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Abstract

Let $V$ be a simple vertex operator algebra satisfying the following conditions: (i) $V_{(n)} = 0$ for $n < 0$, $V_{(0)} = \mathfrak{g}$ and $V'$ is isomorphic to $V$ as a $V$-module. (ii) Every $N$-gradable weak $V$-module is completely reducible. (iii) $V$ is $C_2$-cofinite. We prove that the Verlinde conjecture holds for $V$, that is, the matrices formed by the fusion rules among the irreducible $V$-modules are diagonalized by the matrix given by the action of the modular transformation $\tau \mapsto -1/\tau$ on the space of characters of irreducible $V$-modules. Using this result, we obtain the Verlinde formula for the fusion rules. We also prove that the matrix associated to the modular transformation $\tau \mapsto -1/\tau$ is symmetric.

0 Introduction

The Verlinde conjecture $V$ in conformal field theory states that the action of the modular transformation $\tau \mapsto -1/\tau$ on the space of characters of a rational conformal field theory diagonalizes the fusion rules. Combined with axioms for higher-genus rational conformal field theories, the Verlinde conjecture lead to a Verlinde formula $V$ for the dimensions of the spaces of conformal blocks on higher-genus Riemann surfaces. In the particular case of the conformal field theories associated to affine Lie algebras (the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten models), this Verlinde formula gives a surprising formula for the dimensions of the spaces of sections of the "generalized theta divisors" and has given rise to a great deal of excitement and new mathematics. See the works [TUY] by Tsuchiya-Ueno-Yamada, [BL] by Beauville-Laszlo, [F] by Faltings and [KNR] by Kumar-Narasimhan-Ramanathan for details and proofs of this particular case of the Verlinde formulas.
Moore and Seiberg showed in [MS1] on a physical level of rigor that this conjecture indeed follows from the axioms for rational conformal field theories (see [MS2] for more detailed discussions of axioms and assumptions for rational conformal field theories on a physical level of rigor). This work [MS1] [MS2] of Moore and Seiberg greatly advanced our understanding of the structure of conformal field theories. However, it is a very hard problem to construct theories satisfying these axioms mathematically and therefore the existence of rational conformal field theories is a very strong assumption. In this paper, using the results on the duality and modular invariance of genus-zero and genus-one correlation functions, especially those obtained recently in [H6] and [H7], we formulate and prove a general version of the Verlinde conjecture in the framework of the theory of vertex operator algebras, which were first introduced and studied in mathematics by Borcherds [B] and Frenkel-Lepowsky-Meurman [FLM]. Our theorem assumes only that the vertex operator algebra we consider satisfies certain natural grading, finiteness and reductivity properties (see below). This result is part of a program to construct mathematically conformal field theories in the sense of Kontsevich and Segal [S1] [S2] from representations of vertex operator algebras. It will be a main step towards a mathematical proof of the Verlinde formula for the dimensions of conformal blocks on higher-genus Riemann surfaces for conformal field theories other than the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten models.

Here we state our main result. Let $V$ be a simple vertex operator algebra satisfying the following conditions: (i) $V(n) = 0$ for $n < 0$, $V(0) = \mathbb{C}1$ and $V'$ is isomorphic to $V$ as a $V$-module. (ii) Every $\mathbb{Z}$-gradable weak $V$-module is completely reducible. (iii) $V$ is $C_2$-cofinite (that is, $\dim V/C_2(V) < \infty$ where $C_2(V)$ is the subspace of $V$ spanned by $u_{-2}v$ for $u, v \in V$. Let $A$ be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible $V$-modules. For $a \in A$, we choose a representative $W^a$ in $a$ such that $W^e = V$ where $e$ is the equivalence class containing $V$. For $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$, let $N_{a_1,a_2}^{a_3} = N_{W^{a_1},W^{a_2}}^{W^{a_3}}$ be the corresponding fusion rules (see [FHL]). For $a \in A$, let $N(a)$ be the matrix whose entries are $N_{a_1,a_1}^{a_2}$ for $a_1, a_2 \in A$, that is,

$$N(a) = (N_{a_1,a_2}^{a_3}).$$

For $a \in A$, we have the characters or shifted graded dimensions $\text{Tr}_{W^a} q^L \tau$ where $q_r = e^{2\pi r}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. In [Z], Zhu proved under some conditions, mostly stronger than the three conditions above, that the maps given by

$$u \mapsto \text{Tr}_{W^a} Y_{W^a}(e^{2\pi r L(0)} u, e^{2\pi r} q^L \tau)$$

where
for \( u \in V \), where \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), are linearly independent and there exist \( S^{a_2}_{a_1} \in \mathbb{C} \) for \( a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A} \) such that

\[
\text{Tr}_{W^{a_1}} Y_{W^{a_1}} \left( e^{-\frac{2\pi i L_0}{\tau}} \left( -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) u, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau}} \right) q_{L_0}^{\frac{L_0}{2}} = \sum_{a_2 \in \mathcal{A}} S^{a_2}_{a_1} \text{Tr}_{W^{a_2}} Y_{W^{a_2}} \left( e^{2\pi i L_0 (\tau)} u, e^{2\pi i} \right) q_{\tau}^{L_0(\tau) - \frac{L_0}{2}}.
\]

in [DLM], Dong, Li and Mason improved Zhu’s results above by showing that they also hold under the conditions (slightly weaker than what) we assume in our paper. In particular, when \( u = 1 \), we have

\[
\text{Tr}_{W^{a_1}} q_{\tau}^{L_0(\tau) - \frac{L_0}{2}} = \sum_{a_2 \in \mathcal{A}} S^{a_2}_{a_1} \text{Tr}_{W^{a_2}} q_{\tau}^{L_0(\tau) - \frac{L_0}{2}}.
\]

Then our main result says that the matrix \((S^{a_2}_{a_1})\) diagonalizes \( \mathcal{N}(a) \) for \( a \in \mathcal{A} \). See Theorem 5.2 for a more complete and precise statement. Using this result, we obtain the Verlinde formula for the fusion rules for such a vertex operator algebra. We also prove that the matrix \((S^{a_2}_{a_1})\) is symmetric.

Note that fusion rules and modular transformations of characters were already defined mathematically for suitable vertex operator algebras in [FHL] by Frenkel-Huang-Lepowsky using intertwining operators and in [Z] by Zhu using his modular invariance theorem, respectively. The \( C_2 \)-cofiniteness condition was also introduced in [Z]. So the general version of the Verlinde conjecture proved in this paper could have been mathematically formulated at that time. Further results on intertwining operators and modular invariance were obtained in [HL1]–[HL4] by Huang-Lepowsky, in [H1], [H2] and [H5] by the author, in [DLM] by Dong-Li-Mason and in [M] by Miyamoto. But these results are still not enough for the proof of this general version of the Verlinde conjecture. The main obstructions are the duality and modular invariance properties for genus-zero and genus-one \textit{multi-point} correlation functions constructed from intertwining operators for a vertex operator algebra satisfying the conditions above. These properties were proved recently in [H6] and [H7] by the author so that the proof of the Verlinde conjecture in the present paper becomes possible.

The main work of the present paper is to establish mathematically some formulas needed in the proof of the Verlinde conjecture. Most of the formulas were first obtained on a physical level of rigor by Moore and Seiberg [MS1] [MS2] using axioms for rational conformal field theories. In the present
paper, our formulations and proofs are based on the results obtained in the theory of vertex operator algebras. We use only those results which have been established mathematically and we do not assume that all axioms for rational conformal field theories hold. In [MS1] and [MS2], Moore and Seiberg discussed many of the subtle technical details using examples such as the minimal models. Many of these discussions cannot be generalized to general cases. For example, in [MS1] and [MS2], spaces of chiral vertex operators (intertwining operators) are identified with tensor products of spaces of lowest weight vectors in modules. This identification allows them to give an $S_3$ action easily on the direct sum of these spaces and the formulas obtained in [MS1] and [MS2] depend heavily on this action. It is known that in general spaces of intertwining operators cannot be identified with tensor products of spaces of lowest weight vectors and thus, even if we assume that all axioms for rational conformal field theories hold, the method based on particular examples in [MS1] and [MS2] cannot be directly adopted to establish the formulas we need. In the present paper, we define this action of $S_3$ using the skew-symmetry for intertwining operators and the contragredient intertwining operators in [FHL] and [HL2] and prove all the results and formulas needed. There are other examples similar to this one in the present paper. In this sense, even if we assume that all axioms for rational conformal field theories hold, the formulas and results stated in [MS1] and [MS2] are actually conjectures and in the present paper we give mathematical proofs.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic theory of vertex operator algebras as presented in [FLM], [FHL] and [LL]. We also assume that the reader has some basic knowledge in the theories of intertwining operators, tensor products, composition-invertible formal series and the Virasoro algebra, and the modular invariance, as developed in, for example, [DLM], [HL1]–[HL4], [H1]–[H7], [M] and [Z].

The present paper is organized as follows: In Section 1, we state our basic assumptions and we discuss intertwining operators and genus-zero correlation functions constructed from them. An action of $S_3$ on the direct sum of spaces of intertwining operators among irreducible modules are also given in this section. In section 2, we discuss geometrically-modified intertwining operators and genus-one correlation functions constructed from these operators. The modular transformation associated to $\tau \mapsto -1/\tau$ is recalled in this section. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of three formulas for braiding and fusing matrices. Using all the results obtained in Sections 1, 2 and 3, we prove two formulas derived first by Moore and Seiberg from the axioms for
rational conformal field theories in Section 4. Finally in Section 5, we prove the Verlinde conjecture, the Verlinde formula for fusion rules and that the matrix associated to the modular transformation $\tau \mapsto -1/\tau$ is symmetric.

**Notations**  In this paper, $i$ is either $\sqrt{-1}$ or an index, and it should be easy to tell which is which. The symbols $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\mathbb{H}$ denote the nonnegative integers, positive integers, integers, rational numbers, complex numbers, nonzero complex numbers and the upper half plane, respectively. We shall use $x, y, \ldots$ to denote commuting formal variables and $z, z_1, z_2, \ldots$ to denote complex numbers or complex variables.

**Acknowledgment**  This research is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0070800. I am grateful to J. Lepowsky for comments and to L. Kong for reading the paper and for finding a number of typos.

## 1  Intertwining operators and genus-zero correlation functions

Let $V$ be a simple vertex operator algebra and $C_2(V)$ the subspace of $V$ spanned by $u_x v$ for $u, v \in V$. In the present paper, we shall always assume that $V$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $V(n) = 0$ for $n < 0$, $V(0) = \mathbb{C}1$ and $V'$ is isomorphic to $V$ as a $V$-module.
2. Every $\mathbb{N}$-gradable weak $V$-module is completely reducible.
3. $V$ is $C_2$-cofinite, that is, $\dim V/C_2(V) < \infty$.

Note that when $V(n) = 0$ for $n < 0$, $V(0) = \mathbb{C}1$, $V'$ is isomorphic to $V$ as a $V$-module if for any irreducible $V$-module not isomorphic to $V$, $W(0) = 0$. So the results of the present paper still hold if Condition 1 above is replaced by the condition $V(n) = 0$ for $n < 0$, $V(0) = \mathbb{C}1$, and $W(0) = 0$ for any irreducible $V$-module not isomorphic to $V$.

From [DLM], we know that there are only finitely many inequivalent irreducible $V$-modules. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible $V$-modules. We denote the equivalence class containing $V$ by $e$. Note that
the contragredient module of an irreducible module is also irreducible (see [FHL]). So we have a map

\[ \rho : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \]

\[ a \mapsto a'. \]

For \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), if \( a \neq a' \), we choose representatives \( W^a \) and \( W^{a'} \) of \( a \) and \( a' \) such that \( (W^a)' = W^{a'} \) and, after we identify \( (W^a)' \) with \( W^a \), we also have \( (W^{a'})' = W^a \). If \( a = a' \neq e \), we choose any nondegenerate bilinear invariant form on \( W^a \) and using this form, we can identify \( (W^a)' \) with \( W^{a'} \) and \( (W^{a'})' \) with \( W^a \). For \( a = e \), we choose the nondegenerate bilinear invariant form \((\cdot, \cdot)\) normalized by \((1,1)\). Since the results of the present paper involve only elements of \( \mathcal{A} \), not representatives of these elements, it is convenient to identify \( V \)-modules and their double contragredient modules and to identify \( (W^a)' \) with \( W^{a'} \) and \( (W^{a'})' \) with \( W^a \) using the chosen nondegenerate bilinear invariant forms. After these identifications, we see that we can find a representative \( W^a \) of \( a \) for each \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( W^e = V \) and \( (W^a)' = W^{a'} \).

In this paper, for simplicity, we fix such a choice. From [AM] and [DLM], we know that irreducible \( V \)-modules are in fact graded by rational numbers. Thus for \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), there exist \( h_a \in \mathbb{Q} \) such that \( W^a = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{N}} W_{(n)} \).

For \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A} \), let \( \mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} \) be the spaces of intertwining operators of type \( W_{W_{a_1}}^{a_3} W_{a_2} \). For any \( \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} \), we know from [FHL] that for \( w_{a_1} \in W_{a_1} \) and \( w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2} \),

\[ \mathcal{Y}(w_{a_1}, x)w_{a_2} \in x^\Delta(\mathcal{Y})W_{a_3}[[x, x^{-1}]], \tag{1.1} \]

where

\[ \Delta(\mathcal{Y}) = h_{a_3} - h_{a_1} - h_{a_2}. \]

In the present paper, we shall use the following conventions: For \( z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \), \( \log z = \log |z| + i \arg z \), where \( 0 \leq \arg z < 2\pi \). For \( n \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( r \in \mathbb{C} \), \( z^r = e^{r \log z} \). For \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( s \in \mathbb{C} \), \( (e^{n \pi i})^s = e^{n \pi i s} \). Similarly, for \( z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \) and \( O \) an linear operator, \( z^O = e^{\log z^O} \), if \( e^{\log z^O} \) is well-defined. For \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( O \) an linear operator, \( (e^{n \pi i})^O = e^{n \pi i O} \), if \( e^{n \pi i O} \) is well defined. For \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A} \), \( z \in \mathbb{C} \), \( r \in \mathbb{C} \), \( \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} \), \( w_{a_1} \in W_{a_1} \) and \( w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2} \), \( \mathcal{Y}(w_{a_1}, z)w_{a_2} \) is \( \mathcal{Y}(w_{a_1}, x)w_{a_2} |_{x = e^{n \pi i \log z}, n \in \mathbb{C}} \) and \( \mathcal{Y}(w_{a_1}, e^r x)w_{a_2} \) is \( \mathcal{Y}(w_{a_1}, y)w_{a_2} |_{y = e^{n \pi i \log x}, n \in \mathbb{C}} \) by the conventions above.

We also know that the fusion rules \( N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} = N_{W_{a_1}^{a_3} W_{a_2}}^{a_3} \) for \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A} \) are all finite (see [GN], [I], [AN], [H6]). For \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A} \), we have isomorphisms
\( \Omega_r : \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1}^{a_3} \) and \( A_r : \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_3}^{a_2} \) for \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \) (see [HL2]). Using these isomorphisms, we define a left action of the symmetric group \( S_3 \) on

\[
\mathcal{V} = \prod_{a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3}
\]

as follows: For \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} \), we define

\[
\sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y}) = e^{\pi i \Delta(\mathcal{Y})} \Omega_{-1}(\mathcal{Y}) = e^{-\pi i \Delta(\mathcal{Y})} \Omega_0(\mathcal{Y}),
\]

\[
\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}) = e^{\pi i h_{a_1}} A_{-1}(\mathcal{Y}) = e^{-\pi i h_{a_1}} A_0(\mathcal{Y}).
\]

**Proposition 1.1** The actions \( \sigma_{12} \) and \( \sigma_{23} \) of (12) and (23) on \( \mathcal{V} \) defined above generate an action of \( S_3 \) on \( \mathcal{V} \).

**Proof.** We need only prove the relations which must be satisfied by \( \sigma_{12} \) and \( \sigma_{23} \). We prove only the relation

\[
\sigma_{12} \sigma_{23} \sigma_{12} = \sigma_{23} \sigma_{12}.
\]  
(1.2)

The other relations can be proved similarly.

Let \( \mathcal{Y} \) be an element of \( \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} \). Then for \( w_{a_1} \in W_{a_1}, w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2} \) and \( w'_{a_3} \in W_{a_3} \),

\[
\langle \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y}))(w_{a_2}, x)w'_{a_3}, w_{a_1} \rangle
\]

\[
= e^{\pi i h_{a_2}}(w'_{a_3}, \sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y}) (e^{x L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x} x)^{-2} L(0)) w_{a_2}, x^{-1} w_{a_1} \rangle
\]

\[
= e^{\pi i (h_{a_3} - h_{a_2})} \langle w'_{a_3}, e^{-x L(-1)} \mathcal{Y}(w_{a_1}, e^{-\pi i x} x^{-1}) e^{x L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x} x^{-2}) L(0) w_{a_2}, \rangle
\]

(1.3)

On the other hand,

\[
\langle \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}))(w_{a_2}, x)w'_{a_3}, w_{a_1} \rangle
\]

\[
= e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} - h_{a_2} - h_{a_3})} \langle e^{x L(-1)} \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y}))(w_{a_3}, e^{-\pi i x} x) w_{a_2}, w_{a_1} \rangle
\]

\[
= e^{\pi i (h_{a_3} - h_{a_2})} \cdot \langle e^{x L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x} x^{-2}) L(0) w'_{a_3}, e^{\pi i x} x^{-1} e^{x L(1)} w_{a_1} \rangle
\]

\[
= e^{-\pi i h_{a_3}} \langle e^{x L(-1)} \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y})(e^{x L(1)} w_{a_1}, x^{-1}) e^{x L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x} x^{-2}) L(0) w'_{a_3} \rangle
\]
\[
e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} - h_{a_3})} \langle \mathcal{Y} (e^{-\pi i (x^{-1})^{-2}} L(0) e^{x L(1)} w_{a_1}, x) e^{-\pi i L(1)} w_{a_2}, e^{-\pi i x^{-2}} L(0) w'_{a_3}, e^{-\pi i x^{-2}} L(0) w'_{a_4} \rangle \\
= e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} - h_{a_3})} \langle w'_{a_3}, e^{x^{-1} L(1)} e^{-\pi i x^{-2}} L(0) e^{-\pi i x^{-2}} L(0) w'_{a_4} \rangle \\
= e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} - h_{a_3})} \langle w'_{a_3}, e^{-\pi i x^{-2}} L(0) e^{x L(1)} w_{a_1}, e^{-\pi i x^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2} \rangle \\
= e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} - h_{a_3})} \langle w'_{a_3}, e^{-\pi i x^{-2}} L(0) e^{x L(1)} w_{a_1}, e^{-\pi i x^{-1}} L(0) w_{a_2} \rangle \\
= e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} - h_{a_3})} \langle w'_{a_3}, e^{-\pi i x^{-2}} L(0) e^{x L(1)} e^{-\pi i x^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2} \rangle \\
\]

From (1.3) and (1.4), we obtain

\[
\langle \sigma_{23} (\sigma_{12} (\mathcal{Y}))(w_{a_2}, x) w'_{a_3}, w_{a_1} \rangle = \langle \sigma_{12} (\sigma_{23} (\sigma_{23} (\mathcal{Y}))) (w_{a_2}, x) w'_{a_3}, w_{a_1} \rangle.
\]

Since \(a_1, a_2, a_3, w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}, w'_{a_3}, w'_{a_4}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}\) are arbitrary, we see that (1.2) holds. ■

For \(p = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \ldots\) and \(a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}\), let \(\mathcal{Y}^{a_3;[p]}_{a_1 a_2;[i]}\), \(i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3}\), be basis of \(\mathcal{Y}^{a_3}_{a_1 a_2}\). From Theorem 3.9 in [H6], the \(\bigcap_{a \in \mathcal{A}} W_a\) has a natural structure of an intertwining operator algebra in the sense of [H4] and [H5]. In particular, we have the associativity of intertwining operators (see (14.55) in [H1], Condition (vii) in Definition 3.1 in [H4] and Axiom 3 in Definition 2.1 in [H5]). Thus there exist

\[
F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_4;[1]}_{a_1 a_5;[i]} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_5;[2]}_{a_2 a_3;[j]} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_6;[3]}_{a_3 a_4;[k]} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_6;[4]}_{a_1 a_2;[l]}) \in \mathbb{C}
\]

for \(a_1, \ldots, a_6 \in \mathcal{A}\), \(i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_5}^{a_4}\), \(j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2 a_3}^{a_5}\), \(k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_4}^{a_6}\), \(l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_6}\), such that

\[
\langle w'_{a_3}^{a_4;[1]}(w_{a_1}, z_1) \mathcal{Y}^{a_5;[2]}_{a_2 a_3;[j]}(w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3} \rangle \\
= \sum_{a_6 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_6}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_3 a_4}^{a_6}} F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_4;[1]}_{a_1 a_5;[i]} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_5;[2]}_{a_2 a_3;[j]} \mathcal{Y}^{a_6;[3]}_{a_3 a_4;[l]} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_6;[4]}_{a_1 a_2;[k]}), \\
\langle w'_{a_3}^{a_4;[3]}(w_{a_1}, z_1) \mathcal{Y}^{a_6;[4]}_{a_3 a_4;[k]}(w_{a_3}, z_2) w_{a_3} \rangle
\]

when \(|z_1| > |z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0\), for \(a_1, \ldots, a_5 \in \mathcal{A}\), \(w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1}, w_{a_2} \in W^{a_2}, w_{a_3} \in W^{a_3}, w'_{a_3} \in W^{a_4} = (W^{a_4})', i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_5}^{a_4}\) and \(j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2 a_3}^{a_5}\). Note
that here we have used our convention on the choices of values of intertwining operators. The numbers

\[
F(\gamma^{a_4(1)}_{a_1a_2;j} \otimes \gamma^{a_5(2)}_{a_2a_3;j} : \gamma^{a_4(3)}_{a_1a_5;j} \otimes \gamma^{a_6(4)}_{a_1a_2;k})
\]

are matrix elements of the fusing isomorphism. In [H5], when these four basis are chosen to be the same, these matrix elements are denoted as \(F^{i,j,k,l}_{a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4}(a_1, a_2, a_3; a_4)\). In the present paper, since we want to emphasize their dependence on the basis and since we shall need matrix elements of the fusing isomorphism under different basis, we have to instead use the notation above.

