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In contrast to the usual representations of of the Poincaré group of finite spin or helicity the Wigner representations of mass zero and infinite spin are known to be incompatible with pointlike localized quantum fields. We present here a construction of quantum fields associated with these representations that are localized in semi-infinite, space-like strings.
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It is well-known that free fields for particles of finite spin (or helicity in case of $m = 0$) can be constructed in two ways, either by (canonical or functional integral) Lagrangian quantization, or within the setting of Wigner’s particle classification [1] based on positive energy representations of the universal covering of the Poincaré group [2]. There is, however, a family of representations where the standard field-theoretical procedures fail. These representations correspond to particles of zero mass and infinite spin and can be regarded as limiting cases of representations of mass $m > 0$ and spin $s < \infty$ as $m \to 0$ and $s \to \infty$ with the Pauli-Lubanski parameter $m^2 s(s+1) = \kappa^2$ fixed and nonzero. In the Wigner classifications they are associated with faithful representations of the noncompact stabilizer group (“little group”) of a light-like vector. In this case no Lagrangian description is known; in fact there exists a No-Go theorem [3] stating that these representations are incompatible with pointlike localized fields fulfilling the general principles of quantum field theory [4]. Special examples that indicate the difficulties to make these representations compatible with the structure of local fields can also be found in [5, 6].

In this Letter we report on the construction of string-localized fields for these representations; the string turns out to be a semi-infinite space-like line characterized by an initial point $x$ in Minkowski space and a space-like direction $r$ from the unit space-like hyperboloid (a point in a de Sitter space). In this paper “localization” is always understood in terms of the vanishing or nonvanishing of commutators of field operators, and string-localization means that the commutator of two field operator vanishes if the corresponding strings are space-like separated but in general not if this holds only for the end points. The existence of string-localized objects as the best possible (with the tightest localization) for these representations is suggested by recent general results on localization in space-like cones that apply to all positive energy representations of the Poincaré group [7]. Our string-localized fields transform in a simple way under the Poincaré group and their internal degrees of freedom consist in the infinite helicity tower of a faithful representation of the Euclidean stabilizer group $E(d-2)$ in spacetime dimension $d \geq 4$. For $d = 3$ the representation is one-dimensional but leads also to string-localized fields. For concreteness sake we consider here the case $d = 4$ and integer helicities. Our findings solve an old problem that has attracted the attention of physicists of several generations [3, 5, 6, 8], namely to incorporate these representations into quantum field theory in a way compatible with causality.

An interesting feature of our construction is a subtle interplay between the pointlike localization of the end point of the string in $d$-dimensional Minkowski space and the directional localization in a $(d-1)$-dimensional de Sitter space in the sense of [15]. We note that in his search for a classical local equation for the zero mass infinite spin representations Wigner [8] proposed a description in which the Poincaré group also acts on a space-like vector besides the points in Minkowski space. The wave equations of [8], however, are inconsistent with string-localization in the sense considered here.

The infinite spin Wigner representations are not the only irreducible representations leading to string localization; massive representations in $d = 1+2$ with spin not equal to an integer or half-integer (anyone) can only be string localized. In that case the string localization results from the richer covering structure of the $d = 1+2$ Poincaré group which also leads to braid group statistics which requires the presence of vacuum polarization even in the absence of a genuine interaction (absence of real
The anyonic string is a special case of the string-like localized objects envisaged in [10]. In this context it is worth pointing out that there is a significant difference between string localization in our sense and localization in string field theory. The light-front quantization of the free bosonic Nambu-Goto string leads according to the analysis in [11] to pointlike localization in the sense that the commutator vanishes for space-time separation of the centers of mass of two string configurations, irrespective of an overlap of their internal coordinates. For interacting string field theory there are no rigorous results of this kind, but perturbative calculations [12, 13] seem to indicate that if such a theory is meaningful at all (which is by no means clear) the string fields can be expected to be totally delocalized. On the available evidence it seems in any case fair to say that the strings of string field theory are not string-localized in the sense of the present paper.

Our construction of string-localized fields is based on Tomita-Takesaki modular theory (see [14] for a survey of its applications to quantum field theory in the context of modular localization for Poincaré covariant positive energy representations [7, 17, 18]. A full treatment in the modular setting will be given in [19]. Here we only describe the main result and give an argument which (in the present condensed version) is less systematic and rigorous but has the advantage of being more accessible to readers with a standard field-theoretic background.

