(A f)(z)=P_{+}\left(f \psi_{q, E}\right)(1 / \sqrt{-z})
$$

where

$$
\psi_{q, E}(z):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}(q, E) q^{(1-n) n / 2}}{\left(q^{-2} ; q^{-2}\right)_{n}} z^{n}=\psi_{1 / q, E}(-z)
$$

( $\infty$ radius of convergence) and $P_{+}$is the projection to the even part of a function:

$$
P_{+}(f)(z)=(f(z)+f(-z)) / 2
$$

Then, the restriction $\left.A\right|_{T_{q,-\alpha}^{1}}$ is a linear isomorphism between $T_{q,-\alpha}^{1}$ and $V_{q, \alpha, E}$.

## First order matrix difference equation for $F_{p, c}$

For any $f \in F_{p, c}$, we have

$$
\hat{f}(z)=L(z) \hat{f}\left(z p^{2}\right), \quad \hat{f}(z):=\binom{f\left(\frac{z}{p^{2}}\right)}{f(z)}, L(z):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-c z & -z^{3} p^{3} \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Defining

$$
L_{n}(z):=L(z) L\left(z p^{2}\right) \cdots L\left(z p^{2 n-2}\right)=:\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{n}(z) & b_{n}(z) \\
c_{n}(z) & d_{n}(z)
\end{array}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}
$$

we have

$$
L_{m+n}(z)=L_{m}(z) L_{n}\left(z p^{2 m}\right), \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}
$$

in particular,

$$
L_{n+1}(z)=L(z) L_{n}\left(z p^{2}\right)=L_{n}(z) L\left(z p^{2 n}\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}
$$

Assuming $|p|<1$ and taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
L_{\infty}(z):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{n}(z)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{p, c}\left(z / p^{2}\right) & 0 \\
\phi_{p, c}(z) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Adjoint functions

Define the (skew-symmetric bilinear) Wronskian pairing

$$
[\cdot, \cdot]: F_{q, E} \times F_{q, E} \rightarrow T_{q^{2}, q^{3}}^{3}, \quad[f, g](z)=f\left(\frac{z}{q^{2}}\right) g(z)-g\left(\frac{z}{q^{2}}\right) f(z)
$$

and the adjoint function $\tilde{f}: U\left(\left[\phi_{q, E}, f\right]\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$
\tilde{f}(z):=\frac{f(z)}{\left[\phi_{q, E}, f\right](z)}, \quad \forall f \in F_{q, E}, \quad U(g):=\mathbb{C}_{\neq 0} \backslash g^{-1}(0)
$$

Adjoint functions are analytic substitutes for the series $\phi_{1 / q, E}(z)$.

## Theorem

Let $f \in F_{q, E}$ be such that $U\left(\left[\phi_{q, E}, f\right]\right) \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$
z \in U\left(\left[\phi_{q, E}, f\right]\right) \Rightarrow z q^{2 \mathbb{Z}} \subset U\left(\left[\phi_{q, E}, f\right]\right), \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}\left(z q^{2 n}\right)=1
$$

and $\tilde{f}(z)$ admits an asymptotic expansion at small $z$ in the form of the series $\phi_{1 / q, E}(z)$.

## The general Ansatz for $\Psi(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(x)=\Psi_{0}(x)+\xi \Psi_{1}(x) \text { where } \xi \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } \\
& \Psi_{0}(x):=\psi_{0}(x) \tilde{h}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b} x}\right) \phi_{\bar{q}, \bar{E}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b}^{-1} x}\right), \quad h \in F_{q, E} \backslash \mathbb{C} \phi_{q, E} \\
& \\
& \Psi_{1}(x):=\psi_{1}(x) \phi_{q, E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b} x}\right) \tilde{\bar{h}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b}^{-1} x}\right), \quad \bar{h} \in F_{\bar{q}, \bar{E}} \backslash \mathbb{C} \phi_{\bar{q}, \bar{E}}
\end{aligned}
$$

sharing a common pole set (Requirement(I)).
Then, for any $\zeta, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, there exist a (multivalued) function $E=E(q, \zeta, \sigma)$ and elements $f \in V_{q, q \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b} \zeta, E}, \bar{f}} \in V_{\bar{q}, \bar{q} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b}^{-1} \zeta, \bar{E}}}$ with $\bar{E}:=\left.E\right|_{q \mapsto \bar{q}}$ such that
$\Psi(x)=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi x \cos \theta} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\pi i x^{2}} f(z) \phi_{\bar{q}, \bar{E}}(\bar{z})+\xi \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi i(\zeta+2 \sin \theta) x} \bar{f}(\bar{z}) \phi_{q, E}(z)}{\vartheta\left(z / s ; q^{2}\right) \vartheta\left(z s \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{~b} \zeta} ; q^{2}\right)}$
where $z:=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b} x}, \bar{z}:=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b}^{-1} x}, s:=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b} \sigma}, \bar{s}:=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{~b}^{-1} \sigma}$, $\vartheta(u ; p):=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{(n-1) n / 2}(-u)^{n}=(u, p / u, p ; p)_{\infty}$.

## Pole cancellation in $\Psi(x)$

## Theorem

Under the substitution

$$
\xi=\xi(\theta, \zeta, \sigma):=-\mathrm{e}^{\pi i \sigma(\sigma+2 \zeta)} \frac{f(s) \phi_{\bar{q}, \bar{E}}(\bar{s}) \bar{s}}{\bar{f}(\bar{s}) \phi_{q, E}(s) s} .
$$

all the poles of $\Psi(x)$ at $x=\sigma+i \mathrm{~b} m+i \mathrm{~b} n, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are cancelled. Furthermore, the equation

$$
\xi(\zeta, \theta, \sigma)=\xi(\zeta, \theta, \zeta-\sigma)
$$

ensures that all the remaining poles of $\Psi(x)$ are cancelled as well (Requirement(II)).