The fusing isomorphism are invertible. Thus for any basis as above, there exist

\[
F^{-1}(\gamma^{a_4(1)}_{a_1a_2;j} \otimes \gamma^{a_6(2)}_{a_1a_2;k} : \gamma^{a_4(3)}_{a_1a_5;j} \otimes \gamma^{a_5(4)}_{a_2a_3;j}) \in \mathbb{C}
\]

for \(a_1, \ldots, a_6 \in A, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1}^{a_4}, j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2}^{a_5}, k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1}^{a_6}, l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2}^{a_6}, \) such that

\[
\langle w_{a_1}^{a_4}, \gamma^{a_4(1)}_{a_1a_2;j}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_2}, z_2 \rangle w_{a_3}
= \sum_{a_1 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_1}^{a_4}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_2}^{a_5}} F^{-1}(\gamma^{a_4(1)}_{a_1a_2;i} \otimes \gamma^{a_5(3)}_{a_1a_5;j} : \gamma^{a_4(2)}_{a_1a_2;k} \otimes \gamma^{a_6(4)}_{a_2a_3;j})
\]

\[
\langle w_{a_1}^{a_4}, \gamma^{a_4(1)}_{a_1a_2;i}(w_{a_1}, z_1)\gamma^{a_5(4)}_{a_2a_3;j}(w_{a_2}, z_2)w_{a_3} \rangle
\]

(1.6)

when \(|z_1| > |z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0\), for \(a_1, \ldots, a_6, a_0 \in A, w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1}, w_{a_2} \in W^{a_2}, w_{a_3} \in W^{a_3}, w_{a_4} \in W^{a_4}, (W^{a_4})', k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1}^{a_6}, l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2}^{a_6}.\)

These numbers are matrix elements of the inverse of the fusing isomorphism.

By Lemma 4.1 in [H5] or by the differential equations given by Theorem 1.4 in [H6], we know that

\[
\langle w_{a_1}^{a_4}, \gamma^{a_4(1)}_{a_1a_2;j}(w_{a_1}, z_1)\gamma^{a_5(2)}_{a_2a_3;j}(w_{a_2}, z_2)w_{a_3} \rangle
\]

\[
\langle w_{a_1}^{a_4}, \gamma^{a_4(3)}_{a_1a_5;j}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_2}, z_2 \rangle w_{a_3}
\]

\[
\langle w_{a_1}^{a_4}, \gamma^{a_4(5)}_{a_2a_3;j}(w_{a_2}, z_2)\gamma^{a_6(6)}_{a_1a_5;j}(w_{a_1}, z_1)w_{a_3} \rangle
\]

(1.7)

(1.8)

(1.9)

can all be analytically extended to multi-valued analytic functions on

\[M^2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z_1, z_2 \neq 0, z_1 \neq z_2 \} \]

We can lift multi-valued analytic functions on \(M^2\) to single-valued analytic functions on the universal covering \(M^2\) of \(M^2\). However, these single-valued
liftings are not unique. To obtain a unique lifting, we have to give single-valued branches of the multi-valued analytic functions in simply-connected subsets of \( M^2 \).

We use

\[
M^2(\lvert z_1 \rvert > \lvert z_2 \rvert > 0, \ 0 \leq \arg z_1, \ \arg z_2 < 2\pi), \quad (1.10)
\]

\[
M^2(\lvert z_2 \rvert > \lvert z_1 \rvert > 0, \ 0 \leq \arg z_1, \ \arg z_2 < 2\pi), \quad (1.11)
\]

\[
M^2(\lvert z_1 - z_2 \rvert > 0, \ 0 \leq \arg z_2, \ \arg(z_1 - z_2) < 2\pi) \quad (1.12)
\]

to denote the region \( \lvert z_1 \rvert > \lvert z_2 \rvert > 0 \) with cuts along the real lines in the \( z_1 \)- and \( z_2 \)-planes, the region \( \lvert z_2 \rvert > \lvert z_1 \rvert > 0 \) with cuts along the real lines in the \( z_1 \)- and \( z_2 \)-planes, the region \( \lvert z_2 \rvert > \lvert z_1 - z_2 \rvert > 0 \) with cuts along the real lines in the \( z_2 \)- and \( z_1 - z_2 \)-planes, respectively. The multi-valued analytic extensions of (1.7) and (1.8) have single-valued branches (1.7) and (1.8), respectively, on (1.10) and (1.12), respectively. Thus we have the corresponding single-valued analytic functions on \( M^2 \). We denote these analytic functions by

\[
E(\langle w_{a_1}, \gamma_{a_1 a_2; i}^{(1)}(w_{a_1}, x_1) \gamma_{a_2 a_3; j}^{(2)}(w_{a_2}, x_2)w_{a_3} \rangle)
\]

and

\[
E(\langle w_{a_1}, \gamma_{a_1 a_2; i}^{(3)}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_2}, z_2 \rangle w_{a_3} )
\]

respectively.

Then from (1.5) and (1.6), we immediately obtain

\[
E(\langle w_{a_4}, \gamma_{a_1 a_2; i}^{(1)}(w_{a_1}, z_1) \gamma_{a_2 a_3; j}^{(2)}(w_{a_2}, z_2)w_{a_3} \rangle)
\]

\[
= \sum_{a_6 \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_1 a_2}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_2 a_3}} F(\gamma_{a_1 a_2; i}^{(1)} \otimes \gamma_{a_2 a_3; j}^{(2)}; \gamma_{a_3 a_4; k}^{(3)} \otimes \gamma_{a_4 a_5; l}^{(4)}) \cdot E(\langle w_{a_1}, \gamma_{a_6 a_3; i}^{(3)}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_2}, z_2 \rangle w_{a_3} ) \quad (1.13)
\]

and

\[
E(\langle w_{a_4}, \gamma_{a_1 a_2; i}^{(1)}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_2}, z_2 \rangle w_{a_3} )
\]

\[
= \sum_{a_6 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_1 a_2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_2 a_3}} F^{-1}(\gamma_{a_1 a_2; i}^{(1)} \otimes \gamma_{a_2 a_3; j}^{(2)}; \gamma_{a_3 a_4; k}^{(3)} \otimes \gamma_{a_4 a_5; l}^{(4)}) \cdot E(\langle w_{a_1}, \gamma_{a_6 a_3; i}^{(3)}(w_{a_1}, z_1) \gamma_{a_2 a_3; j}^{(4)}(w_{a_2}, z_2)w_{a_3} \rangle). \quad (1.14)
\]
From a single-valued analytic function $f$ on $\bar{M}^2$, we can construct other single-valued analytic functions on $\bar{M}^2$ such that they and $f$ correspond to the same multi-valued analytic functions on $M^2$. One particularly interesting construction is given by a braiding operation. To give this construction, we need only give a single-valued branch of the multi-valued analytic function on $M^2$ corresponding to $f$ on a simply-connected region in $M^2$. We can view the region (1.11) as a simply-connected region in $M^2$. Thus $f$ gives a single-valued function on this region. For any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we now give a single-valued analytic functions on the region (1.10) in the following way: Consider the path

$$t \mapsto \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{e^{(2r+1)\pi i t}}{2}, \frac{3}{2} + \frac{e^{(2r+1)\pi i t}}{2} \right)$$

from the point $(1,2)$ in the region (1.11) to the point $(2,1)$ in the region (1.10). This path and the restriction of $f$ to the region (1.11) gives a unique single-valued analytic function on the region (1.10). This single-valued analytic function on this simply-connected region determines uniquely a single-valued analytic function $B_t^r(f)$ on $\bar{M}^2$ such that $f$ and $B_t^r(f)$ correspond to the same multi-valued analytic function on $M^2$.

We now consider (1.9). From the discussion above, we have a single-valued analytic function

$$E\left( \langle w_{a_4}, \gamma_{a_2 a_6; k}^{a_5} (w_{a_2}, z_2) \gamma_{a_1 a_3; l}^{a_5} (w_{a_1}, z_1) w_{a_5} \rangle \right)$$

on $\bar{M}^2$. Apply our construction above, we obtain another single-valued analytic function

$$B_t^r(E\left( \langle w_{a_4}, \gamma_{a_2 a_6; k}^{a_3} (w_{a_2}, z_2) \gamma_{a_1 a_3; l}^{a_6} (w_{a_1}, z_1) w_{a_5} \rangle \right))$$

on $\bar{M}^2$. In terms of these functions, the commutativity for intertwining operators (see Theorem 3.1 in [H2] and Proposition 2.2 [H5]) can be written as

$$B_t^r(E\left( \langle w_{a_4}, \gamma_{a_2 a_6; k}^{a_5; (1)} (w_{a_1}, z_2) \gamma_{a_2 a_3; l}^{a_5; (2)} (w_{a_2}, z_1) w_{a_5} \rangle \right))$$

$$= \sum_{a_5 \in A} \sum_{k = 1}^{N_{a_4}} \sum_{l = 1}^{N_{a_6}} B_t^r(\gamma_{a_2 a_6; k}^{a_5; (1)} \otimes \gamma_{a_2 a_3; l}^{a_5; (2)} \otimes \gamma_{a_2 a_6; k}^{a_6; (3)} \otimes \gamma_{a_1 a_3; l}^{a_6; (4)} \cdot E\left( \langle w_{a_4}, \gamma_{a_2 a_6; k}^{a_3} (w_{a_2}, z_2) \gamma_{a_1 a_3; l}^{a_6} (w_{a_1}, z_1) w_{a_5} \rangle \right)),$$
where the numbers

\[ B^{(r)}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_1}_{a_1 a_2}; \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^{a_3}_{a_3 a_4}; \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_4 a_5};) \]

are the matrix elements of the braiding isomorphism.

From the construction above, it is easy to see that the square \((B^{(r)})^2\) of \(B^{(r)}\) is actually the map sending a single-valued analytic function \(f\) on \(M^2\) to another one \((B^{(r)})^2(f)\) corresponding to the same multi-valued analytic functions on \(M^2\) in the following way: Consider the path

\[ t \mapsto \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^{2(2r+1)\pi i}}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^{2(2r+1)\pi i}}{2} \right) \]

from the point \((2, 1)\) to itself. This path and the restriction of \(f\) to the region \((1, 10)\) gives another single-valued analytic function on the same region. This new single-valued analytic function on the region \((1, 10)\) gives a single-valued analytic function \((B^{(r)})^2(f)\) on \(M^2\). From this description of \((B^{(r)})^2(f)\), we see that \((B^{(r)})^2(f)\) is in fact the monodromy of the multi-valued function corresponding to \(f\) given by \(\log(z_1 - z_2) \mapsto \log(z_1 - z_2) + 2(2r+1)\pi i\).

We shall also use similar notations to denote the matrix elements of the square \((B^{(r)})^2\) of \(B^{(r)}\) under the basis above as

\[ (B^{(r)})^2(\mathcal{Y}^{a_1}_{a_1 a_2}; \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^{a_3}_{a_3 a_4}; \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_4 a_5});) \]

that is,

\[ (B^{(r)})^2(E(\langle w_{a_4}, \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_1 a_2};(w_{a_1}, z_1)\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_3};(w_{a_2}, z_2)\rangle)) \]

\[ = \sum_{a_6 \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{\mathcal{N}_{a_6}} \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{N}_{a_6}} (B^{(r)})^2(\mathcal{Y}^{a_6}_{a_1 a_2}; \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_6}_{a_2 a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^{a_6}_{a_3 a_4}; \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_6}_{a_4 a_5});) \cdot \]

\[ \cdot E(\langle w_{a_6}, \mathcal{Y}^{a_6}_{a_1 a_2};(w_{a_1}, z_1)\mathcal{Y}^{a_6}_{a_2 a_3};(w_{a_2}, z_2)\rangle). \quad (1.15) \]

Similarly to correlation functions constructed from products and iterates of intertwining operators above, if we have a series \(\varphi\) which is absolutely convergent in a region in \(M^2\) and can be analytically extended to a multi-valued analytic function on \(M^2\), then we obtain a unique single-valued analytic function \(E(\varphi)\) on \(M^2\). For example, we know that for \(z \in \mathbb{C}\),

\[ \langle w_{a_4}, \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_1 a_2};(w_{a_1}, z_1) \rangle e^{-z L(-1)} \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3};(w_{a_2}, z_2) = e^{-z L(-1)} \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3};(w_{a_2}, z_2 - z) \]
is absolutely convergent in the region given by \(|z_1| > |z_2| > 0\) and \(|z_2 - z| > |z|\) and it can be analytically extended to a multi-valued analytic function on \(M^2\). Thus we have a single-valued analytic function

\[
E\left( \langle w_{a_1}', y_{(3)}^{a_5}(1) (w_{a_1}, z_1) \rangle e^{-zL(-1)} y_{(4)}^{a_5}(2) (w_{a_2}, z_2) e^{-zL(-1)} w_{a_3} \right)
\]

on \(M^2\). All the other properties of intertwining operators, for example, the skew-symmetry, the contragredient intertwining operators and so on, can all be expressed using equalities for such single-valued analytic functions on \(M^2\).

We shall need the following result:

**Proposition 1.2** For \(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A}\), the maps from \(W_{a_1}^* \otimes W_{a_2}^* \otimes W_{a_3}^*\) to the space of single-valued analytic functions on \(M^2\) given by

\[
w_{a_1} \otimes w_{a_2} \otimes w_{a_3} \mapsto E\left( \langle w_{a_1}', y_{(3)}^{a_5}(1) (w_{a_1}, z_1) \rangle y_{(4)}^{a_5}(2) (w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3} \right),
\]

\(a_5 \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_5}^{a_4}, j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2 a_5}^{a_4},\) are linearly independent. Similarly, for \(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A}\), the maps from \(W_{a_1}^* \otimes W_{a_2}^* \otimes W_{a_3}^*\) to the space of single-valued analytic functions on \(M^2\) given by

\[
w_{a_1} \otimes w_{a_2} \otimes w_{a_3} \mapsto E\left( \langle w_{a_1}', y_{(3)}^{a_5}(3) (w_{a_1}, z_1) \rangle y_{(4)}^{a_5}(4) (w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3} \right),
\]

\(a_6 \in \mathcal{A}, k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_6}^{a_4}, l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2 a_6}^{a_4},\) are linearly independent.

**Proof.** We prove only the linear independence of the maps obtained from products of intertwining operators. For iterates, the proof is similar.

Since analytic extensions are unique, we need only prove that the maps from \(W_{a_1}^* \otimes W_{a_2}^* \otimes W_{a_3}^*\) to the space of the single-valued analytic functions on the region \((1.10)\) given by

\[
w_{a_1} \otimes w_{a_2} \otimes w_{a_3} \mapsto \langle w_{a_1}', y_{(3)}^{a_5}(1) (w_{a_1}, z_1) \rangle y_{(4)}^{a_5}(2) (w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3},
\]

\(a_5 \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_5}^{a_4}, j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2 a_5}^{a_4},\) are linearly independent. Assume that

\[
\sum_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_1 a_5}^{a_4}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_2 a_5}^{a_4}} \lambda_{a_5, i, j} \langle w_{a_1}', y_{a_1 a_5;i}^{a_4}(1) (w_{a_1}, z_1) \rangle y_{a_2 a_5;j}^{a_4}(2) (w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3} = 0 \quad (1.16)
\]
Since (1.16) holds for all \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) satisfying \( |z_1| > |z_2| > 0 \), we obtain the following equation in formal variables:

\[
\sum_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_1}^{a_2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_2}^{a_3}} \lambda_{a_5,i,j} \langle w_{a_4}, \gamma_{a_1,a_5}^{(1)}(w_{a_1}, x_1) \rangle_{a_2} \gamma_{a_2,a_3}^{(2)}(w_{a_2}, x_2)w_{a_3} = 0 \quad (1.17)
\]

We want to show that \( \lambda_{a_5,i,j} = 0 \) for \( a_5 \in \mathcal{A} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1}^{a_2} \), and \( j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2}^{a_3} \).