We start by recalling the definition of the irreducible zero mass, infinite spin representations of the orthochronous Poincaré group \( P^+ \). They are defined by inducing unitary representations of the stabilizer group of a fixed light-like vector to the whole of \( P^+ \). The stabilizer group is in our case isomorphic to the two-dimensional Euclidean group \( E(2) \), consisting of rotations \( R_{\theta} \) by an angle \( \theta \in \mathbb{R} \mod 2\pi \) and translations by \( c \in \mathbb{R}^2 \). Let \( \mathcal{H}_\kappa \) be the Hilbert space of functions of \( k \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), square integrable with respect to the measure \( d\nu_k = \delta(|k|^2 - \kappa^2)\,dk \). (Hence only the restrictions of the functions to a circle of radius \( \kappa \) matter.) The Pauli-Lubanski parameter \( \kappa^2 \) labels nonequivalent representations of \( E(2) \); the representation on \( \mathcal{H}_\kappa \) is given by the formula

\[
(D_\kappa(R_{\theta}, c)\varphi)(k) = e^{i\kappa \cdot \theta} \varphi(R_{\theta}^{-1} k).
\]

Let \( \psi(p) \) be an \( \mathcal{H}_\kappa \)-valued wave function of \( p \in \mathbb{R}^4 \), square integrable with respect to the Lorentz invariant measure \( d\mu(p) = \delta(p^0)^2\delta(p \cdot p)^2\,dp \) on the mantle \( \partial V^+ \) of the forward light cone \( V^+ \). The unitary Wigner transformation law for such a wave function reads

\[
(U(a, \Lambda)\psi)(p) = e^{ip^0} D_\kappa(R(\Lambda, p))\psi(\Lambda^{-1} p)
\]

where

\[
R(\Lambda, p) = B^{-1}_p \Lambda B^{-1}_{-\Lambda^{-1} p} \in E(2)
\]

denotes the Wigner “rotation” (actually a boost combined with a rotation) with \( B_p \) an appropriately chosen Lorentz transformation that transform the standard vector \( \vec{p} = (1, 0, 0, 1) \) to a (nonzero) \( p \in \partial V^+ \).

Our string-localized field operators are defined on the Fock-space over the irreducible representation space with the creation and annihilation operators \( a^\dagger(p)(k), a(p)(k) \) for the basis kets \( |p, k\rangle \) of the one-particle space, \( p \in \partial V^+, k \in \mathbb{R}^2, |k| = \kappa \). In fact, we define a whole family of fields, depending on a complex parameter \( \alpha \) that labels representations of the 3-dimensional de Sitter group as will be explained in the sequel. The field operators have the form

\[
\Phi^\alpha(x, \epsilon) = \int_{\partial V^+} d\mu(p) \left\{ e^{ip\cdot x} u^\alpha(p, \epsilon) \circ a^\dagger(p) + e^{-ip\cdot x} u^\alpha(p, \epsilon) \circ a(p) \right\}
\]

with \( \mathcal{H}_\alpha \)-valued prefactors \( u^\alpha(p, \epsilon) \) that are determined by the intertwining property (7) below and certain analyticity requirements for their dependence on \( \epsilon \). The circle “\( \circ \)” between the prefactors \( u^\alpha(p, \epsilon) \) and the creation and annihilation operators (the dependence on \( k \) is suppressed by the notation) stands for integration over \( k \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) with respect to the measure \( d\nu_k(k) \), and the bar denotes complex conjugation. The fields are singular in \( x \) and the space-like direction \( \epsilon \), i.e., operator valued distributions, and they have the following properties:

- If \( x + \mathbb{R}^+ \epsilon \) and \( x' + \mathbb{R}^+ \epsilon' \) are space-like separated \([22]\) then

\[
\left[ \Phi^\alpha(x, \epsilon), \Phi^\alpha(x', \epsilon') \right] = 0
\]

while the commutator is nonzero as a distribution in \( \epsilon, \epsilon' \) if only the endpoints of the strings, \( x \) and \( x' \), are space-like separated.

- The transformation law of the field is consistent with this localization:

\[
U(a, \Lambda) \Phi^\alpha(x, \epsilon, \Lambda^{-1} = \Phi^\alpha(\Lambda x + a, \Lambda \epsilon).
\]

- After smearing with test functions in \( x \) and \( \epsilon \), where it is sufficient to let \( x \) and \( \epsilon \) vary in an arbitrary small region, the field operators generate a dense set in Fock space when applied to the vacuum vector \([0]\) \([\text{Reeh-Schlieder property}\ [4]\)]

The second statement (6) is a result (as in the standard finite spin case) of the intertwining properties of \( u^\alpha \), namely \( u^\alpha \) and \( \bar{u}^\alpha \) absorb the Wigner rotation of the creation/annihilation operators (which is in contradiction to that of the wave function (2)) and trade it for a transformation of \( \epsilon \) according to

\[
D_\kappa(R(\Lambda, p))u^\alpha(\Lambda^{-1} p, \epsilon) = u^\alpha(p, \Lambda \epsilon).
\]

The localization (5), on the other hand, results from (6), TCP covariance, and analyticity properties of the two
point function in \( x - x' \) and in \( \epsilon, \epsilon' \). The third property is proved in a similar way as the Reeh-Schlieder theorem for point-localized fields \([4]\), using also analyticity in \( \epsilon \). The field operators for different values of the parameter \( \alpha \) all generate the same Fock space and Eq. (5) implies that they are relatively (string) localized to each other. Hence they all belong to the same Borchers class \([16]\).

