

Some philosophical aspects of the renormalization of gauge theories

John Dougherty
`john.dougherty@lrz.uni-muenchen.de`

Munich Center for Mathematical Philosophy

18 November 2021

Outline

- ① The contemporary picture of applicability
- ② This picture's problems with gauge theories
- ③ Changing the picture

The early modern applicability problem

Combine

- 1 Methodology: proofs, not experiments
- 2 Applicability: mathematical

A new strategy

Mathematics is about features of us: our capacities for producing scientific representations

Ups and downs

- ↘ Turn towards naturalism: science isn't about us
- ↗ Try again: math is about our concepts
- ↘ Set-theoretic paradoxes: math isn't about concepts
- ↗ Try again: math is about our linguistic framework
- ↘ Formal semantics is successful: math is about math

Today

Most important idea

Mathematics is about mathematical objects, which are Tarski models (sets equipped with structures) satisfying certain axioms

Newtonian electromagnetism

Classical action

$$S_A(x) = \int dt \left(\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2 + q \dot{x}^\mu A_\mu \right)$$

Aharonov–Bohm

Semiclassical theory

$$\psi(x, t) = \int dx_0 \int \mathcal{D}x e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_A(x)} \psi(x_0, t_0)$$

Main problem

What is the configuration space?

- 1 Ω^1 is too spooky
- 2 What else could it be?

Constraints on \mathcal{C}

- 1 Must be a set equipped with some structure
- 2 Should factor $\Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Omega^2$
- 3 Can't be a sheaf (AB effect)
- 4 Must work for QFT

Quantum Yang–Mills

Naively

$$Z = \int_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}^1} \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^4x \frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 \right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\text{Hess } S|}}$$

Faddeev–Popov ghosts

New fields

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}(F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial^\mu A_\mu^a)^2 - \bar{c}_a \partial^\mu D_\mu c^a + \frac{1}{2}(b_a + \partial^\mu A_\mu^a)^2$$

An interpretation

Stacks

- Integrate over the moduli *stack* of connections
- Ghost “fields” are coordinates on the “arrow directions”

Derived geometry

- Use stationary phase to replace with integral over *derived* critical locus of the action
- “Antifields” are coordinates on the shifted cotangent space

Putting these together

A homotopical interpretation

- Stacks (homotopy sheaves) enable quotient by gauge transformations
- Derived critical locus is homotopic correction of critical locus

Problem

Derived stacks cannot be interpreted as Tarskian models in the right way.

Conclusion

- 1 Standard story about applicability: mathematical objects have a certain linguistic structure and are applied using this structure
- 2 Gauge theories are in tension with this story
- 3 Recent work applying homotopical methods to the renormalization of gauge theories can be used to argue against the standard picture.