From the tensor product theory in [HL1] and [HL4], we know that the tensor product module \( W_{a_2} \otimes P_{(z_2)} W_{a_3} \) is isomorphic to \( \bigoplus_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} N_{a_2}^{a_5} W_{a_5} \). For \( a_5 \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2}^{a_5} \), let \( \pi_{a_5,j} \) be the projections from \( \bigoplus_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} N_{a_2}^{a_5} W_{a_5} \) to the \( j \)-th copy of \( W_{a_5} \). Let \( f : W_{a_2} \otimes P_{(z_2)} W_{a_3} \to \bigoplus_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} N_{a_2}^{a_5} W_{a_5} \) be the isomorphism such that

\[
\pi_{a_5,j}(f(w_{a_2} \otimes P_{(z_2)} w_{a_3})) = \gamma_{a_2,a_3}^{(2)}(w_{a_2}, x_2)w_{a_3}
\]

for \( w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2} \) and \( w_{a_3} \in W_{a_3} \), where

\[
\pi_{a_5,j} : \bigoplus_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} N_{a_2}^{a_5} W_{a_5} \to W_{a_5}
\]

and

\[
f : W_{a_2} \otimes P_{(z_2)} W_{a_3} \to \bigoplus_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} N_{a_2}^{a_5} W_{a_5}
\]

are the natural extensions of \( \pi_{a_5,j} \) and \( f \). By the universal property for the tensor product module, such \( f \) indeed exists. Let \( \mathcal{Y}_2 \) be the intertwining operator corresponding to the intertwining map \( f : W_{a_2} \otimes W_{a_3} \to W_{a_2} \otimes P_{(z_2)} W_{a_3} \) (see [HL1] and [HL4]). Then we have

\[
\pi_{a_5,j}(f(\mathcal{Y}_2(w_{a_2}, x)w_{a_3})) = \gamma_{a_2,a_3}^{(2)}(w_{a_2}, x_2)w_{a_3} \quad (1.18)
\]

for \( w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2} \) and \( w_{a_3} \in W_{a_3} \). Let \( \mathcal{Y}_1 \) be the intertwining operator of type \( (w_{a_1}, (W_{a_2} \otimes P_{(z_2)} W_{a_3})) \) given by

\[
\mathcal{Y}_1(w_{a_1}, x) = \sum_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_1}^{a_5}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_2}^{a_3}} \lambda_{a_5,i,j} \gamma_{a_1,a_5}^{(1)}(w_{a_1}, x_1) \pi_{a_5,j}(f(w)) \quad (1.19)
\]

for \( w_{a_1} \in W_{a_1} \) and \( w \in W_{a_2} \otimes P_{(z_2)} W_{a_3} \).
By (1.18) and (1.19), the left-hand side of (1.17) is equal to

$$
\sum_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_5}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_5}} \lambda_{a_5,i,j} \langle w_{a_5}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_5}(w_{a_1}, x_1) \rangle \pi_{a_5;i}(f(x_2 w_{a_2})) = \langle w_{a_5}, \mathcal{Y}_1(w_{a_1}, x_1) \rangle \mathcal{Y}_2(w_{a_2}, x_2) w_{a_3} \rangle.
$$

Thus we have

$$
\langle w_{a_5}, \mathcal{Y}_1(w_{a_1}, x_1) \rangle \mathcal{Y}_2(w_{a_2}, x_2) w_{a_3} = 0 \quad (1.20)
$$

for $w_{a_5} \in W^{a_5}$, $w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1}$, $w_{a_2} \in W^{a_2}$, $w_{a_3} \in W^{a_3}$. Since the homogeneous components of $w_{a_2} \otimes P_{(e_2)} w_{a_3}$ or equivalently the $x_2$-coefficients of $\mathcal{Y}_2(w_{a_2}, x_2) w_{a_3}$ for $w_{a_2} \in W^{a_2}$ and $w_{a_3} \in W^{a_3}$ span $W^{a_2} \otimes P_{(e_2)} W^{a_3}$ (see [H1]), we obtain from (1.20) that

$$
\langle w_{a_5}, \mathcal{Y}(w_{a_1}, x_1) w \rangle = 0.
$$

for $w_{a_5} \in W^{a_5}$, $w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1}$ and $w \in W^{a_2} \otimes P_{(e_2)} W^{a_3}$.

Now take $w$ to be an element of $W^{a_2} \otimes P_{(e_2)} W^{a_3}$ such that $f(w)$ is in the $j$-th copy of $W^{a_3}$ in $\bigoplus_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} N_{a_5} W^{a_3}$, that is, take $w$ such that $\pi_{a_5;j}(f(w)) = f(w)$, $\pi_{a_5;m}(f(w)) = 0$ for $m \neq j$ and $\pi_{a_5;m}(f(w)) = 0$ for $a \neq a_5$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_5}} \lambda_{a_5,i,j} \langle w_{a_5}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_5}(w_{a_1}, x_1) f(w) \rangle = 0.
$$

Since $w_{a_5}$, $w_{a_1}$, $w$ are arbitrary elements of $W^{a_5}$, $W^{a_1}$ and the $j$-th copy of $W^{a_3}$ in $\bigoplus_{a_5 \in \mathcal{A}} N_{a_5} W^{a_3}$, respectively, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_5}} \lambda_{a_5,i,j} \mathcal{Y}_{a_5}(w_{a_1}, x_1) = 0.
$$

Since $\mathcal{Y}_{a_5}(w_{a_1})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_5}$ are linearly independent, we obtain $\lambda_{a_5,i,j} = 0$ for $a_5 \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_5}$ and $j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_5}$. \hfill \blacksquare

Since

$$
\langle w_{a_5}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}(w_{a_2}, z_1) \rangle \mathcal{Y}_{a_3}(w_{a_1}, z_3) = \langle w_{a_5}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}(w_{a_2}, z_1) \rangle \mathcal{Y}_{a_3}(w_{a_1}, z_3) w_{a_2}^2 \quad (1.21)
$$

and

$$
\langle w_{a_5}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_4}(w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2) \rangle \mathcal{Y}_{a_3}(w_{a_1}, z_3) = \langle w_{a_5}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_4}(w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2) \rangle \mathcal{Y}_{a_3}(w_{a_1}, z_3) w_{a_2}^2 \quad (1.22)
$$
satisfy a system of differential equations of regular singular points with coefficients in
\[ \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2^{-1}, z_2, z_2^{-1}, z_3, z_3^{-1}, (z_1 - z_2)^{-1}, (z_1 - z_3)^{-1}, (z_2 - z_3)^{-1}] \]
(see [H6]), they are absolutely convergent in the region given by \(|z_1| > |z_2| > |z_3| > 0\) and in the region given by \(|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0, |z_2| > |z_3| > 0, |z_2 - z_3| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0\), respectively. Using also these differential equations, we see that (1.21) and (1.22) can also be analytically extended to multi-valued analytic functions on
\[ M^3 = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid z_1, z_2, z_3 \neq 0, z_1 \neq z_2, z_2 \neq z_3, z_1 \neq z_3\}. \]
We can also choose single valued branches of these multi-valued analytic functions on the region given by \(|z_1| > |z_2| > |z_3| > 0\) with cuts along the real lines in the \(z_1\), \(z_2\) and \(z_3\)-planes and on the region \(|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0, |z_2| > |z_3| > 0, |z_2 - z_3| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0\) with cuts along the real lines in the \(z_2\), \(z_1 - z_2\) and \(z_3\)-planes.

Similarly to the case of two intertwining operators above, we also have the single-valued analytic functions
\[ E\left(\langle w_{a_1}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}^{z_2} (w_{a_2}^1, z_1) \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}^{z_3} (w_{a_2}, z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{a_1}^{z_3} (w_{a_1}, z_3) w^2_{a_2} \rangle \right) \]
and
\[ E\left(\langle w_{a_1}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}^{z_2} (w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2) w_{a_1}^1, z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{a_1}^{z_3} (w_{a_1}, z_3) w^2_{a_2} \rangle \right) \]
on the universal covering \(\overline{M}^3\) of \(M^3\). The associativity can also be written using these single valued analytic functions. Similarly we have other single valued analytic functions on \(\overline{M}^3\), for example,
\[ E\left(\langle w_{a_1}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}^{z_3} (w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2) w_{a_1}^1, z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}^{z_3} (w_{a_2}, z_1) w^2_{a_2} \rangle \right), \]
\[ B_{12}^{(e)} \left(\langle w_{a_1}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}^{z_3} (w_{a_2}, z_1) w_{a_1}^1, z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}^{z_3} (w_{a_2}, z_1) w^2_{a_2} \rangle \right), \]
\[ B_{23}^{(e)} \left(\langle w_{a_1}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}^{z_3} (w_{a_2}, z_1) w_{a_1}^1, z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{a_2}^{z_3} (w_{a_2}, z_1) w^2_{a_2} \rangle \right) \]
and so on, where the subscripts 12 and 23 in \(B_{12}^{(e)}\) and \(B_{23}^{(e)}\), respectively, mean that they corresponding to braiding isomorphisms which braid the first two and the last two intertwining operators, respectively.

Similarly to the case of two intertwining operators, we also have the following result:
Proposition 1.3 For $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \in \mathcal{A}$, the maps from $W^{a_1} \otimes W^{a_2} \otimes W^{a_3} \otimes W^{a_4}$ to the space of single-valued analytic functions on $M^3$ given by

$$w_{a_5} \otimes w_{a_1} \otimes w_{a_2} \otimes w_{a_3} \otimes w_{a_4} \mapsto E\left(\left(\sum_{j \neq k} (w_{a_1}, \ldots, w_{a_3} \otimes w_{a_4})\right) \left(\sum_{i \neq j} (w_{a_1}, \ldots, \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^{N_a} w_{a_1} w_{a_2} \otimes w_{a_3} \otimes w_{a_4})\right) \right)$$

for $a_6, a_7 \in \mathcal{A}$, $k = 1, \ldots, N_a$, $l = 1, \ldots, N_a$, and $m = 1, \ldots, N_a$, are linearly independent. Similarly, $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \in \mathcal{A}$, the maps from $W^{a_1} \otimes W^{a_2} \otimes W^{a_3} \otimes W^{a_4}$ to the space of single-valued analytic functions on $M^3$ given by the lifting to the universal covering of analytic extensions of products or combinations of products and iterates of basis of intertwining operators are linearly independent.

The proof of this proposition is similar to the proof of Proposition 1.2 and is omitted.

2 Geometrically-modified intertwining operators and genus-one correlation functions

We now discuss genus-one correlation functions. In the present paper, we need only one or two point functions. Genus-one correlation functions are defined using what we called geometrically-modified intertwining operators (see [H7]). We shall first discuss these operators and prove some important properties needed in this paper.

Let $A_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, be the complex numbers defined by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1 + 2\pi i y) = \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{A_j y^{j+1}}{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) y.$$

For any $V$-module $W$, let

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = (2\pi i x)^{L(0)} e^{-L^+(A)} \in (\text{End } W)\{x\}$$

(2.1)

where $L_+(A) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} A_j L(j)$ and $L(j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the Virasoro operators on $W$. Given an intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}$ of type $(W^{a_1} \otimes W^{a_2})$, the map $W^{a_1} \otimes W^{a_2} \to W^{a_3} \{x\}$ defined by $w_{a_1} \otimes w_{a_2} \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(w_{a_1}, x) w_{a_2}$ is the corresponding geometrically-modified intertwining operator. We need the following property of geometrically-modified intertwining operators:
Proposition 2.1 Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be an intertwining operator of type $(\psi^W)$. Then for $w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1}$, $w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1}$ and $w'_{a_2} \in W^{a_2}$, we have

$$\langle w'_{a_2}, \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y})(\mathcal{U}(x)w_{a_1}, x)w_{a_2} \rangle = e^{\pi h a_1} \langle \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}(x^{-1})e^{-\pi iL^{(0)}w_{a_1}, x^{-1}})w'_{a_2}, w_{a_2} \rangle$$

$$= e^{-\pi h a_1} \langle \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}(x^{-1})e^{\pi iL^{(0)}w_{a_1}, x^{-1}})w'_{a_2}, w_{a_2} \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. We first prove the first equality in (2.2). By the definition of $\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y})$, we have

$$\langle w'_{a_2}, \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y})(\mathcal{U}(x)w_{a_1}, x)w_{a_2} \rangle$$

$$= e^{\pi h a_1} \langle \mathcal{Y}(e^{xL(1)}(e^{-\pi i x^{-2}}L^{(0)})\mathcal{U}(x)w_{a_1}, x^{-1})w'_{a_2}, w_{a_2} \rangle. \quad (2.3)$$

We now calculate $e^{xL(1)}(e^{-\pi i x^{-2}}L^{(0)})\mathcal{U}(x)w$. The equality

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \left( 1 - \frac{x}{y^{-1} + x} \right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1 + xy)$$

gives

$$e^{-xy^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (e^{-\pi i x^{-2}}) - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (2\pi i x) - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} e^{y^2/2} \sum_{j} A_{j} y^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} e^{\pi iy \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y},$$

$$= (2\pi i x^{-1}) - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} e^{y^2/2} \sum_{j} A_{j} y^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} e^{\pi iy \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y}.$$
The second equality in (2.2) follows immediately from the first equality and the equality
\[ e^{-\pi L(0)} w_{a_1} = e^{-\pi L(0)} e^{-2\pi L(0)} w_{a_1} = e^{-2\pi h_{a_1}} e^{-\pi L(0)} w_{a_1}. \]

As in the preceding section, for \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( p = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \ldots \), let \( \Upsilon_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}(j, \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, \) be a basis of \( \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)} \). Let \( q^\tau = e^{2\pi i \tau} \) for \( \tau \in \mathbb{H} \).

We consider \( q^\tau \)-traces of geometrically-modified intertwining operators of the following form:
\[
Tr_{W^a} \Upsilon_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}(j, \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, \Upsilon_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, e^{2\pi i \tau} q^\tau L(0) \frac{1}{2\pi i \tau}
\]
for \( a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)} \). In [M] and [H7], it was shown that these \( q^\tau \)-traces are independent of \( z \), are absolutely convergent when \( 0 < |q^\tau| < 1 \) and can be analytically extended to analytic functions of \( \tau \) in the upper-half plane. We shall denote the analytic extension of (2.4) by
\[
E \left( Tr_{W^a} \Upsilon_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}(j, \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, e^{2\pi i \tau} q^\tau L(0) \frac{1}{2\pi i \tau} \right).
\]

These are genus-one one-point correlation functions. In [M] and [H7], the following modular invariance property is also proved: For
\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}),
\]
let \( \tau' = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \). Then for fixed \( a_1 \in \mathcal{A} \), there exist unique \( A_{a_2}^{a_3} \in \mathbb{C} \) for \( a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A} \) such that
\[
Tr_{W^a} \Upsilon_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}(j, \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, \Upsilon_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, e^{2\pi i \tau} q^\tau L(0) \frac{1}{2\pi i \tau}
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}} \sum_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} A_{a_2}^{a_3} Tr_{W^a} \Upsilon_{a_1 a_3}^{(p)}(j, \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_3}^{(p)}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_3}^{(p)}, e^{2\pi i \tau} q^\tau L(0) \frac{1}{2\pi i \tau}
\]
for \( w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1} \) and \( i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)} \). This modular invariance property can be written in terms of the analytic extensions as follows:
\[
E \left( Tr_{W^a} \Upsilon_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}(j, \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{(p)}, e^{2\pi i \tau} q^\tau L(0) \frac{1}{2\pi i \tau} \right)
\]
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_1 \cdots a_3}} \sum_{a_2 \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{T}_{a_2} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{T}_{W_{a_2}} \mathcal{A}_{a_1, a_2}^{(1)}(t) \mathcal{U}(\varepsilon e^{2\pi i z} w_{a_1}, e^{2\pi i z}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{L}{4}}).
\]

We consider the space $\mathcal{F}_{1,1}$ spanned by linear maps of the form

\[\Psi_a : V \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{1,1} \quad u \mapsto \Psi_a(u; \tau)\]

for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where

\[\Psi_a(u; \tau) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{T}_{W_{a}} \mathcal{A}_{a_1, a_2}^{(1)}(t) \mathcal{U}(\varepsilon e^{2\pi i z} u, e^{2\pi i z}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{L}{4}})\]

and $\mathbb{G}_{1,1}$ is the space spanned by functions of $\tau$ of the form $\Psi_a(u; \tau)$.

We also need genus-one two-point correlation functions. They are constructed from the $q_r$-traces of products or iterates of two geometrically-modified intertwining operators as follows: For $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}$, $j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_4}$, consider

\[\mathbb{T}_{W_{a_4}} \mathcal{A}_{a_1, a_2}^{(1)}(t) \mathcal{U}(\varepsilon e^{2\pi i z_1} w_{a_1}, e^{2\pi i z_1}) \mathcal{A}_{a_2, a_3}^{(2)}(t) \mathcal{U}(\varepsilon e^{2\pi i z_2} w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{L}{4}}.\] (2.5)

In [H7], it was shown that these $q_r$-traces are absolutely convergent when $0 \leq q_r < |e^{2\pi i z_1}| < |e^{2\pi i z_2}| < 1$ and can be analytically extended to multi-valued analytic functions on

\[M^2 = \{(z_1, z_2, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid z_1 \neq z_2 + p\tau + q \text{ for } p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau \in \mathbb{H}\}.
\]

(In fact, these multi-valued analytic functions depend only on $z_1 - z_2$ and $\tau$ by the $L(-1)$-derivative property. See [H7].) These multi-valued analytic functions on $M^2$ are genus-one two-point correlation functions. They can be lifted to single-valued analytic functions on the universal covering $\tilde{M}^2$. As in the case of genus-zero correlation functions, these liftings are not unique. To obtain a unique single-valued analytic function on $\tilde{M}^2$ from a multi-valued analytic functions $f$ on $M^2$, we have to give a single valued branch of $f$ on some simply connected region in $\tilde{M}^2$ or values of $f$ on some simply-connected subset of $M^2$. In fact, (2.5) gives a single valued branch of its analytic extension in the region given by $0 < |q_r| < |e^{2\pi i z_1}| < |e^{2\pi i z_2}| < 1$.

We denote this single-valued analytic function on $\tilde{M}^2$ by

\[\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{T}_{W_{a_4}} \mathcal{A}_{a_1, a_2}^{(1)}(t) \mathcal{U}(\varepsilon e^{2\pi i z_1} w_{a_1}, e^{2\pi i z_1}) \mathcal{A}_{a_2, a_3}^{(2)}(t) \mathcal{U}(\varepsilon e^{2\pi i z_2} w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{L}{4}}).\] (2.6)
Similarly, these genus-one two-point correlation functions can also be constructed from $q_l$-traces of iterates of two intertwining operators as follows: For $a_1, a_2, a_5, a_6 \in A$, $k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_4}$, $l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_4}$, consider

$$\text{Tr}_{W^{a_6}} Y_{a_5 a_6 a_2; k}^{\text{gen};(3)}(U(e^{2\pi i z_1})Y_{a_1 a_2; l}^{\text{gen};(4)}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\tau}{2M}}. \quad (2.7)$$

In [H7], it was shown that these $q_l$-traces are absolutely convergent when $0 < |q_r| < |e^{2\pi i z_2}| < 1$ and $0 < |e^{2\pi i (z_1 - z_2) - 1}| < 1$ and can be analytically extended to multi-valued analytic functions on $M^2_l$. (Again, these multi-valued analytic functions depend only on $z_1 - z_2$ and $\tau$ by the $L(-1)$-derivative property.) These multi-valued analytic functions on $M^2_l$ are also genus-one two-point correlation functions. The multi-valued analytic extension of (2.7) can also be lifted uniquely to a single-valued analytic function on $M^2_l$ using the single-valued branch (2.7). We denote it by

$$E(\text{Tr}_{W^{a_6}} Y_{a_5 a_6 a_2; k}^{\text{gen};(3)}(U(e^{2\pi i z_1})Y_{a_1 a_2; l}^{\text{gen};(4)}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\tau}{2M}}). \quad (2.8)$$

In [H7], an associativity property for geometrically-modified intertwining operators is proved. This associativity together with the convergence property of $q_l$-traces of gives

$$E(\text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} Y_{a_5 a_4; k}^{\text{gen};(1)}(U(e^{2\pi i z_1})w_{a_1}, e^{2\pi i z_1})Y_{a_2 a_4; l}^{\text{gen};(2)}(U(e^{2\pi i z_2})w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\tau}{2M}})$$

$$= \sum_{a_2 \in A} \sum_{l = 1}^{N_{a_3 a_4}} \sum_{k = 1}^{N_{a_3 a_4}} \sum_{i = 1}^{N_{a_3 a_4}} \sum_{j = 1}^{N_{a_3 a_4}} F(Y_{a_5 a_4; i}^{\text{gen};(1)} \otimes Y_{a_2 a_4; j}^{\text{gen};(2)} \otimes Y_{a_3 a_4; k}^{\text{gen};(3)} \otimes Y_{a_1 a_2; l}^{\text{gen};(4)}) \cdot$$

$$\cdot E(\text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} Y_{a_5 a_4; k}^{\text{gen};(3)}(U(e^{2\pi i z_1})Y_{a_1 a_2; l}^{\text{gen};(4)}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\tau}{2M}}) \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$E(\text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} Y_{a_5 a_4; k}^{\text{gen};(3)}(U(e^{2\pi i z_1})z_{a_1 a_2; l}^{\text{gen};(4)}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\tau}{2M}})$$

$$= \sum_{a_2 \in A} \sum_{l = 1}^{N_{a_3 a_4}} \sum_{k = 1}^{N_{a_3 a_4}} \sum_{i = 1}^{N_{a_3 a_4}} \sum_{j = 1}^{N_{a_3 a_4}} F^{-1}(Y_{a_5 a_4; k}^{\text{gen};(3)} \otimes Y_{a_1 a_2; l}^{\text{gen};(4)} \otimes Y_{a_3 a_4; k}^{\text{gen};(1)} \otimes Y_{a_2 a_4; j}^{\text{gen};(2)}) \cdot$$

$$\cdot E(\text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} Y_{a_5 a_4; k}^{\text{gen};(1)}(U(e^{2\pi i z_1})w_{a_1}, e^{2\pi i z_1}) \cdot$$

$$\cdot Y_{a_2 a_4; j}^{\text{gen};(2)}(U(e^{2\pi i z_2})w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\tau}{2M}}) \quad (2.10)$$

In particular, the space of all single-valued analytic functions on $M^2_l$ spanned by functions of the form (2.6) and the space of all single-valued analytic
functions on $\overline{M}'^2$ spanned by functions of the form (2.8) are the same. We shall denote this space by $\mathcal{G}_{1;2}$.