The intuitive basis of this construction is the idea that one can obtain the relevant representation by a suitable projection from a tensor product representation, where one factor is a scalar massless Wigner representation of the Poincaré group in \( d = 4 \) dimensional Minkowski space and the other a representation of the Lorentz group associated with a \( d - 1 = 3 \) dimensional de Sitter space. Without any relation between the tensor factors, one would obtain a factorizing two-point function associated with a commutator that vanishes if both the Minkowski- and de Sitter localizations points are space-like. The action of the Poincaré group in the tensor product space \( \mathcal{H} = H_0 \otimes H_\text{deS} \) is \( U_\text{tensor}(a, \Lambda) = U_0(a, \Lambda) \otimes U_\text{deS}(\Lambda) \), where \( U_0(a, \Lambda) \) is the Wigner representation of a massless, scalar particle, and \( U_\text{deS}(\Lambda) \) is a representation of the homogeneous Lorentz-group on functions on \( d - 1 \) dimensional de Sitter space as in \([15]\) of degree \( \alpha \), which is unitary if \( \alpha = -\frac{d-2}{2} + ip, p \in \mathbb{R} \) \([23]\). It turns out that for our purpose all values of \( \alpha \) are allowed (except \( \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)) for which \( u^\alpha \equiv 0 \) for \( k \neq 0 \) by Eqs. (8) and (9) below, but the unitary case, \( \Re \alpha = -1 \), is perhaps the most natural choice. For unitary \( U_\text{deS} \) the representation \( U_\text{tensor}(a, \Lambda) \) is a direct integral of the continuum of infinite spin Wigner representations corresponding to all real values of the Pauli-Lubanski parameter \( \kappa \). Projecting out one of these uncountably many irreducible representations weakens the independent localizations in \( x \) and \( \epsilon \) in such a way as to be consistent with the mutual causal dependency of strings. The decomposition of the tensor product representation into its irreducible components is carried out by first bringing it into the Wigner form (i.e., the form of (2)) by means of a unitary transformation \( \psi(p) \rightarrow U_\text{deS}(B_\rho) \psi(p) \) and then decomposing it according to the spectrum of the Casimir operator of the little group. A definite value of \( \kappa \) is then picked out. The resulting intertwiners are

\[
u^\alpha(p, \epsilon)(k) = e^{-i\alpha k^2 / 2} \int d^2 z e^{i k \cdot z} \langle B_\rho \xi(z) \cdot \epsilon \rangle^\alpha \tag{8}\]

with

\[
\xi(z) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( |z|^2 + 1 \right), z_1, -z_2, \frac{1}{2} \left( |z|^2 - 1 \right) \right) \tag{9}\]

Here \( \xi \in \partial V^+ \) is a de Sitter momentum space variable, and \( (\xi \cdot \epsilon)^\alpha \) (the dot denotes here the Minkowski inner product) is the analog of a plane wave, i.e., as a function of \( \xi \) and the exponent \( \alpha \) it is the Fourier-Helgason transform of the \( \delta \)-function at the point \( \epsilon \) in de Sitter space as explained in \([15]\). The power \( t^\alpha \) is defined with a cut along \( \mathbb{R}^- \) and \( (-1)^\alpha = \exp(i\alpha) \).

Instead of integrating \( \xi \) over time-like or space-like cycles \( \Gamma \) as the authors of \([15]\), we chose the light-like cycle \( \Gamma(1,0,0,1) = \{ \xi \in \partial V^+, (\xi \cdot \epsilon) = 1 \} \) that leads to the parametrization (9) in terms of points \( z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \). The integral in (8) is understood in the sense of tempered distributions, but by partial integration one sees that for \( k \neq 0 \) the result is a continuous function of \( k \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) that can be restricted to \( |k| = \kappa \).

Since \( B_\rho \xi(z) \in \partial V^+ \) has a positive scalar product with any vector in the forward light cone \( V^+ \), it follows from (8) that \( u^\alpha(p, \epsilon)(k) \) can be defined for complex vectors \( \epsilon \), provided the imaginary part of \( \epsilon \) is in \( V^+ \). Moreover, \( u^\alpha(p, \epsilon)(k) \) is analytic in \( \epsilon \) in this domain.