We need the following result:

**Proposition 2.2** For $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, the maps from $W^{a_1} \otimes W^{a_2}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{1;2}$ given by

$$w_{a_1} \otimes w_{a_2} \mapsto E(\text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} \mathcal{Y}^{a_4;[1]}(U(e^{2\pi i z_1} w_{a_1} e^{2\pi i z_2} w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{1}{2}}),$$

$a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}, j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_4}$, are linearly independent. Similarly, for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, the maps from $W^{a_1} \otimes W^{a_2}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{1;2}$ given by

$$w_{a_1} \otimes w_{a_2} \mapsto E(\text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} \mathcal{Y}^{a_4;[3]}(U(e^{2\pi i z_2} w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2 w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{1}{2}}),$$

$a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A}, k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_4}, l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}$, are linearly independent.

**Proof.** We prove only the linear independence of the maps obtained from $q_r$-traces of iterates of intertwining operators. For the linear independence of the maps obtained from traces of products of intertwining operators, the proof is similar.

Since analytic extensions are unique, we need only prove that the maps given by

$$w_{a_1} \otimes w_{a_2} \mapsto \text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} \mathcal{Y}^{a_4;[3]}(U(e^{2\pi i z_1} w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2 w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{1}{2}},$$

$a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A}, k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_4}, l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}$, are linearly independent. Assume

$$\sum_{a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_3 a_4}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_1 a_2}} \lambda_{a_3, a_4, k, l} \cdot \text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} \mathcal{Y}^{a_4;[3]}(U(e^{2\pi i z_2} w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2 w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{1}{2}}) = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

for $w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1}$ and $w_{a_2} \in W^{a_2}$. Since (2.11) holds for all $z_1$ and $z_2$ satisfying $0 < |q_r| < |e^{2\pi i z_2}| < 1$ and $0 < e^{2\pi i(z_1 - z_2)} - 1 | < 1$, we obtain the following
equation in which the variable \( z_1 - z_2 \) is replaced by a formal variable \( x_0 \):

\[
\sum_{a_3, a_4 \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_3}^{a_4}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_4}^{a_3}} \lambda_{a_3, a_4, k, l} \frac{\text{Tr}_{W_{a_4}} Y_{a_3; a_4}^{a_3; (3)}(\mathcal{U}(e^{2\pi i z_2}) Y_{a_3; a_4}^{a_3; (4)}(w_{a_1}, x_0) w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2})} {q_{\mathcal{F}} L_{(0)} - \pi} = 0
\]

(2.12)

for \( w_{a_1} \in W_{a_1} \) and \( w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2} \). We want to show that \( \lambda_{a_3, a_4, k, l} = 0 \) for \( a_3, a_4 \in A, \ k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3}^{a_4} \) and \( l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_4}^{a_3} \).

As in the proof of Proposition 1.2, there exists an isomorphism

\[
f : W_{a_1} \otimes P_{(z_1 - z_2)} W_{a_2} \to \bigoplus_{a_3 \in A} N_{a_3}^{a_4} W_{a_3}
\]

such that for \( w_{a_1} \in W_{a_1} \) and \( w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2} \),

\[
\pi_{a_3; l}(f(w_{a_1} \otimes P_{(z_1 - z_2)} w_{a_2})) = Y_{a_3; a_4}^{a_3; (4)}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2) w_{a_2},
\]

where \( \pi_{a_3; l} \) is the projections from \( \bigoplus_{a_3 \in A} N_{a_3}^{a_4} W_{a_3} \) to the \( l \)-th copy of \( W_{a_3} \) and

\[
\pi_{a_3; l} : \bigoplus_{a_3 \in A} N_{a_3}^{a_4} W_{a_3} \to W_{a_3}
\]

and

\[
f : W_{a_1} \otimes P_{(z_1 - z_2)} W_{a_2} \to \bigoplus_{a_3 \in A} N_{a_3}^{a_4} W_{a_3}
\]

are the natural extension of \( \pi_{a_3; l} \) and \( f \), respectively. Let \( Y_2 \) be the intertwining operator corresponding to the intertwining map \( \bigotimes P_{(z_1)} : W_{a_1} \otimes W_{a_2} \to W_{a_1} \otimes P_{(z_1 - z_2)} W_{a_2} \) (see [HL1] and [HL4]). Then we have

\[
\pi_{a_3; l}(f(Y_2(w_{a_1}, x) w_{a_2})) = Y_{a_3; a_4}^{a_3; (4)}(w_{a_1}, x) w_{a_2}
\]

(2.13)

for \( w_{a_1} \in W_{a_1} \) and \( w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2} \). For \( a_4 \in A \), let \( Y_{a_4} \) be the intertwining operator of type \( \mathcal{Y}_{a_4} : W_{a_4} \to W_{a_4} \) given by

\[
\mathcal{Y}_{a_4}(w, x) w_{a_4} = \sum_{a_3 \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_3}^{a_4}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_4}^{a_3}} \lambda_{a_3, a_4, k, l} Y_{a_3; a_4}^{a_3; (3)}(\pi_{a_3; l}(f(w)), x) w_{a_4}
\]
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for $w \in W^{a_1} \boxtimes P(\{z_1, \cdots, z_2\}) W^{a_2}$ and $w_{a_4} \in W^{a_4}$. Then the left-hand side of (2.12) is equal to

$$\sum_{\alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k,l=1}^{N_{\alpha_3}^{a_3}} \lambda_{\alpha_3, \alpha_4, k, l} \cdot \text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha_3, \alpha_4} (U(e^{2 \pi i z_2}) \pi_{\alpha_3, \alpha_4} \mathcal{Y}_2 (w_{a_3} (w_{a_1} (x_0) w_{a_2}))), e^{2 \pi i z_2} q^{\frac{L(0) - \alpha_4}{24}} = \sum_{\alpha_4 \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha_4} (U(e^{2 \pi i z_2}) \mathcal{Y}_2 (w_{a_1} (x_0) w_{a_2}, e^{2 \pi i z_2}) q^{\frac{L(0) - \alpha_4}{24}}) \quad (2.14)$$

By (2.12) and (2.14), we have

$$\sum_{\alpha_4 \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha_4} (U(e^{2 \pi i z_2}) \mathcal{Y}_2 (w_{a_1} (x_0) w_{a_2}, e^{2 \pi i z_2}) q^{\frac{L(0) - \alpha_4}{24}}) = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

for $w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1}$ and $w_{a_2} \in W^{a_2}$. Since the coefficients of $\mathcal{Y}_2 (w_{a_1} (x_0) w_{a_2}$ for $w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1}$ and $w_{a_2} \in W^{a_2}$ span $W^{a_1} \boxtimes P(\{z_1, \cdots, z_2\}) W^{a_2}$, we obtain from (2.15) that

$$\sum_{\alpha_4 \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Tr}_{W^{a_4}} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha_4} (U(e^{2 \pi i z_2}) w, e^{2 \pi i z_2}) q^{\frac{L(0) - \alpha_4}{24}} = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

for $w \in W^{a_1} \boxtimes P(\{z_1, \cdots, z_2\}) W^{a_2}$ and $w_{a_3} \in W^{a_3}$.

Since $W^{a_4}$ for $a_4 \in \mathcal{A}$ are irreducible $V$-modules, we have $T(W^{a_4}) = W^{a_4}_{(h_{a_4})}$, where

$$T(W^{a_4}) = \{ w \in W^{a_4} \mid u_n w = 0, u \in V, \text{wt} u - n - 1 < 0 \}$$

(see [H7]). Since $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ is arbitrary, from (2.16), we have

$$\sum_{\alpha_4 \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Tr}_{T(W^{a_4}) \circ \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha_4}} (U(1) w) = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

for $w \in W^{a_1} \boxtimes P(\{z_1, \cdots, z_2\}) W^{a_2}$, where

$$o_{\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha_4}} (\tilde{w}) = (\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha_4})_{\text{wt} \tilde{w} - 1} (\tilde{w})$$

for homogeneous $\tilde{w} \in W^{a_1} \boxtimes P(\{z_1, \cdots, z_2\}) W^{a_2}$ (see Chapter 6 of [H7] for more details). Since $W^{a_4}$ for $a_4 \in \mathcal{A}$ are inequivalent irreducible $V$-modules, $T(W^{a_4})$ for $a_4 \in \mathcal{A}$ are inequivalent irreducible $\mathcal{A}(V)$-modules by Proposition 6.5 in...
Thus \( \text{Tr}_{T(W^{a_4})} \) for \( a_4 \in \mathcal{A} \) are linearly independent. So from (2.17), we obtain
\[
o_{\mathcal{Y}_{a_4}}(\mathcal{U}(1)w) = 0 \quad (2.18)
\]
for \( w \in W^{a_1} \boxtimes_{P(z_1 - z_2)} W^{a_2} \). Thus \( \rho(\mathcal{Y}_{a_4}) = 0 \) where
\[
\rho(\mathcal{Y}_{a_4}) : A(W^{a_1} \boxtimes_{P(z_1 - z_2)} W^{a_2}) \otimes \mathcal{A}(V) \to T(W^{a_4})
\]
is given by
\[
\rho(\mathcal{Y}_{a_4})((w + \hat{\mathcal{O}}(W^{a_1} \boxtimes_{P(z_1 - z_2)} W^{a_2})) \otimes w_{a_4}) = o_{\mathcal{Y}_{a_4}}(\mathcal{U}(1)w)w_{a_4}
\]
for \( w \in W^{a_1} \boxtimes_{P(z_1 - z_2)} W^{a_2} \) and \( w_{a_4} \in T(W^{a_4}) \) (see chapter 6 of [H7]). By Theorem 6.9 in [H7], \( \rho(\mathcal{Y}_{a_4}) = 0 \) is equivalent to \( \mathcal{Y}_{a_4} = 0 \) for \( a_4 \in \mathcal{A} \), that is
\[
\mathcal{Y}_{a_4}(w, x) = 0 \quad (2.19)
\]
for \( a_4 \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( w \in W^{a_1} \boxtimes_{P(z_1 - z_2)} W^{a_2} \).

For \( a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3}^{a_2} \), take \( w \) to be an element of the tensor product module \( W^{a_1} \boxtimes_{P(z_1 - z_2)} W^{a_2} \) such that \( f(w) \) is in the \( l \)-th copy of \( W^{a_3} \) in \( \bigoplus_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} N_{a_3}^{a_2} W^{a_3} \), that is, \( \pi_{a_3;l}(f(w)) = f(w) \), \( \pi_{a_3;m}(f(w)) = 0 \) for \( m \neq l \) and \( \pi_{a_3;m}(f(w)) = 0 \) for \( a \neq a_3 \). Then by (2.19) and the definition of the intertwining operator \( \mathcal{Y}_{a_4} \), we have
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_3}^{a_2}} \lambda_{a_3,a_4,k,l} \mathcal{Y}_{a_3,a_4;k,l}(f(w), x) = 0.
\]

Since \( f(w) \) is an arbitrary element of the \( l \)-th copy of \( W^{a_3} \) in \( \bigoplus_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} N_{a_3}^{a_2} W^{a_3} \), we obtain
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_3}^{a_2}} \lambda_{a_3,a_4,k,l} \mathcal{Y}_{a_3,a_4;k,l} = 0
\]
for \( a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3}^{a_2} \). Since \( \mathcal{Y}_{a_3,a_4;k,l} \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3}^{a_2} \) are linearly independent, we obtain \( \lambda_{a_3,a_4,k,l} = 0 \) for \( a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A}, k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3}^{a_2} \) and \( l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3}^{a_2} \).

We now introduce a space \( \mathcal{F}_{1;2} \) spanned by linear maps of the form
\[
\Psi_{a_1,a_2,a_3}^{k,l} : \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} W^a \otimes W^a' \to \mathcal{G}_{1;2}
\]
\[
w_a \otimes w_{a'} \mapsto \Psi_{a_1,a_2,a_3}^{k,l}(w, w_{a'}, z_1, z_2, \tau)
\]
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for $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}$, $k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_1}^{a_1}$, $l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2 a_2}^{a_2}$, where

$$
\Psi_{a_1, a_2, a_3}^{k, l}(w_a, w_{a_1}; z_1, z_2; \tau) = 0
$$

when $a \neq a_2$ and

$$
\Psi_{a_1, a_2, a_3}^{k, l}(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2}; z_1, z_2; \tau)
= E\left(\text{Tr}_{W^a} Y_{a_3 a_1; k}^{a_2; (1)}(U(e^{2\pi i z_2})Y_{a_2 a_2; l}(w_{a_2} - z_2)w_{a_2} e^{2\pi i z_1} q_{-1}^{L(0)} \frac{1}{\tau})\right).
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{1; 2}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{F}_{1; 2}$ spanned by maps of the form $\Psi_{a_1, a_2, a_3}^{k, l}$ for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $\mathcal{F}_{1; 2}^{ne}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{F}_{1; 2}$ spanned by maps of the form $\Psi_{a_1, a_2, a_3}$ for $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}$, $a_3 \neq e$, $k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_1}^{a_1}$ and $l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2 a_2}^{a_2}$. We have:

**Proposition 2.3** The intersection of $\mathcal{F}_{1; 2}^e$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1; 2}^{ne}$ is 0. In particular,

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1; 2} = \mathcal{F}_{1; 2}^e \oplus \mathcal{F}_{1; 2}^{ne}
$$

and there exist a projection $\pi : \mathcal{F}_{1; 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1; 2}^e$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.2, $\Psi_{a_1, a_2, a_3}^{k, l}$ for $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}$, $k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_1}^{a_1}$ and $l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2 a_2}^{a_2}$ are linearly independent. Thus the intersection of the space spanned by $\Psi_{a_1, a_2, a_3}^{k, l}$ for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and the space spanned by $\Psi_{a_1, a_2, a_3}^{k, l}$ for $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}$, $a_3 \neq e$, $k = 1, \ldots, N_{a_3 a_1}^{a_1}$ and $l = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2 a_2}^{a_2}$ are 0.

We define $S : \mathcal{F}_{1; 1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1; 1}$ as follows: For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let

$$
(S(\Psi_a))(u; \tau) = \Psi_a \left( -\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau} \right)
$$

$$
= \text{Tr}_{W^a} Y_{a_3 a_1}^{a_2; (1)} \left( U(e^{-2\pi i z_1}) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)} u, e^{-2\pi i z_2} \right) q_{-1}^{L(0)} \frac{1}{\tau}.
$$

Here we have used our convention that

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)} = e^{(\log(-\frac{1}{\tau}))L(0)}.
$$

Note that by the modular invariance of genus-one one-point functions proved in [M] and [H7], $S(\Psi_a)$ is indeed in $\mathcal{F}_{1; 1}$. Thus we do obtain maps $S : \mathcal{F}_{1; 1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{1; 1}$.
Now we define an action of the map $S$ on the space $\mathcal{F}_{1;2}^e$ by

$$(S(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, e}^{1,1}))(w_a, w_{a'}, z_1, z_2; \tau) = 0$$

when $a \neq a_2$ and

$$(S(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, e}^{1,1}))(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2'}, z_1, z_2; \tau) = E\left( \text{Tr}_{W^{a_1}} Y_{e_{a_1}; 1} \left( \mathcal{U}(e^{-2\pi i \frac{z_1}{\tau}}) \left( -\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{L(0)} \cdot \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2, a_2'; 1} \left( w_{a_2}, \frac{1}{\tau} z_1, -\frac{1}{\tau} z_2 \right) \right) \mathcal{U}(e^{-2\pi i \frac{z_2}{\tau}}) \frac{L(0)-\frac{1}{24}}{q_\tau} \right).$$

We shall also need the following result:

**Proposition 2.4** For $a \in A, u \in V$, we have

$$\text{Tr}_{W^a} Y_{W^a} (\mathcal{U}(e^{2\pi i z}) u, e^{2\pi i z}) q^{L(0)-\frac{1}{24}} = \text{Tr}_{W^{a'} W^a} Y_{W^a W^{a'}} (\mathcal{U}(e^{-2\pi i z}) e^{\pi i L(0)} u, e^{-2\pi i z}) q^{L(0)-\frac{1}{24}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.20)

For $a_3, a_4 \in A, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_{i} a_{i+1}}, j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_{j} a_{j+1}}, w_{a_1} \in W^{a_1}, w_{a_2} \in W^{a_2},$ we have

$$E(\text{Tr}_{W^{a_3}} \sigma_{a_2} (Y_{e_{a_1} a_{i+1}}^{e_{a_2}}(1)) (\mathcal{U}(e^{-2\pi i z}) w_{a_1}, e^{2\pi i z}).$$

$$\cdot \sigma_{a_3} (Y_{a_2 a_{i+1}}^{e_{a_2}}(2)) (\mathcal{U}(e^{2\pi i z}) w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z}) q^{L(0)-\frac{1}{24}}$$

$$= e^{-\pi(h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} E(\text{Tr}_{W^{a_3}} \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_{i+1}}^{e_{a_2}}(2) (\mathcal{U}(e^{-2\pi i z}) e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{-2\pi i z}) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{a_3 a_{i+1}}^{e_{a_2}}(1) (\mathcal{U}(e^{-2\pi i z}) e^{-\pi i L(0)} w_{a_1}, e^{-2\pi i z}) q^{L(0)-\frac{1}{24}})$$

$$\hspace{1cm} \text{(2.21)}$$

\hspace{1cm} (2.21)
and

\[
E(\text{Tr}_W e^{\sigma_3} \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}(3)}(w_{a_1}, z_1) \mathcal{Y}^{\beta}(4)}(w_{a_2}, z_2) q_r e^{-\frac{i}{4} \pi}) \cdot \mathcal{Y}^{\beta; \{1\}}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2) \mathcal{Y}^{\beta; \{2\}}(w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_r e^{-\frac{i}{4} \pi}).
\]

(2.22)

**Proof.** These formulas follows immediately from (2.2).

\[ \square \]

## 3 Properties of fusing and braiding matrices

In the present section, we prove some properties of the fusing and braiding matrices. These properties play important role in the proofs of Moore-Seiberg formulas in the next section and in the proof of the symmetry of the matrix associated to the modular transformation \( \tau \mapsto -1/\tau \) in Section 5.

In this section, for \( p = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \) and \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha; \{p\}}, i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_2 a_3}, \) are basis of \( \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_1 a_2}. \)

**Proposition 3.1** The following equality expressing the squares of braiding matrices in terms of the fusing matrices and the inverses of fusing matrices holds:

\[
\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_1 a_2} N_{a_3}} F(\mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_1 a_5}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_2 a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_7 a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_1 a_2}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_3 a_3}) \cdot e^{-2(2\pi + 1)\pi i h_{a_1} - h_{a_2} - h_{a_3}} F^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_7 a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_1 a_2}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_3 a_3}) = (B^c)^2 (\mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_1 a_5}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_2 a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_7 a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_1 a_2'; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_3 a_3'}}, \quad (3.1)
\]

**Proof.** Let \( w_{a_1} \in W_{a_1}, w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2}, w_{a_3} \in W_{a_3} \) and \( w_{a_4} \in W_{a_4}. \) Then we have

\[
E(\langle w_{a_4}, \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_1 a_5}(w_{a_1}, z_1) \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_2 a_3}(w_{a_2}, z_2)) w_{a_3}\rangle)
\]

\[
= \sum_{a_7 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_1 a_2} N_{a_3}} F(\mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_1 a_5}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_2 a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_7 a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_1 a_2}; \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_3 a_3}) \cdot E(\langle w_{a_4}, \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_7 a_3}(w_{a_1}, z_1) \mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}_{a_1 a_2}(w_{a_2}, z_2)) w_{a_3}\rangle).
\]
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Applying \((B^{(r)})^2\) to both sides of the above formula, we obtain

\[
(B^{(r)})^2 \left( E \left( \langle w_{a_4}, Y_{a_{12};k}^{s_5;6}(w_{a_1}, z_1) Y_{a_{23};l}^{s_4;5}(w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3} \rangle \right) \right)
\]

\[= \sum_{s_7 \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_1, a_2}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_3, a_4}} F \left( Y_{a_{12};i}^{s_7;6}, Y_{a_{23};j}^{s_4;5}, Y_{a_1, a_2; k}^{s_4;6} \right) \cdot \cdot \cdot \]

\[
\cdot (B^{(r)})^2 \left( E \left( \langle w_{a_4}, Y_{a_{12};k}^{s_5;6}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2) w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3} \rangle \right) \right).
\]

(3.2)

In Section 1, we have seen that \((B^{(r)})^2\) is the monodromy given by

\[
\log(z_1 - z_2) \mapsto \log(z_1 - z_2) + 2(2r + 1)\pi i.
\]

Using this fact and

\[
Y_{a_{12};k}^{s_7;6}(w_{a_1}, x) \big|_{x = e^{n \log(z_1 - z_2) + 2(2r + 1)\pi i}}, n \in \mathbb{C}
\]

we have

\[
(B^{(r)})^2 \left( E \left( \langle w_{a_4}, Y_{a_{12};k}^{s_5;6}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2) w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3} \rangle \right) \right)
\]

\[= e^{2(2r + 1)i}(h_{s_7} - h_{a_1} - h_{a_2}) E \left( \langle w_{a_4}, Y_{a_{12};k}^{s_5;6}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2) w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3} \rangle \right).
\]

(3.3)

Using (3.2), (3.3) and the associativity (1.6) expressed in terms of the matrix elements of the inverse of the fusing isomorphism, we obtain

\[
(B^{(r)})^2 \left( E \left( \langle w_{a_4}, Y_{a_{12};k}^{s_5;6}(w_{a_1}, z_1) Y_{a_{23};l}^{s_4;5}(w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3} \rangle \right) \right)
\]

\[= \sum_{s_7 \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_1, a_2}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_3, a_4}} F \left( Y_{a_{12};i}^{s_7;6}, Y_{a_{23};j}^{s_4;5}, Y_{a_1, a_2; k}^{s_4;6} \right) \cdot \cdot \cdot \]

\[
\cdot e^{2(2r + 1)i}(h_{s_7} - h_{a_1} - h_{a_2}) \cdot \]

\[
\cdot E \left( \langle w_{a_4}, Y_{a_{12};k}^{s_5;6}(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2) w_{a_2}, z_2) w_{a_3} \rangle \right)
\]

\[= \sum_{s_7 \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_1, a_2}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_3, a_4}} F \left( Y_{a_{12};i}^{s_7;6}, Y_{a_{23};j}^{s_4;5}, Y_{a_1, a_2; k}^{s_4;6} \right) \cdot \cdot \cdot \]

\[\cdot e^{2(2r + 1)i}(h_{s_7} - h_{a_1} - h_{a_2}) \cdot \]
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\[ \sum_{a_2 \in A} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{a_2}^{i_2}} \sum_{q=1}^{N_{a_2}^{i_2}} F^{-1} \left( \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_3 i_1}^{a_2 i_2} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2 k}^{a_2 i_2}; \mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2 i_1}^{a_1 i_2} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_3 i_1}^{a_1 i_2} \right) \cdot E \left( \langle w_{a_1}^t, \mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2 k}^{a_2 i_2} \rangle \mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2 i_1}^{a_1 i_2} \left( w_{a_2}, w_{a_3} \right) \right). \] 

Comparing (3.4) with the definition (1.15) of the matrix elements of \((B^{(r)})^2\) and using Proposition 1.2, we obtain (3.1).

**Proposition 3.2** The following equality expressing the inverses of the fusing matrices in terms of the fusing matrices holds:

\[
F^{-1} \left( \mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_3} i_1}^{a_{a_3} i_2} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_1} a_2 k}^{a_{a_1} i_2}; \mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2 i_1}^{a_1 i_2} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_3 i_1}^{a_1 i_2} \right) = F(\sigma_2(\mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_3} i_1}^{a_{a_3} i_2} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_1} a_2 k}^{a_{a_1} i_2}); \sigma_1(\mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2 i_1}^{a_1 i_2} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_3 i_1}^{a_1 i_2})).
\]

**Proof.** Let \(w_{a_1} \in W_{a_1},\ w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2},\ w_{a_3} \in W_{a_3}\) and \(w_{a_4} \in W_{a_4}\). Then using the definition of \(\sigma_1,\) the relation \(\sigma_2 = 1,\) the associativity (1.5) and the \(L(-1)-\)conjugation property (see [FHL]), we have

\[
E \left( \langle w_{a_4}^t, \mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_3} i_1}^{a_{a_3} i_2} \rangle \mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_1} a_2 i_1}^{a_{a_1} i_2} \left( w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}, w_{a_3} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= E \left( \langle w_{a_4}^t, \sigma_2(\mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_3} i_1}^{a_{a_3} i_2}); \sigma_1(\mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_1} a_2 i_1}) \left( w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}, w_{a_3} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= e^{\pi(i(h_{a_4} - h_{a_3} - h_{a_2})/e^{\pi(i(z_1 - z_2)})} \cdot E \left( \langle w_{a_4}^t, e^{\pi(z_1 - z_2)} \rangle \left( w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}, w_{a_3} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= e^{\pi(i(h_{a_4} - h_{a_3} - h_{a_2} - h_{a_3})/e^{\pi(i(z_1 - z_2)})} \sum_{a_5 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_5}^{i_3}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_5}^{i_3}}
\]

\[\cdot \left( F(\sigma_1(\mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_3} i_1}^{a_{a_3} i_2}); \sigma_2(\mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_1} a_2 k}^{a_{a_1} i_2}); \sigma_1(\mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2 i_1}^{a_1 i_2}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_3 i_1}^{a_1 i_2} \right) \cdot E \left( \langle w_{a_4}^t, e^{\pi(z_1 - z_2)} \rangle \left( w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}, w_{a_3} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= e^{\pi(i(h_{a_4} - h_{a_3} - h_{a_2} - h_{a_3})/e^{\pi(i(z_1 - z_2)})} \sum_{a_5 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_5}^{i_3}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_5}^{i_3}}
\]

\[\cdot \left( F(\sigma_1(\mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_3} i_1}^{a_{a_3} i_2}); \sigma_2(\mathcal{Y}_{a_{a_1} a_2 k}^{a_{a_1} i_2}); \sigma_1(\mathcal{Y}_{a_1 a_2 i_1}^{a_1 i_2}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_3 i_1}^{a_1 i_2} \right) \cdot E \left( \langle w_{a_4}^t, e^{\pi(z_1 - z_2)} \rangle \left( w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}, w_{a_3} \right) \right)
\]

\[
e^{\pi(i(h_{a_4} - h_{a_3} - h_{a_2} - h_{a_3})/e^{\pi(i(z_1 - z_2)})} \cdot E \left( \langle w_{a_4}^t, e^{\pi(z_1 - z_2)} \rangle \left( w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}, w_{a_3} \right) \right).\]
\[ F(\sigma_{12}(Y_{g_{a_{1}g_{a_{2}};i}}); \sigma_{12}(Y_{g_{a_{1}g_{a_{2}};k}}); \sigma_{12}(Y_{g_{a_{1}g_{a_{2}};m}}); \sigma_{12}(Y_{g_{a_{1}g_{a_{2}};n}})) \cdot \\
E((w_{a_{1}}^{e_{1}}, e^{z_{1}L(-1)}\sigma_{12}(Y_{g_{a_{2}g_{a_{3}};i}}))(e^{z_{2}L(-1)} \cdot \\
\sigma_{12}(Y_{g_{a_{2}g_{a_{3}};j}}))(w_{a_{3}}, e^{-\pi i z_{2}} w_{a_{2}}, e^{-\pi i z_{1}} w_{a_{1}}))) \\
= \sum_{a_{5} \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{g_{a_{2}g_{a_{3}}}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{g_{a_{2}g_{a_{3}}}}} \\
F(\sigma_{12}(Y_{g_{a_{5}g_{a_{6}};i}}) \otimes \sigma_{12}(Y_{g_{a_{5}g_{a_{6}};k}}); \sigma_{12}(Y_{g_{a_{5}g_{a_{6}};m}}) \otimes \sigma_{12}(Y_{g_{a_{5}g_{a_{6}};n}})) \cdot \\
E((w_{a_{4}}, Y_{a_{5}g_{a_{6};i}}(w_{a_{3}}, z_{1}) Y_{a_{5}g_{a_{6};j}}(w_{a_{2}}, z_{2}) w_{a_{3}})). \tag{3.6} \\
\]

Comparing (3.6) with (1.14) and using Proposition 1.2, we obtain (3.5). \hfill \blacksquare

In the proof of the next property of fusing matrices, we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.3** For any \( z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{C} \) satisfying \( z_{1} \neq z_{2} \) and any \( V \)-module \( W \), the following equalities for maps from \( W \) to \( \overline{W} \) holds:

\[
e^{z_{2}L(1)} e^{-z_{1}L(-1)} = e^{(z_{1} - z_{2})L(-1)} e^{-z_{2}L(1)} e^{(z_{1} - z_{2})^{-1} 2 L(0)}, \tag{3.7} \\
e^{-z_{1}L(1)} e^{z_{2}L(-1)} = e^{(z_{1} - z_{2})^{-1} 2 L(0)} e^{z_{1}L(1)} e^{-z_{2}L(-1)} e^{(z_{1} - z_{2})^{-2} 2 L(0)}. \tag{3.8} \\
\]

**Proof.** From the identity

\[
\frac{1}{x^{-1} + z_{2}} - z_{1}^{-1} = (z_{1}(z_{1} - z_{2})^{-1})^{-2} \left( \frac{1}{x - (z_{1} - z_{2})^{-1} + z_{1}^{-1} z_{2}(z_{1} - z_{2})} \right),
\]

we obtain

\[
e^{-z_{2}x^{2} \frac{d}{dx}} e^{-z_{1}^{-1} \frac{d}{dx}} x = e^{-(z_{1}-z_{2})^{-1} \frac{d}{dx}} e^{-z_{1}^{-1} z_{2}(z_{1} - z_{2}) x^{2} \frac{d}{dx}} (z_{1}(z_{1} - z_{2})^{-1})^{-2 x \frac{d}{dx}} x. \tag{3.9} \\
\]

Using (3.9) and the theory developed in Chapters 4 and 5 of [H3], we obtain (3.7).

To prove (3.8), we note that the weight of \( L(1) \) is \(-1\). So we have

\[
(z_{1}(z_{1} - z_{2})^{-1})^{2 L(0)} L(1) (z_{1}(z_{1} - z_{2})^{-1})^{-2 L(0)} = z_{1}^{-2}(z_{1} - z_{2})^{2} L(1). \tag{3.10} \\
\]
Using (3.10), we obtain
\[
(z_1(z_1-z_2)^{-1})^{2L(0)}e^{z_1L(1)} = (z_1(z_1-z_2)^{-1})^{2L(0)}e^{z_1L(1)}(z_1(z_1-z_2)^{-1})^{-2L(0)}(z_1(z_1-z_2)^{-1})^{2L(0)} = e^{z_1(z_1-z_2)^{-1}}^{2L(0)}(z_1(z_1-z_2)^{-1})^{-2L(0)}(z_1(z_1-z_2)^{-1})^{2L(0)} = e^{z_1(z_1-z_2)^{-1}}^{2L(0)}(z_1(z_1-z_2)^{-1})^{2L(0)}.
\]
(3.11)

The equality (3.8) follows immediately from (3.11).

Let \(\sigma_{13} = \sigma_{12}\sigma_{23}\) and \(\sigma_{132} = \sigma_{23}\sigma_{12}\). We have:

Proposition 3.4 The following equality between fusing matrices holds:
\[
F(Y_{a_1 a_5 i}^{s_4 (1)} \otimes Y_{a_2 a_5 i}^{s_5 (2)}; Y_{a_4 a_5 i}^{s_4 (3)} \otimes Y_{a_6 a_5 i}^{s_6 (4)}) = F(\sigma_{132}(Y_{a_2 a_5 i}^{s_5 (2)}), \sigma_{132}(Y_{a_1 a_5 i}^{s_4 (1)})), \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_4 a_5 i}^{s_4 (3)}), \sigma_{132}(Y_{a_6 a_5 i}^{s_6 (4)})).
\]
(3.12)

Proof. Let \(w_{a_1} \in W_{a_1}, w_{a_2} \in W_{a_2}, w_{a_3} \in W_{a_3}\) and \(w_{a_4} \in W_{a_4}\). Then using the definitions of \(\sigma_{12}\) and \(\sigma_{23}\) and the relations \(\sigma_{12}^2 = \sigma_{23}^2 = 1\), we obtain
\[
E(\langle w_{a_4}, Y_{a_1 a_5 i}^{s_4 (1)}(w_{a_1}, z_1)Y_{a_2 a_5 i}^{s_5 (2)}(w_{a_2}, z_2)w_{a_3} \rangle) = E(\langle w_{a_4}, \sigma_{12}^2(Y_{a_1 a_5 i}^{s_4 (1)})(w_{a_1}, z_1)\sigma_{12}^2(Y_{a_2 a_5 i}^{s_5 (2)})(w_{a_2}, z_2)w_{a_3} \rangle)
\]
\[
= e^{\pi i(h_{a_1} + h_{a_5} - h_{a_2} - h_{a_3})} \cdot E(\langle \sigma_{12}(Y_{a_1 a_5 i}^{s_4 (1)})(e^{z_1 L(1)}(e^{-\pi i(z_1-z_2)^2}L(0)) w_{a_1}, z_1^{-1})w_{a_4},
\]
\[
e^{z_2 L(-1)} \sigma_{23}(Y_{a_2 a_5 i}^{s_5 (2)})(w_{a_2}, e^{-\pi i z_2} w_{a_2}) \rangle)
\]
\[
= e^{\pi i(h_{a_1} + h_{a_5} - h_{a_2} - h_{a_3})} \cdot E(\langle \sigma_{12}^2(Y_{a_1 a_5 i}^{s_4 (1)})(e^{z_1 L(1)}(e^{-\pi i(z_1-z_2)^2}L(0)) w_{a_1}, z_1^{-1})w_{a_4},
\]
\[
e^{z_2 L(-1)} \sigma_{23}^2(Y_{a_2 a_5 i}^{s_5 (2)})(w_{a_2}, e^{-\pi i z_2} w_{a_2}) \rangle)
\]
\[
= e^{\pi i(h_{a_1} + h_{a_5} - h_{a_2} - h_{a_3})} \cdot E(\langle \sigma_{132}(Y_{a_2 a_5 i}^{s_5 (2)})(e^{-z_2 L(1)}(e^{-\pi i(z_1-z_2)^2}L(0)) w_{a_2}, e^{z_2 L(1)} e^{-z_1 L(-1)} \rangle)
\]
\[
\cdot \sigma_{132}(Y_{a_1 a_5 i}^{s_4 (1)})(w_{a_4}, e^{-\pi i z_1}^{-1})e^{z_1 L(1)}(e^{-\pi i(z_1-z_2)^2}L(0)) w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}) \rangle.
\]
(3.13)
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By (3.7), (3.8), the $L(-1)$, $L(0)$- and $L(1)$-conjugation formulas (see [FHL]), (1.1) and the associativity, the right-hand side of (4.5) is equal to
\[
\epsilon \pi i \langle 2h_{a_0} - h_{a_2} - h_{a_4} \rangle \cdot \overline{E} \left( \langle \sigma_{132} \left( \mathcal{Y}^\alpha_1 \right) \rangle \left( e^{-2z_2 L(1)} e^{-\pi i z_2^{-2}} L(0) \ w_{a_3}, e^{\pi i z_2^{-1}} \right) \left( \sigma_{123} \left( \mathcal{Y}^\alpha_3 \right) \right) \left( \sigma_{123} \left( \mathcal{Y}^\alpha_4 \right) \right) \left( \sigma_{123} \left( \mathcal{Y}^\alpha_5 \right) \right) \left( \sigma_{123} \left( \mathcal{Y}^\alpha_6 \right) \right) \right) \]
\[
\begin{align*}
&\cdot w_{a_2}, e^{-\pi i z_2^{-1}} e^{z_2 L(1)} w_{a_1}, e^{-\pi i (z_1 - z_2)^{-1}} \\
&\cdot e^{z_1 - z_2} L(1) \left( e^{-\pi i (z_1 - z_2)^{-1}} \right) L(0) \left( \omega_{a_1}, \omega_{a_2} \right) \\
&= e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2} - h_{a_3})} \sum_{a_6 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_6}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_2}} \sum_{a_3 \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{a_4 \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{a_5 \in \mathcal{E}} \\
&F(\sigma_{123}(Y_{a_2 a_3 i}^{q_{a_2}}) \otimes \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_1 a_2 i}^{q_{a_1}}); \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_3 a_4}^{q_{a_3}}) \otimes \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_4 a_5}^{q_{a_4}})) \\
&\cdot E(\sigma_{12}(Y_{a_1 a_2 i}^{q_{a_1}})) \left( e^{z_1 - z_2} L(1) \left( e^{-\pi i (z_1 - z_2)^{-1}} \right) L(0) \left( \omega_{a_1}, (z_1 - z_2)^{-1} \right) \right) \\
&\cdot \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_3 a_4}^{q_{a_3}}) \left( e^{-z_2 L(1)} \left( e^{-\pi i z_2^{-2}} \right) L(0) \left( \omega_{a_3}, e^{\pi i z_2^{-1}} \right) \right) \\
&= e^{\pi i (h_{a_4} - h_{a_3} - h_{a_2})} \sum_{a_6 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_6}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_3}} \sum_{a_2 \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{a_1 \in \mathcal{E}} \\
&F(\sigma_{123}(Y_{a_2 a_3 i}^{q_{a_2}}) \otimes \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_1 a_2 i}^{q_{a_1}}); \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_3 a_4}^{q_{a_3}}) \otimes \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_4 a_5}^{q_{a_4}})) \\
&\cdot E(\sigma_{23}(Y_{a_1 a_2 i}^{q_{a_1}})) \left( \sigma_{23}(Y_{a_3 a_4}^{q_{a_3}}) \left( \omega_{a_1}, (z_1 - z_2)^{-1} \right) \right) \\
&= e^{\pi i (h_{a_4} - h_{a_3} - h_{a_2})} \sum_{a_6 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_6}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_3}} \sum_{a_2 \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{a_1 \in \mathcal{E}} \\
&F(\sigma_{123}(Y_{a_2 a_3 i}^{q_{a_2}}) \otimes \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_1 a_2 i}^{q_{a_1}}); \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_3 a_4}^{q_{a_3}}) \otimes \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_4 a_5}^{q_{a_4}})) \\
&\cdot E(\sigma_{23}(Y_{a_1 a_2 i}^{q_{a_1}})) \left( \sigma_{23}(Y_{a_3 a_4}^{q_{a_3}}) \left( \omega_{a_1}, (z_1 - z_2)^{-1} \right) \right) \\
&= e^{\pi i (h_{a_4} - h_{a_3} - h_{a_2})} \sum_{a_6 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_6}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_3}} \sum_{a_2 \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{a_1 \in \mathcal{E}} \\
&\cdot e^{z_1 - z_2} L(-1) \left( \omega_{a_3}, e^{-\pi i z_2} \right) \sigma_{23}(Y_{a_3 a_4}^{q_{a_3}}) \left( \omega_{a_1}, (z_1 - z_2)^{-1} \right) \\
&= e^{\pi i (h_{a_4} - h_{a_3} - h_{a_2})} \sum_{a_6 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_6}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_3}} \sum_{a_2 \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{a_1 \in \mathcal{E}} \\
&\cdot e^{z_1 - z_2} L(-1) \left( \omega_{a_3}, e^{-\pi i z_2} \right) \sigma_{23}(Y_{a_3 a_4}^{q_{a_3}}) \left( \omega_{a_1}, (z_1 - z_2)^{-1} \right) \\
&\cdot e^{z_1 - z_2} L(1) \left( \omega_{a_1}, \omega_{a_2} \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&\sum_{a_6 \in A} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_6}^{a_{12}}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_6}^{a_{12}}} \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};(1)}_{a_1 a_2; i}; \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};(4)}_{a_1 a_2; i}) \otimes \sigma_{132}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};(3)}_{a_6 a_2; i})) \\
&\quad \cdot F(\sigma_{132}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};(2)}_{a_2 a_2; i}) \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};(1)}_{a_1 a_2; i}; \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};(4)}_{a_1 a_2; i}) \otimes \sigma_{132}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};(3)}_{a_6 a_2; i})) \\
&\quad \cdot E(\langle w_{a_1}^{a_{12}}, \mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};(3)}_{a_6 a_2; i}(w_{a_1}, z_1, z_2)w_{a_2}, z_2 w_{a_3} \rangle).
\end{align*}
\]

Using (3.13)–(3.15), we see that the left-hand side of (3.13) is equal to the right-hand side of (3.15). Comparing this resulting equality with (1.5) and using Proposition 1.2, we obtain (3.12).

\section{Moore-Seiberg formulas}

In [MS1], Moore and Seiberg proved the Verlinde conjecture by deriving two formulas from the axioms for rational conformal field theories. In this section, we prove these formulas mathematically using the results obtained in [H6] and [H7] and in the preceding sections.

We now want to choose a basis \( \mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};i}_{a_1 a_2; i} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_{12}} \), of \( \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{a_{12}} \) for each triple \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A} \). Note that for each element \( \sigma \in S_3 \), \( \sigma(\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};i}_{a_1 a_2; i}) \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_{12}} \), is also a basis of \( \mathcal{V}_{a_1 a_2}^{a_{12}} \).

For \( a \in \mathcal{A} \), we choose \( \mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};1}_{a_1 a_2; 1} \) to be the the vertex operator \( Y_{W^a} \) defining the module structure on \( W^a \) and we choose \( \mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};1}_{a_1 a_2; 1} \) to be the intertwining operator defined using the action of \( \sigma_{12} \), or equivalently the skew-symmetry in this case,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};1}_{a_1 a_2; 1}(w_a, x)u &= \sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};1}_{a_1 a_2; 1})(w_a, x)u \\
&= e^{xL(-1)}\mathcal{Y}^{a_{12};1}_{a_1 a_2; 1}(u, -x)w_a \\
&= e^{xL(-1)}Y_{W^a}(u, -x)w_a
\end{align*}
\]

for \( a \in V \) and \( w_a \in W^a \). Since \( V' \) as a \( V \)-module is isomorphic to \( V \), we have \( e' = e \). From [FHL], we know that there is a nondegenerate invariant bilinear
form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $V$ such that $(1, 1) = 1$. We choose $\mathcal{Y}^e_{a_1; 1} = \mathcal{Y}^{e'}_{a_1; 1}$ to be the intertwining operator defined using the action of $\sigma_{23}$ by

$$\mathcal{Y}^{e'}_{a_1; 1} = \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}^e_{a_1; 1}),$$

that is,

$$(u, \mathcal{Y}^{e'}_{a_1; 1}(w, x)w') = e^{\pi i h_a}(\mathcal{Y}^e_{a_1; 1}(e^{-\pi i (x^{-2})L(0)}(x^{-1}u, x^{-1})w, w'))$$

for $u \in V$, $w, w' \in W^e$ and $w' \in W^{e'}$. Since the actions of $\sigma_{12}$ and $\sigma_{23}$ generate the action of $S_3$ on $V$, we have

$$\mathcal{Y}^e_{a; 1} = \sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y}^e_{a; 1})$$

for any $a \in A$. When $a_1, a_2, a_3 \neq e$, we choose $\mathcal{Y}^{a_3}_{a_1 a_2; i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3}$, to be an arbitrary basis of $\mathcal{Y}^{a_3}_{a_1 a_2}$.

Recall that $\sigma_{123} = \sigma_{12}\sigma_{23}$ and $\sigma_{132} = \sigma_{23}\sigma_{12}$. The following theorem gives the first Moore-Seiberg formula in [MS1]:

**Theorem 4.1** For $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_1}^{a_2 a_3}} F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_1; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{e}_{a_1 a_2; i}; \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_1 a_2; k} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3; i}) \cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_1 a_2; k} \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3; i}); \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_1 a_2; i} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3; i}) = N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_1; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{e}_{a_1 a_2; i}; \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_1 a_2; i} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3; i}).$$ (4.1)

**Proof.** For $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$, $w_a, w_a' \in W^a$, $w_a, w_a' \in W^{a'}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3}$, by the associativity (1.5), we have

$$E(\langle w_{a'}^{a_2}, \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_1; 1}(w^1_{a_2}, z_{1}); \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_3; i}(w^2_{a_2}, z_{2}); \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3; i})(w_{a_1}, z_{3})w_{a_2} \rangle)$$

$$= \sum_{a_4 \in A} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_2}^{a_4}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_2 a_3}^{a_4}} F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{e}_{a_2 a_3; j}; \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3; k} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3; j}) \cdot E(\langle w_{a'}^{a_4}, \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_4 a_3; i}(w_{a_2}, z_{1}); \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_4 a_3; i}(w_{a_2}, z_{2}); \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3; i})(w_{a_1}, z_{3})w_{a_2} \rangle)$$

$$= \sum_{a_4 \in A} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_2}^{a_4}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_2 a_3}^{a_4}} F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{e}_{a_2 a_3; j}; \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3; k} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_3; j}).$$
\[
\sum_{a_{ij} \in A} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_{ij}}^{(2)}} \sum_{m=1}^{N_{a_{ij}}^{(2)}} F(Y_{a_{ij}}^{a_{k}^{(2)}}(w_{a_{ij}}, z_{1} - z_{2})w_{a_{l}}(w_{a_{l}}, z_{2} - z_{3})(w_{a_{m}}, z_{3}))
\]

\[
\cdot E\left(\langle w_{a_{ij}}, Y_{a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij}}^{a_{k}^{(2)}}(w_{a_{ij}}, z_{1} - z_{2})w_{a_{l}}(w_{a_{l}}, z_{2} - z_{3})(w_{a_{m}}, z_{3})w_{a_{n}}\rangle\right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{a_{ij} \in A} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_{ij}}^{(2)}} \sum_{m=1}^{N_{a_{ij}}^{(2)}} F(Y_{a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij}}^{a_{k}^{(2)}}(w_{a_{ij}}, z_{1} - z_{2})w_{a_{l}}(w_{a_{l}}, z_{2} - z_{3})(w_{a_{m}}, z_{3}))
\]

\[
\cdot E\left(\langle w_{a_{ij}}, Y_{a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij}}^{a_{k}^{(2)}}(w_{a_{ij}}, z_{1} - z_{2})w_{a_{l}}(w_{a_{l}}, z_{2} - z_{3})(w_{a_{m}}, z_{3})w_{a_{n}}\rangle\right)
\]

On the other hand, also by the associativity (1.5), we have

\[
E\left(\langle w_{a_{ij}}, Y_{a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij}}^{a_{k}^{(2)}}(w_{a_{ij}}, z_{1} - z_{2})w_{a_{l}}(w_{a_{l}}, z_{2} - z_{3})(w_{a_{m}}, z_{3})w_{a_{n}}\rangle\right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{N_{a_{ij}}^{(2)}} F(Y_{a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij}}^{a_{k}^{(2)}}(w_{a_{ij}}, z_{1} - z_{2})w_{a_{l}}(w_{a_{l}}, z_{2} - z_{3})(w_{a_{m}}, z_{3}))
\]

\[
\cdot E\left(\langle w_{a_{ij}}, Y_{a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij}}^{a_{k}^{(2)}}(w_{a_{ij}}, z_{1} - z_{2})w_{a_{l}}(w_{a_{l}}, z_{2} - z_{3})(w_{a_{m}}, z_{3})w_{a_{n}}\rangle\right)
\]

\[
\cdot E\left(\langle w_{a_{ij}}, Y_{a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij},a_{ij}}^{a_{k}^{(2)}}(w_{a_{ij}}, z_{1} - z_{2})w_{a_{l}}(w_{a_{l}}, z_{2} - z_{3})(w_{a_{m}}, z_{3})w_{a_{n}}\rangle\right)
\]

(4.2)
\[
\sum_{a_7 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{p=1}^{N^{a_7}} \sum_{q=1}^{N^{a_7}} \sum_{r=1}^{N^{a_7}} F (Y_{a_2}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_3}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_4}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_5}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_6}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot E ( \langle w_{a_2}^{1}, Y_{a_2}^{a_7} \alpha_{a_2}^{a_7}; \beta_{a_2}^{a_7}; \gamma_{a_2}^{a_7}; \delta_{a_2}^{a_7} \rangle (w_{a_2}^{1}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}) w_{a_2}^{2} )
\]

\[
= \sum_{a_6, a_7 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{n=1}^{N^{a_6}} \sum_{r=1}^{N^{a_7}} \sum_{s=1}^{N^{a_7}} \sum_{t=1}^{N^{a_7}} \sum_{u=1}^{N^{a_7}} F (Y_{a_2}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_3}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_4}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_5}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_6}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot F (Y_{a_3}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_4}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_5}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_6}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot F (Y_{a_4}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_5}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_6}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot F (Y_{a_5}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_6}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot E ( \langle w_{a_2}^{1}, Y_{a_2}^{a_7} \alpha_{a_2}^{a_7}; \beta_{a_2}^{a_7}; \gamma_{a_2}^{a_7}; \delta_{a_2}^{a_7} \rangle (w_{a_2}^{1}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}) w_{a_2}^{2} ) 
\]

Using (4.2)-(4.3) and Proposition 1.3, we obtain

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N^{a_2}} F (Y_{a_2}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_3}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_4}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_5}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_6}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot F (Y_{a_3}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_4}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_5}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_6}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot F (Y_{a_4}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_5}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_6}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot F (Y_{a_5}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_6}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot E ( \langle w_{a_2}^{1}, Y_{a_2}^{a_7} \alpha_{a_2}^{a_7}; \beta_{a_2}^{a_7}; \gamma_{a_2}^{a_7}; \delta_{a_2}^{a_7} \rangle (w_{a_2}^{1}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}) w_{a_2}^{2} ) 
\]

In particular, for \( a_5 = e \) and \( a_4 = a_1' \), we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N^{a_2}} F (Y_{a_2}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_3}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_4}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_5}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_6}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot F (Y_{a_3}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_4}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_5}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_6}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot F (Y_{a_4}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_5}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_6}^{a_7} \otimes Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot F (Y_{a_5}^{a_7} \otimes \sigma_{123} (Y_{a_6}^{a_7}; X_{a_1}; Y_{a_7}^{a_7} ) ) 
\cdot E ( \langle w_{a_2}^{1}, Y_{a_2}^{a_7} \alpha_{a_2}^{a_7}; \beta_{a_2}^{a_7}; \gamma_{a_2}^{a_7}; \delta_{a_2}^{a_7} \rangle (w_{a_2}^{1}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}) w_{a_2}^{2} ) 
\]
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\begin{align*}
& \cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1}; \sigma^x_{a_2 a_1}; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1}) \\
& \cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1} \otimes \sigma^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1}) \\
= & \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N_{a_2 a_1}} F(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \sigma^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1}) \right) \\
& \cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1} \otimes \sigma^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1}) \\
& \cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \sigma^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1} ; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1}) \cdot \ (4.4) \\
& \end{align*}

On the other hand, by the definition of \( \sigma_{12} \) and \( \sigma_{23} \), the relations \( \sigma^x_{12} = \sigma^2_{23} = 1 \) and the choices of the basis \( \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_1}; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2}; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_3}; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_4}; \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_5}; \) for \( a \in \mathbb{A} \), we have

\begin{align*}
& \langle u, \mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1}(w_{a_1}, x_1) \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_2) w_{a_2} \rangle \\
= & \langle u, \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_1) \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_2) w_{a_2} \rangle \\
= & e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} \langle \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_1) \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_2) w_{a_2} \rangle \\
& \cdot e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} \langle \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_1) \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_2) w_{a_2} \rangle \\
= & e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} \langle \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_1) \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_2) w_{a_2} \rangle \\
& \cdot e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} \langle \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_1) \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_2) w_{a_2} \rangle \\
= & e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} \cdot \langle e^{-x_1 L(1)} e^{-x_1 L(1)} w_{a_1}, w_{a_2} \rangle \\
& \cdot e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} \cdot \langle e^{-x_1 L(1)} e^{-x_1 L(1)} w_{a_1}, w_{a_2} \rangle \ (4.5) \\
& \end{align*}

By the locality between vertex operators on \( V \)-modules and intertwining operators and the definition of \( \sigma_{23} \), there exists a positive integer \( N \) such that

\begin{align*}
& x_{a_2}^N e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} \\
& \cdot \langle e^{-x_1 L(1)} \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_2) w_{a_2} \rangle \\
& \cdot e^{\pi i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} \cdot \langle e^{-x_1 L(1)} \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}^x_{a_2 a_1})(w_{a_1}, x_2) w_{a_2} \rangle \ (4.5) \\
& \end{align*}
\[
\cdot Y_{W_{a_2}^{\pi}} (u, x_1^{-1}) e^{x_1 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_2^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, w_{a_2})
\]
\[
= ((x_2^{-1} - x_1^{-1})^N e^{i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} .
\cdot (e^{x_1^{-1} L(-1)} \sigma_{23} (\sigma_{123}(Y^{x_1}_{a_2 a_2; i}))(e^{x_2 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_2^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, x_2^{-1} - x_1^{-1}) .
\cdot Y_{W_{a_2}^{\pi}} (u, -x_1^{-1}) e^{x_1 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_2^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, w_{a_2})
\]
\[
= ((x_2^{-1} - x_1^{-1})^N e^{i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} .
\cdot (e^{x_1^{-1} L(-1)} Y_{W_{a_2}^{\pi}} (u, -x_1^{-1}) .
\cdot \sigma_{23} (\sigma_{123}(Y^{x_1}_{a_2 a_2; i}))(e^{x_2 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_2^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, x_2^{-1} - x_1^{-1}) .
\cdot e^{x_1 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_1^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, w_{a_2})
\]
\[
= x_2^{-N} e^{i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2})} .
\cdot \sigma_{12} (Y_{W_{a_2}^{\pi}}) (\sigma_{23} (\sigma_{123}(Y^{x_1}_{a_2 a_2; i}))(e^{x_2 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_2^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, x_2^{-1} - x_1^{-1}) .
\cdot e^{x_1 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_1^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, x_1^{-1}) u, w_{a_2})
\]
\[
= x_2^{-N} e^{i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2} - h_{a_2})} \cdot (e^{i h_{a_2}} Y^{x_2}_{a_2; i} (e^{x_1 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_1^{-2}} L(0) e^{-x_2 L(1)}) .
\cdot \sigma_{23} (\sigma_{123}(Y^{x_1}_{a_2 a_2; i}))(e^{x_2 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_2^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, x_2^{-1} - x_1^{-1}) .
\cdot e^{x_1 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_1^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, x_1^{-1}) u, w_{a_2})
\]
\[
= x_2^{-N} e^{i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2} - h_{a_2})} \cdot \langle u, \sigma_{23}(Y^{x_2}_{a_2; i 1}) ((e^{-\pi i x_1^{-2}} L(0) e^{-x_2 L(1)}) .
\cdot \sigma_{23} (\sigma_{123}(Y^{x_1}_{a_2 a_2; i}))(e^{x_2 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_2^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, x_2^{-1} - x_1^{-1}) .
\cdot e^{x_1 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_1^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, x_1^{-1}) w_{a_2})
\]
\[
= x_2^{-N} e^{i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2} - h_{a_2})} \cdot \langle u, Y^{x_2}_{a_2 a_2; 1} ((e^{-\pi i x_1^{-2}} L(0) e^{-x_2 L(1)}) .
\cdot \sigma_{23} (\sigma_{123}(Y^{x_1}_{a_2 a_2; i}))(e^{x_2 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_2^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, x_2^{-1} - x_1^{-1}) .
\cdot e^{x_1 L(1)} (e^{-\pi i x_1^{-2}} L(0) w_{a_2}^1, x_1^{-1}) w_{a_2})
\]
\[
= x_2^{-N} e^{i (h_{a_1} + h_{a_2} - h_{a_2})} .
\cdot \langle u, Y^{x_2}_{a_2 a_2; 1} (\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{123}(Y^{x_2}_{a_2 a_2; i}))(w_{a_1}, e^{i (x_1 - x_2)} w_{a_2}^1, x_1) w_{a_2})
\]
\[
= x_2^{-N} \langle u, Y^{x_2}_{a_2 a_2; 1} (e^{(x_2 - x_1) L(-1)} e^{-\pi i \Delta (\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{123}(Y^{x_2}_{a_2 a_2; i})))) e^{x_1 - x_2) L(-1)} .
\cdot \sigma_{23}(\sigma_{123}(Y^{x_2}_{a_2 a_2; i}))(w_{a_1}, e^{i (x_1 - x_2)} w_{a_2}^1, x_1) w_{a_2})
\]
\[
= x_2^{-N} \langle u, Y^{x_2}_{a_2 a_2; 1} (e^{(x_2 - x_1) L(-1)} .
\]
\[ \cdot \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{23}(\sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i}))(w_{a_1}, x_1 - x_2)w_{a_1}^{'}, x_1)w_{a_2}). \]

Using (4.5), (4.6), the \( L(-1) \)-derivative property for \( \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i})) \) and the equality \( \sigma_{12}\sigma_{23} = \sigma_{123} \), we obtain

\[ E(\langle u, \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; 1}(w_{a_5}, z_1)\sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i})(w_{a_1}, z_2)w_{a_2} \rangle) = E(\langle u, \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; 1}(e^{(z_2 - z_1)L(-1)} \cdot \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i}))(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_1}^{'}, z_1)w_{a_2} \rangle) \]

\[ = E(\langle u, \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; 1}(\sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i})(w_{a_1}, z_1 - z_2)w_{a_1}^{'}, z_2)w_{a_2} \rangle) \]

Thus we obtain

\[ F(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i} \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i}); \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_1 a_3; 1} \otimes \sigma_{123}^{a_3}(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i})) = \delta_{ij}. \]  

(4.7)

Similarly, we can prove

\[ F(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; 1} \otimes \sigma_{123}^{a_2}(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i}); \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_1 a_3; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i}) = \delta_{n_j} \]  

(4.8)

Using (4.7) and (4.8), we see that (4.4) becomes

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{N_{n_k a_k}} F(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_1 a_3; 1} k \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i}); \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; 1} \otimes \sigma_{123}^{a_3}(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i}) \cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; k} \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i}); \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i}) = \delta_{ij} \cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{y^2}_{a_2 a_3; i}) \cdot (4.9) \]

Summing over \( i = 1, \ldots, N_{n_k a_k} \) on both sides in the special case \( j = i \) of (4.9), we obtain (4.1).

We now prepare to prove the second formula. Recall from Section 2 the maps \( \Psi_{a_1, a_2}: \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{W}^a \otimes \mathbb{W}^{a'} \to \mathcal{G}_{1; 2} \) for \( a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A} \) and the projection \( \pi: \mathcal{F}_{1; 2} \to \mathcal{F}_{1; 2} \). For any \( f \in \mathcal{F}_{1; 2} \), we shall, for convenience, denote \( (\pi(f))(w_a \otimes w_{a'}) \) by \( \pi(f(w_a \otimes w_{a'})). \)

We need the following lemma:
Lemma 4.2 For \(a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}, w_{a_1} \in W^a_{a_2} \) and \(w_{a_2} \in W^a_{a_2'}, \) we have

\[
\Psi_{a_1, a_2, c}^{1, 1}(w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}; z_1, z_2 - 1; \tau) \\
= \sum_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_3}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_3}} e^{-2\pi i (h_{a_3} - h_{a_2})} \\
\cdot \left( F^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_3}_{a_3; i} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2}; \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2', i} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'}, \sigma_{13\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2}}) \right),
\]

\[
\cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2', i} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'}, \sigma_{13\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2}}), \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'}, \sigma_{13\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2}}) \\
\cdot E \left( \text{Tr}_{W^a_{a_2}} \mathcal{Y}^{a_3}_{a_3; a_2} \mathcal{U}(e^{2\pi i z_2}) \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'} \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'} w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2, w_{a_2'}, e^{2\pi i z_2} q_{\tau}^{L(0)} - \frac{1}{2\tau} \right). 
\]  

(4.10)

and

\[
\Psi_{a_1, a_2, c}^{1, 1}(w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}; z_1, z_2 + \tau; \tau) \\
= \sum_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_3}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_3}} e^{-2\pi i (h_{a_3} + h_{a_2})} \\
\cdot F^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_3}_{a_3; i} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2}; \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'}, \sigma_{13\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2}}) \right),
\]

\[
\cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2', i} \otimes \sigma_{13\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2}}; \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'}, \sigma_{13\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2}}) \\
\cdot E \left( \text{Tr}_{W^a_{a_2}} \mathcal{Y}^{a_3}_{a_3; a_2} \mathcal{U}(e^{2\pi i z_2}) \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'} \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2; a_2'} w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2, w_{a_2'}, e^{2\pi i z_2} q_{\tau}^{L(0)} - \frac{1}{2\tau} \right). 
\]  

(4.11)

In particular, for any \(a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}, \) the maps from \(\prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} W^a \otimes W^{a'} \) to the space of single-valued analytic functions on \(\mathcal{M}_2^a \) given by

\[
\begin{align*}
  w_a \otimes w_{a'} &\mapsto \Psi_{a_1, a_2, c}^{1, 1}(w_a, w_{a'}, z_1, z_2 - 1; \tau), \\
  w_a \otimes w_{a'} &\mapsto \Psi_{a_1, a_2, c}^{1, 1}(w_a, w_{a'}, z_1, z_2 + \tau; \tau)
\end{align*}
\]

for \(w_a \in W^a \) and \(w_{a'} \in W^{a'} \) are in \(\mathcal{F}_{1; 2}. \)

Proof. Using the definition of \(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, c}^{1, 1}, \) the associativity properties (1.5), (1.6) and (1.1), we have

\[
\Psi_{a_1, a_2, c}^{1, 1}(w_{a_1}, w_{a_2}; z_1, z_2 - 1; \tau)
\]
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\[ E \left( \text{Tr}_{W} w_{a_{1}} \mathcal{Y}_{B_{a_{1};1}} \left( \langle \mathbf{e}^{i(2\pi z_{2})} \rangle q_{r}^{L(0)-\frac{\pi}{4}} \right) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2},a_{1};1}^{w_{a_{2}}}, \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2},a_{1};i}^{w_{a_{2}}}, e^{2\pi i(z_{2} - 1)} w_{a_{2}} \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{a_{2} \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_{2}}^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_{1}}^{1}} F^{-1} \left( \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};1}^{w_{a_{2}}} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};i}^{w_{a_{2}}} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};i}^{w_{a_{2}}} \right) \cdot E \left( \text{Tr}_{W} w_{a_{2}} \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};1}^{w_{a_{2}}} \left( \langle \mathbf{e}^{i(2\pi z_{1})} \rangle q_{r}^{L(0)-\frac{\pi}{4}} \right) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};i}^{w_{a_{2}}} \left( \langle \mathbf{e}^{i(2\pi z_{2})} \rangle q_{r}^{L(0)-\frac{\pi}{4}} \right) \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{a_{2} \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_{2}}^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_{1}}^{1}} e^{-2\pi i(h_{a_{2}a_{1}} - h_{a_{2}})} F^{-1} \left( \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};1}^{w_{a_{2}}} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};i}^{w_{a_{2}}} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};i}^{w_{a_{2}}} \right) \cdot E \left( \text{Tr}_{W} w_{a_{2}} \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};1}^{w_{a_{2}}} \left( \langle \mathbf{e}^{i(2\pi z_{1})} \rangle q_{r}^{L(0)-\frac{\pi}{4}} \right) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};i}^{w_{a_{2}}} \left( \langle \mathbf{e}^{i(2\pi z_{2})} \rangle q_{r}^{L(0)-\frac{\pi}{4}} \right) \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{a_{2} \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_{2}}^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_{1}}^{1}} e^{-2\pi i(h_{a_{2}a_{1}} - h_{a_{2}})} F^{-1} \left( \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};1}^{w_{a_{2}}} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};i}^{w_{a_{2}}} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};i}^{w_{a_{2}}} \right) \cdot E \left( \text{Tr}_{W} \mathcal{Y}_{a_{2};a_{1};1}^{w_{a_{2}}} \left( \langle \mathbf{e}^{i(2\pi z_{1})} \rangle q_{r}^{L(0)-\frac{\pi}{4}} \right) \right) \]

proving (4.10).

The proof of (4.11) is more complicated. Using the definition of \( \Psi_{a_{1},a_{2},e}^{1,1} \).
the associativity properties (1.5), (1.6), the $L(0)$-conjugation property, the property of traces, and (1.1), 

$$
\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1, 1} \left( w_{a_2}, w_{a_2}^{-1}; z_1, z_2 + \tau \right) = E \left( \text{Tr}_{W_{a_1}} Y_{a_1; \tau} e^{2\pi i (z_2 + \tau)} \right) \cdot \sum_{\alpha_2, \alpha_3} \sum_{\beta_2, \beta_3} \sum_{\gamma_2, \gamma_3} F^{-1}(Y_{a_2; \beta} \otimes Y_{a_2; \gamma}; \sigma_{23}(Y_{a_2; \beta; \gamma}) \otimes \sigma_{13}(Y_{a_2; \alpha; \beta})).
$$

$$
= \sum_{\alpha_2, \alpha_3} \sum_{\beta_2, \beta_3} \sum_{\gamma_2, \gamma_3} F^{-1}(Y_{a_2; \beta} \otimes Y_{a_2; \gamma}; \sigma_{23}(Y_{a_2; \beta; \gamma}) \otimes \sigma_{13}(Y_{a_2; \alpha; \beta})).
$$

Using (2.21), the relations $\sigma_{23}^2 = 1$, $\sigma_{23} \sigma_{12} = \sigma_{123}$ and the genus-one
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associativity, we have

\[
E \left( \text{Tr} \left( \sigma_{13} \left( \gamma_{a_1 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_1} \right) (\mathcal{U} e^{2\pi i z_2} w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times \sigma_{23} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_1} \right) (\mathcal{U} e^{2\pi i z_1} w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_1}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\pi}{2\pi}}
\]

\[
= E \left( \text{Tr} \left( \sigma_{23} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_2} (\mathcal{U} e^{2\pi i z_2} w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) \right) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times \sigma_{13} \left( \gamma_{a_3 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_2} \right) (\mathcal{U} e^{2\pi i z_1} w_{a_2}, e^{2\pi i z_1}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\pi}{2\pi}}
\]

\[
= e^{-2\pi i a_2} E \left( \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_2} \left( \mathcal{U} e^{2\pi i z_1} e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{-2\pi i z_1} \right) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times \sigma_{23} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_2} \right) (\mathcal{U} e^{2\pi i z_1} e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{-2\pi i z_1}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\pi}{2\pi}}
\]

\[
= e^{-2\pi i a_2} E \left( \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_2} \left( \mathcal{U} e^{-2\pi i z_1} e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{-2\pi i z_1} \right) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times \sigma_{123} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_2} \right) (\mathcal{U} e^{-2\pi i z_1} e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{-2\pi i z_1}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\pi}{2\pi}}
\]  

(4.13)

We now prove

\[
E \left( \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_2} (\mathcal{U} e^{2\pi i z_1} e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{-2\pi i z_1}) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times \sigma_{123} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_2} \right) (\mathcal{U} e^{2\pi i z_1} e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{-2\pi i z_1}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\pi}{2\pi}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{a_4 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_1}^{a_1}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_1}^{a_1}} F(\gamma_{a_4 a_{1;1}}^{} \otimes \sigma_{123} (\gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{}; \gamma_{a_4 a_{1;1}}^{}; \gamma_{a_4 a_{1;1}}^{}); \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{}; \gamma_{a_4 a_{1;1}}^{}; \gamma_{a_4 a_{1;1}}^{}),
\]

\[
\times E \left( \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_2} (\mathcal{U} e^{-2\pi i z_1} \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_4} (\mathcal{U} e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{\pi i (z_1 - z_2)}) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times \sigma_{123} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_4} \right) (\mathcal{U} e^{-2\pi i z_1} \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_4} (\mathcal{U} e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{\pi i (z_1 - z_2)}) \right.
\]

\[
\times e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{-2\pi i z_1}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\pi}{2\pi}}
\]  

(4.14)

To prove (4.14), we need only prove their restrictions to a subregion of $M_1^2$ are equal. So we need only prove that

\[
E \left( \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_2} (\mathcal{U} e^{-2\pi i z_1} \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_4} (\mathcal{U} e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{-2\pi i z_1}) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times \sigma_{123} \left( \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_4} \right) (\mathcal{U} e^{-2\pi i z_1} \gamma_{a_2 a_{1;1}}^{\sigma_4} (\mathcal{U} e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{-2\pi i z_1}) q_{r}^{L(0) - \frac{\pi}{2\pi}}
\]
\[
\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\alpha_{0},0}^{A}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{\alpha_{1},1}^{A}} F(\gamma_{\alpha_{0},0,1}; \sigma_{1,2,3}(\gamma_{\alpha_{1},1,1}; \times \gamma_{\alpha_{1},1,1}); \gamma_{\alpha_{0},0,1}; k \times \gamma_{\alpha_{1},1,1}^{a_{1,1}}).
\]

\[
\cdot \text{Tr}_{W_{\gamma_{\alpha_{0},0,1}}} \gamma_{\alpha_{0},0,1}^{a_{0,1}} \left( \mathcal{U}(e^{-2\pi i z_{2}}) \gamma_{\alpha_{0},0,1}^{a_{0,1}} \left( e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{\alpha_{0,1}}, e^{\pi i (z_{1} - z_{2})} \right) \cdot e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{\alpha_{0,1}}, e^{-2\pi i z_{2}} \right) \frac{L(0) - \frac{i \pi}{2}}{q_{\tau}} \right).
\]

(4.15)

holds when \(|q_{\tau}| < |e^{-2\pi i z_{2}}| < |e^{-2\pi i z_{1}}| < 1\) and \(0 < |e^{2\pi i (-z_{1} + z_{2})} - 1| < 1\).

From (2.9), we see that in this region the left-hand side of (4.15) is equal to

\[
\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\alpha_{0},0}^{A}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{\alpha_{1},1}^{A}} F(\gamma_{\alpha_{0},0,1}; \sigma_{1,2,3}(\gamma_{\alpha_{1},1,1}; \times \gamma_{\alpha_{1},1,1}); \gamma_{\alpha_{0},0,1}; k \times \gamma_{\alpha_{1},1,1}^{a_{1,1}}).
\]

\[
\cdot \text{Tr}_{W_{\gamma_{\alpha_{0},0,1}}} \gamma_{\alpha_{0},0,1}^{a_{0,1}} \left( \mathcal{U}(e^{-2\pi i z_{2}}) \gamma_{\alpha_{0},0,1}^{a_{0,1}} \left( e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{\alpha_{0,1}}, (z_{1} + z_{2}) \right) \cdot e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{\alpha_{0,1}}, e^{-2\pi i z_{2}} \right) \frac{L(0) - \frac{i \pi}{2}}{q_{\tau}} \right).
\]

(4.16)

Now in this region, because \(|e^{-2\pi i z_{2}}| < |e^{-2\pi i z_{1}}|\), the imaginary part of \(z_{1}\) must be bigger than the imaginary part of \(z_{2}\). Thus \(z_{1} - z_{2}\) is in the upper half plane. This means that \(\arg(z_{1} - z_{2}) < \pi\) and \(\arg(z_{1} - z_{2}) + \pi < 2\pi\).

So we have \(\arg(-(z_{1} - z_{2})) = \arg(z_{1} - z_{2}) + \pi\). Now for any \(n \in \mathbb{C}\), by our convention,

\[
(z_{1} + z_{2})^{n} = e^{n \log(z_{1} + z_{2})}
\]

\[
= e^{n \log(-z_{1} - z_{2})}
\]

\[
= e^{n \log(-z_{1} - z_{2}) + i \arg(-(z_{1} - z_{2}))}
\]

\[
= e^{n \log(z_{1} - z_{2}) + i \arg(z_{1} + z_{2}) + \pi i}
\]

\[
= e^{n \log(z_{1} + z_{2}) + \pi i}
\]

\[
= (e^{\pi i (z_{1} - z_{2})})^{n}.
\]

This shows that indeed when \(|q_{\tau}| < |e^{-2\pi i z_{2}}| < |e^{-2\pi i z_{1}}| < 1\) and \(0 < |e^{2\pi i (-z_{1} + z_{2})} - 1| < 1\), (4.16) is equal to the right-hand side of (4.15) and (4.15) holds. Consequently, we obtain (4.14).
Using (2.22) and the $L(0)$-conjugation formula, we have
\[
E\left(\Tr_W \gamma_{a_4}^{a_5 j} \left(\mathcal{U}(e^{-2\pi i z_2}) \gamma_{a_2 a_3 j}^{a_5} \left(e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{\pi i (z_1 - z_2)} \right) \right.\right.
\left.\left. \cdot e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}^* e^{-2\pi i z_2} \right) q_\tau \right)
\]
\[
= e^{\pi h_0} \left(\Tr_W \psi_{a_4}^{a_5 j} \left(\mathcal{U}(e^{2\pi i z_2}) e^{-\pi i L(0)} \right) \cdot \gamma_{a_2 a_3 j}^{a_5} \left(e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}, e^{\pi i (z_1 - z_2)} \right) e^{\pi i L(0)} w_{a_2}^* e^{2\pi i z_2} \right) q_\tau
\]
\[
= e^{\pi h_0} \left(\Tr_W \psi_{a_4}^{a_5 j} \left(\mathcal{U}(e^{2\pi i z_2}) \gamma_{a_2 a_3 j}^{a_5} (w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2) w_{a_2}^*, e^{2\pi i z_2} \right) q_\tau \right).
\]
(4.17)

Combining (4.12), (4.13), (4.14) and (4.17), we obtain (4.11).

For $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, we define $\alpha(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1})$ and $\beta(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1})$ by
\[
\alpha(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1})(w_a, w_{a'}, z_1, z_2; \tau) = \pi(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1}(w_a, w_{a'}, z_1, z_2 - 1; \tau)),
\]
\[
\beta(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1})(w_a, w_{a'}, z_1, z_2; \tau) = \pi(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1}(w_a, w_{a'}, z_1, z_2 + \tau; \tau))
\]
for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $w_a \in W^a$ and $w_{a'} \in W^{a'}$.

**Proposition 4.3** For $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, we have
\[
\alpha(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1}) = e^{-2\pi i h_0} (B^{-1})^2 (\mathcal{Y}_{a_1 e_1}^{a_1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_1 e_1}^{a_2} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_1 e_1}^{a_2} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_1 e_1}^{a_2}) \Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1} \quad (4.18)
\]
and
\[
\beta(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1}) = e^{-2\pi i h_0} \sum_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i = 1}^{N_{a_3}^{a_3}} \sum_{j = 1}^{N_{a_3}^{a_3}} F(\mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e_1}^{a_3} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e_1}^{a_3} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e_1}^{a_3} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e_1}^{a_3}) \cdot
\]
\[
\cdot F(\mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e_1}^{a_3} \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e_1}^{a_3} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e_1}^{a_3} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e_1}^{a_3} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e_1}^{a_3})) \Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1} \quad (4.19)
\]

**Proof.** Using the definitions of $\alpha$, $\pi$ and $\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1}(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2}^*, z_1, z_2; \tau)$, (4.10) and (3.1), we have
\[
(\alpha(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1}))(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2}^*, z_1, z_2; \tau) = \pi(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^{1,1}(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2}^*, z_1, z_2 - 1; \tau))
\]
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\[
= \sum_{a_0 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_0}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_0}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_0}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_0}} e^{-2\pi i(h_{a_0} - h_{a_1})}
\times \cdot F^{-1}(Y_{a_2}^{a_2;j}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i})
\times F(Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i})
\times \pi \left( E \left( \text{Tr}_{W^0} Y_{e_{a_1};1} \left( \mathcal{U} e^{2\pi iz_2} \right) \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{a_0 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_0}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_0}} e^{-2\pi i(h_{a_0} - h_{a_1})} F^{-1}(Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i})
\times \cdot F(Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2}^{a_2;i})
\times E \left( \text{Tr}_{W^0} Y_{e_{a_1};1} \left( \mathcal{U} e^{2\pi iz_2} \right) \right)
\]

\[
e^{-2\pi i h_{a_0}} \sum_{a_0 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_0}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_0}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_0}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_0}} F(Y_{a_1;i}; Y_{a_2,k}; Y_{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2;i})
\times \cdot e^{-2\pi i(h_{a_0} - h_{a_1} - h_{a_2})} F^{-1}(Y_{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2;i})
\times E \left( \text{Tr}_{W^0} Y_{e_{a_1};1} \left( \mathcal{U} e^{2\pi iz_2} \right) \right)
\]

\[
e^{-2\pi i h_{a_0}} \left( B^{(1)} \right)^2 \sum_{a_0 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_0}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_0}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_0}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a_0}} F(Y_{a_1;i}; Y_{a_2,k}; Y_{a_2;i}; Y_{a_2;i})
\times \cdot \Psi_{a_1,a_2,a_3}^{1,1}(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2}; z_1, z_2, \tau),
\]

proving (4.18).

Using the definitions of \(\beta\), \(\pi\) and \(\Psi_{a_1,a_2,a_3}^{1,1}(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2}; z_1, z_2, \tau), (4.11)\), we have

\[
(\beta(\Psi_{a_1,a_2,a_3}^{1,1}(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2}; z_1, z_2, \tau)) = \pi(\Psi_{a_1,a_2,a_3}^{1,1}(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2}; z_1, z_2 + \tau; \tau)).
\]
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\[
= \sum_{a_2 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{a_2}} \sum_{a_4 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{a_4}} e^{\pi i (-2h_{a_2} + h_{a_4})} \cdot
\]

\[
\cdot F^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_{e_{a_1}; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_2'; 1}; \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}^a_{a_2 a_2'; i}) \otimes \sigma_{13}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_4}; i)) \cdot
\]

\[
\cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i} \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i}); \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_4}; i) \cdot
\]

\[
\cdot \pi \left( E \left( \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}^{a_2}} \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_4 a_4'; k} \left( \mathcal{U} \left( e^{2\pi i \tau_2} \right) \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_2'; i}(w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2) w_{a_2'}, e^{2\pi i \tau_2} \right) \right) \right) \cdot
\]

\[
= e^{-2\pi i h_{a_2}} \sum_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{a_3}} \sum_{a_4 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{a_4}} F^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_{e_{a_1}; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_2'; 1}; \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i}) \otimes \sigma_{13}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i})) \cdot
\]

\[
\cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i} \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i}); \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_4}; i) \cdot
\]

\[
\cdot E \left( \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}^{a_2}} \mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_4 a_4'; i} \left( \mathcal{U} \left( e^{2\pi i \tau_2} \right) \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_2 a_2'; i}(w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2) w_{a_2'}, e^{2\pi i \tau_2} \right) \right) \cdot
\]

\[
= e^{-2\pi i h_{a_2}} \sum_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{a_3}} \sum_{a_4 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{a_4}} F^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_{e_{a_1}; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_2'; 1}; \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i}) \otimes \sigma_{13}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i})) \cdot
\]

\[
\cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i} \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i}); \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_4}; i) \cdot
\]

\[
\cdot \Psi \left( w_{a_3}, w_{a_2'}; z_1, z_2; 7 \right). \]

Thus we obtain

\[
\beta(\Psi^{1,1}_{a_1 a_2; e})
\]

\[
= e^{-2\pi i h_{a_2}} \sum_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{a_3}} \sum_{a_4 \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{a_4}} F^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_{e_{a_1}; 1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 a_2'; 1}; \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i}) \otimes \sigma_{13}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i})) \cdot
\]

\[
\cdot F(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i} \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}^{a_2}_{a_2 a_2'; i}); \mathcal{Y}^{a_4}_{a_4}; i) \cdot \Psi \left( w_{a_3}, w_{a_2'}; z_1, z_2; 7 \right). \]
\[ F(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 \epsilon_1} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a_1^1}^\epsilon; \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon) \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon)) \]
\[ = F(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 \epsilon_1} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a_1^1}^\epsilon; \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon) \quad (4.21) \]

and
\[ F(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon); \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon) \]
\[ = F(\sigma_{123}(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon) \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon); \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon) \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon)) \]
\[ = F(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon); \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon). \quad (4.22) \]

From (4.20)-(4.22), we obtain (4.19).

By Proposition 2.11, \( \Psi_{a_1, a_2, e} \), \( a_1 \in \mathcal{A} \) form a basis of \( \mathcal{F}_{1:2} \). For fixed \( a_2 \in \mathcal{A} \), we use \( \alpha_{a_1}^{a_2}(a_2) \) and \( \beta_{a_1}^{a_2}(a_2) \), \( a_1, a_3 \in \mathcal{A} \), to denote the matrix elements of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), respectively, under the basis \( \Psi_{a_1, a_2, e} \), \( a_1 \in \mathcal{A} \).

**Corollary 4.4** The matrix elements \( \alpha_{a_1}^{a_2}(a_2) \) and \( \beta_{a_1}^{a_2}(a_2) \), \( a_1, a_3 \in \mathcal{A} \), are given by
\[ \alpha_{a_1}^{a_2}(a_2) = \delta_{a_1 a_3} (B_1^{-1})^2 (\mathcal{V}_{a_2 \epsilon_1} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_2^1}^\epsilon; \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_2^1}^\epsilon \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_2^1}^\epsilon) \quad (4.23) \]

and
\[ \beta_{a_1}^{a_2}(a_2) = e^{-2\pi i h_{a_2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{a_2^1}} F(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 \epsilon_1} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon; \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon). \]
\[ \cdot F(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon \otimes \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon); \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a_2 a_1^1}^\epsilon). \quad (4.24) \]

**Proof.** This corollary follows directly from the definition of \( \alpha_{a_1}^{a_2}(a_2) \) and \( \beta_{a_1}^{a_2}(a_2) \), \( a_1, a_3 \in \mathcal{A} \), (4.18) and (4.19).

It is also easy to establish the relationship between \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \):

**Proposition 4.5** We have the following formula:
\[ S \alpha S^{-1} = \beta \quad (4.25) \]
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Proof. We have
\[
(\beta(S(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^1, 1\}})(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2'}; z_1, z_2; \tau)
= \pi(S(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^1, 1\}})(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2'}; z_1, z_2 + \tau; \tau))
= \pi\left( E\left( \text{Tr}_{W_1} \Psi_{a_1, 1}\right) (U(e^{-2\pi i \tau}, \tau) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}
\cdot \Psi_{a_2, a_2'; 1}(w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2 + \tau, w_{a_2'}, e^{-2\pi i \frac{z_2 - 1}{\tau}}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{1}{2}}\right))
= \pi\left( E\left( \text{Tr}_{W_1} \Psi_{a_1, 1}\right) (U(e^{2\pi i \tau}, \tau) \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}
\cdot \Psi_{a_2, a_2'; 1}(w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2 + \tau, w_{a_2'}, e^{2\pi i \frac{z_2 - 1}{\tau}}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{1}{2}}\right))
= (\alpha(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}))\left( \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)} \right) (w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2 + \tau, w_{a_2'}, z_1 - z_2 + \tau, \tau)
= (S(\alpha(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^1, 1\}})(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2'}; z_1, z_2; \tau).
\]
Thus we obtain
\[
\beta S = S\alpha
\]
or equivalently (4.25). 

With the basis of the spaces of intertwining operators we choose in the beginning of this section, we have
\[
\Psi_{a_1}(u, \tau) = \text{Tr}_{W_1} \Psi_{a_1, 1}\left( U(e^{2\pi i u}, \tau) \right) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{1}{2}}
= \text{Tr}_{W_1} \Psi_{a_1, 1}\left( U(e^{2\pi i u}, \tau) \right) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{1}{2}}
\]
for \(a_1 \in \mathcal{A}, u \in V\) and
\[
\Psi_{a_1, a_2, \epsilon}^1, 1\}}(w_{a_2}, w_{a_2'}; z_1, z_2; \tau)
= E(\text{Tr}_{W_1} \Psi_{a_1, 1}\left( U(e^{2\pi i z_2}, \tau) \Psi_{a_2, a_2'; 1}(w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2, w_{a_2'}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{1}{2}}\right))
= E(\text{Tr}_{W_1} \Psi_{a_1, 1}\left( U(e^{2\pi i z_2}, \tau) \Psi_{a_2, a_2'; 1}(w_{a_2}, z_1 - z_2, w_{a_2'}, e^{2\pi i z_2}) q_r^{L(0) - \frac{1}{2}}\right))
\]
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for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $w_{a_2} \in W^{a_2}$ and $w_{a_2'} \in W^{a_2'}$.

Since $\Psi_{a_1}$ for $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ are linear independent, they form a basis of $\mathcal{F}_{1:1}$. Thus we know that there exist unique $S_{a_1}^{a_3} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $a_1, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\left(S\left(\Psi_{a_1}\right)\right)(u; \tau) = \sum_{a_2 \in \mathcal{A}} S_{a_1}^{a_2} \Psi_{a_1}(u; \tau),$$

(4.26)

$$S(\Psi_{a_1}) = \sum_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} S_{a_1}^{a_3} \Psi_{a_3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.27)

Clearly we also have

$$S(\Psi_{a_1, a_2, e}) = \sum_{a_3 \in \mathcal{A}} S_{a_1}^{a_2, a_3} \Psi_{a_1, a_2, e}$$

for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $u = 1$ in (4.26). Then we see that $S_{a_1}^{a_2}, a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, are the entries of the matrix representing the action of the modular transformation $\tau \mapsto -1/\tau$ on the space spanned by shifted graded dimensions (vacuum characters) of irreducible $V$-modules. Since the set of shifted graded dimensions (vacuum characters) of irreducible modules is linearly independent and is in bijection with the set $\mathcal{A}$, we can view $S$ as a linear operator on the vector space spanned by $\mathcal{A}$.

The following theorem gives the second Moore-Seiberg formula in [MS1]:

**Theorem 4.6** For $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\sum_{a_4 \in \mathcal{A}} S_{a_1}^{a_2}(B^{-1})^2(Y_{a_4; 1} \otimes Y_{a_2; 2; 1; 1}; Y_{a_3; 1} \otimes Y_{a_4; 2; 1; 1})(S^{-1})_{a_4}^{a_3}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a_1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{a_2}} F(Y_{a_4; 1} \otimes Y_{a_5; 2; 1; 1}; Y_{a_6; 1; 1} \otimes Y_{a_7; 1; 1}, \sigma_{123}(Y_{a_8; 1}; Y_{a_9; 1} \otimes Y_{a_{10}; 1}))$$

(4.28)

**Proof.** This follows from (4.25), (4.23) and (4.24) immediately. \hfill \qed

**Corollary 4.7** For $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\sum_{a_4 \in \mathcal{A}} S_{a_1}^{a_2}(B^{-1})^2(Y_{a_4; 1} \otimes Y_{a_2; 2; 1; 1}; Y_{a_3; 1} \otimes Y_{a_4; 2; 1; 1})(S^{-1})_{a_4}^{a_3}$$

$$= N_{a_1}^{a_2} F(Y_{a_4; 1} \otimes Y_{a_5; 2; 1; 1}; Y_{a_6; 1; 1} \otimes Y_{a_7; 1; 1}).$$

(4.29)
Proof. This follows immediately from (4.9) and (4.28).

\section{The main theorem and the Verlinde formula}

In this section, using the results obtained in the preceding section, we prove the main theorem, the Verlinde conjecture, of the present paper, derive the Verlinde formula for fusion rules and prove that \((S_{a_2})\) is symmetric.

First, we have the following:

\begin{proposition}
For \(a_2 \in \mathcal{A}\),
\[ F(Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2} \otimes Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2} ; Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2} \otimes Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2}) \neq 0. \]
\end{proposition}

\begin{proof}
If
\[ F(Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2} \otimes Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2} ; Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2} \otimes Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2}) = 0, \]
then by (4.29),
\[ (B^{(-1)})^2(Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4} ; Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4}) = 0 \]
for \(a_4 \in \mathcal{A}\). But we know that
\[ (B^{(-1)})^2(Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4} ; Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4}) = 1. \]
Contradiction.
\end{proof}

For \(a_2 \in \mathcal{A}\), let \(N(a_2)\) be the matrices whose entries are \(N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} = N_{a_2 a_1}^{a_3}\) for \(a_1, a_3 \in \mathcal{A}\), that is,
\[ N(a_2) = (N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3}) = (N_{a_2 a_1}^{a_3}). \]

Then we have the main result of the present paper:

\begin{theorem}
Let \(V\) be a simple vertex operator algebra satisfying the conditions in Section 1. Then we have
\[ \sum_{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4} (S^{(-1)}A_{a_4} N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} S_{a_2 a_3}^{a_4} = \delta_{a_4}^{a_3} (B^{(-1)})^2(Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4} ; Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{a_4;1}^{a_4}) F(Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2} \otimes Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2} ; Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2} \otimes Y_{a_2;1}^{a_2}). \]
In particular, the matrix \(S\) diagonalizes the matrices \(N(a_2)\) for all \(a_2 \in \mathcal{A}\).
\end{theorem}
Proof. By Proposition 5.1, we can rewrite (4.29) as (5.1). Since the right-hand side of (5.1) are entries of diagonal matrices, S diagonalizes the \( \mathcal{N}(a_2) \) for all \( a_2 \in \mathcal{A} \).

We now prove the Verlinde formula for fusion rules. We first need the following:

**Proposition 5.3** The square \( S^2 \) viewed as a linear operator on the vector space spanned by \( \mathcal{A} \) is equal to the linear operator obtained from the map \( \tau' : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \).

**Proof.** By definition, we have

\[
(S^2(\Psi_a))(u; \tau) = (S(\Psi_a)) \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\tau} \\ -1 \end{array} \right)^{L(0)} u; \frac{1}{\tau} \right)
\]

\[
= \Psi_a \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \frac{1}{\tau} \end{array} \right)^{L(0)} \left( \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \frac{1}{\tau} \end{array} \right)^{L(0)} u; -\frac{1}{\tau} \right)
\]

\[
= \Psi_a \left( e^{\log \tau + \log(-\frac{1}{\tau})} L(0) u; \tau \right)
\]

\[
= \Psi_a \left( e^{(\log \tau + \log(-\frac{1}{\tau})) L(0)} u; \tau \right).
\]

(5.2)

Note that both \( \tau \) and \( -\frac{1}{\tau} \) are in the upper half plane. So \( 0 < \arg \tau, \arg(-\frac{1}{\tau}) < \pi \). Thus by our convention,

\[
\arg \tau + \arg(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = \arg(-1) = \pi.
\]

So we have

\[
\log \tau + \log \left( -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) = \log |\tau| + i \arg \tau \log \left| -\frac{1}{\tau} \right| + i \arg \left( -\frac{1}{\tau} \right)
\]

\[
= \pi i.
\]

(5.3)

Using (5.3) and (2.20), we see that the right-hand side of (5.2) is equal to

\[
\Psi_a(\epsilon e^{\tau L(0)} u; \tau) = \text{Tr}_{W^\sigma} Y_{W^\sigma}(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon e^{-2\pi i z}) e^{\pi \tau L(0)} u, e^{-2\pi i z} q_{\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{L(0)-\frac{1}{\tau}})
\]

\[
= \text{Tr}_{W^\sigma} Y_{W^\sigma}(\mathcal{U}(e^{2\pi i z}) u, e^{2\pi i z} q_{\tau}^{L(0)-\frac{1}{\tau}})
\]

\[
= \Psi_{a'}(u; \tau)
\]

(5.4)
Combining (5.2) and (5.4), we obtain

\[ S^2(\Psi_a) = \Psi_a', \]

proving the conclusion.

An immediate consequence of the proposition above is the following:

**Corollary 5.4** The inverse \( S^{-1} \) of \( S \) is equal to \( S \circ \ = \circ S \). In particular, we have

\[
(S^{-1})_{a_2}^{a_1} = S_{a_1}^{a_2}
= S_{a_1}^{a_2}
\]  

(5.5)

for \( a_1, a_2 \in A \).

Now we have the following Verlinde formula for fusion rules:

**Theorem 5.5** Let \( V \) be a vertex operator algebra satisfying the conditions in Section 1. Then we have \( S_e \neq 0 \) for \( e \in A \) and

\[
N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} = \sum_{a_4 \in A} \frac{S_{a_1}^{a_4} S_{a_2}^{a_3} S_{a_3}^{a_4}}{S_{a_4}^{a_3}}.
\]  

(5.6)

**Proof.** Let

\[
\lambda_{a_2}^{a_3} = (B(-1))^{2}(Y_{a_4}^{a_2} \otimes Y_{a_2}^{a_3}; Y_{a_2}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{a_3}^{a_4})
\]

(5.7)

for \( a_2, a_4 \in A \). Then by (5.1), we have

\[
\sum_{a_1, a_3 \in A} (S^{-1})_{a_4}^{a_1} N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} S_{a_3}^{a_4} = \delta_{a_4}^{a_3} \lambda_{a_2}^{a_3},
\]

or equivalently

\[
N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} = \sum_{a_4 \in A} S_{a_1}^{a_4} \lambda_{a_2}^{a_4} (S^{-1})_{a_4}^{a_3}.
\]  

(5.8)

Using (5.5), we see that (5.8) becomes

\[
N_{a_1 a_2}^{a_3} = \sum_{a_4 \in A} S_{a_1}^{a_4} \lambda_{a_2}^{a_4} S_{a_4}^{a_3}.
\]  

(5.9)
We know that \( N_{e_a^3}^{a_3} = \delta_{a_3}^{a_3} \). Combining this fact with (5.8), we obtain

\[
\delta_{a_3}^{a_3} = \sum_{a_4 \in \mathcal{A}} S_{e_a^4}^{a_4} \chi_{a_2}^{a_4} (S^{-1})_{a_3}^{a_4}.
\]

Thus we have

\[
S_{e_a^4}^{a_4} \chi_{a_2}^{a_4} = S_{a_2}^{a_4}.
\] (5.10)

From (5.10) we see that if \( S_{e_a^4}^{a_4} = 0 \) for some \( a_4 \in \mathcal{A} \), then there is one column of the matrix \( S \) is 0. Contradictory to the fact that \( S \) is invertible. So \( S_{e_a^4}^{a_4} \neq 0 \) for \( a_4 \in \mathcal{A} \). Rewrite (5.10) as

\[
\chi_{a_2}^{a_4} = \frac{S_{a_2}^{a_4}}{S_{e_a^4}^{a_4}}.
\] (5.11)

Substituting (5.11) into (5.9), we obtain (5.6).

Finally we have:

**Theorem 5.6** The matrix \( S_{a_1}^{a_2} \) is symmetric.

**Proof.** Rewriting (5.8) as

\[
\sum_{a_1} (S^{-1})_{a_3}^{a_4} N_{a_1 a_2} = \lambda_{a_2}^{a_4} (S^{-1})_{a_3}^{a_4},
\] (5.12)

and then letting \( a_3 = a_4 = e \) in (5.12) and using \( N_{a_1 a_2} = \delta_{a_1}^{a_2} \), we obtain

\[
(S^{-1})_{e}^{e} = \lambda_{e}^{e} (S^{-1})_{e}^{e}.
\] (5.13)

Using (5.5), (5.13) can be written as

\[
S_{e}^{e} = \lambda_{e}^{e} S_{e}^{e},
\] (5.14)

By (5.11), (5.14) and (5.7),

\[
S_{a_2}^{a_4} = \lambda_{a_4}^{e} S_{e}^{e} \chi_{a_2}^{a_4} = \frac{S_{e}((B^{(-1)})^{2}(Y_{a_2 e_4}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{e_2 e_4}^{a_4} ; Y_{a_2 e_4}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{e_2 e_4}^{a_4})))}{F(Y_{a_2 e_4}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{e_2 e_4}^{a_4} ; Y_{a_2 e_4}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{e_2 e_4}^{a_4})))F(Y_{a_4 e_4}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{e_4 e_4}^{a_4} ; Y_{a_4 e_4}^{a_4} \otimes Y_{e_4 e_4}^{a_4})))}.
\] (5.15)
By (5.15), we obtain

\[ S_{a_2}^{a_4} = \frac{S_{e}^e \left( (B^{-1})^2 (\mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1} ; \mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1}) \right) }{F(\mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1} ; \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1}) \cdot F(\mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} ; \mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1})}. \]
(5.16)

From (3.1), (3.12), (3.5) and the choice of the basis of the spaces of intertwining operators when some of the modules involved are \( V \), we have

\[ (B^{-1})^2 (\mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1} ; \mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1}) = (B^{-1})^2 (\mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} ; \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1}). \]
(5.17)

Using (5.17) and (5.15), we see that the right-hand side of (5.16) is equal to

\[ \frac{S_{e}^e \left( (B^{-1})^2 (\mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1} ; \mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1}) \right) }{F(\mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1} ; \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_2 e,1}) \cdot F(\mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} ; \mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1} \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{a_4 e,1})} = S_{a_2}^{a_2}. \]
(5.18)

The formulas (5.16) and (5.18) gives

\[ S_{a_2}^{a_4} = S_{a_2}^{a_2}, \]

proving that \( (S_{a_2}^{a_2}) \) is symmetric.
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