The nontrivial coupling between initial points and directions arises from the presence of the \( p \)-dependent boost \( B_\rho \) and of the 2D plane wave factor \( e^{i k \cdot z} \) which produces the variable \( k \) on which the Lorentz group acts through the Wigner “rotation” \( D_\rho(R(\Lambda, p)) \) t.e. (1) and (3). This action, consisting of a two-dimensional translation \( \epsilon \) and a rotation \( R_\rho \) both depending on \( \Lambda \) and \( p \) (i.e., \( R(\Lambda, p) = (\epsilon, R_\rho(p)) \), can be pulled through to the \( z \) in \( \xi(z) \) as follows:

\[
D_\rho(R(\Lambda, p))u^\alpha(\Lambda^{-1} p, \epsilon)(k) = e^{-i\alpha k^2 / 2} \int d^2 z e^{i k \cdot z} \langle B_{\Lambda^{-1} p} R(\Lambda, p)^{-1} \xi(z) \cdot \epsilon \rangle^\alpha
= e^{-i\alpha k^2 / 2} \int d^2 z e^{i k \cdot z} \langle \Lambda^{-1} B_\rho \xi(z) \cdot \epsilon \rangle^\alpha = u^\alpha(p, \Lambda \epsilon)(k), \tag{10}\]

verifying (7). Here we have in the second line used the relation \( \xi(R_\rho z + \epsilon) = R(\Lambda, p) \xi(z) \) that follows directly from the above formula (9) for \( \xi(z) \). The passing to the third line uses the formula (3) for Wigner rotation \( R(\Lambda, p) \). Besides the representation of \( \mathcal{P}^+ \) an unitary TCP transformation is defined by \( |p, k \rangle \rightarrow |p, -k \rangle \), which means that \( u^\alpha(p, \epsilon)(k) \rightarrow u^\alpha(p, \epsilon)(-k) = u^\alpha(p, -\epsilon)(k) \). This sets the stage for the application of the modular localization \([7]\) of one-particle states that can be shown to imply the desired string commutation relation. We shall not discuss this approach here but pass directly to the commutator via the two-point function

\[
W^{\alpha\alpha}=\langle x-x', \epsilon, \epsilon' \rangle = \langle 0 | \Phi^\alpha(x, \epsilon) \Phi^{\alpha^*}(x', \epsilon') | 0 \rangle
= \int_{\partial V^+} dp \mu(p) e^{-i p \cdot (x - x')} M^{\alpha\alpha}(p; \epsilon, \epsilon'), \tag{11}\]

\[
M^{\alpha\alpha}(p; \epsilon, \epsilon') = u^\alpha \circ \omega^{\alpha}(p, \epsilon'),
\]

where \( \omega \) again denotes integration over \( k \) on the circle \( |k| = \kappa \). In contradiction to pointlike localized fields, where \( M^{\alpha\alpha} \) is a polynomial in \( p \), we cannot express this two-point function in terms of known functions but we can read off its covariance properties from Eq. (7) and
the TCP symmetry in the one-particle space:

\[ M^{\alpha \beta}(p; \Lambda, \Lambda') = M^{\alpha \beta}(\Lambda^{-1} p; \epsilon, \epsilon') \]  
\[ M^{\alpha \beta}(p; -\epsilon, -\epsilon') = M^{\alpha \beta}(p; \epsilon', \epsilon). \]  

(12)  

(13)

Since the measure \( d\mu(p) \) has support on \( \partial V^+ \) the two-point function \( W^{\alpha \alpha}(x - x'; \epsilon, \epsilon') \) is an analytic function of \( x - x' \) in the complex domain \( \mathbb{R}^4 - iV^+ \). Moreover, by the analyticity of \( u^\alpha \) in \( \epsilon \), \( W^{\alpha \alpha} \) is analytic for complex \( \epsilon' \) with \( \epsilon' \epsilon = -1 \) and imaginary part in \( V^+ \). Likewise, it is antianalytic for complex \( \epsilon \) in the same domain.

If two strings, \( x + \mathbb{R}^+ t \) and \( x' + \mathbb{R}^+ t' \) are space-like separated (cf. footnote [22]), there is a space-like wedge \( W \) with causal complement \( W' \) such that \( x + \mathbb{R}^+ t \in W \) and \( x' + \mathbb{R}^+ t' \in W' \). By translational invariance of the two-point function it can be assumed that the edge of \( W \) (and hence also of \( W' \)) contains the origin; then \( x, \epsilon \in W \) and \( x', \epsilon' \in W' \). The covariance law (12) and the TCP symmetry (13) imply the following “exchange formula”:

\[ W^{\alpha \alpha}(x - j\Lambda(-t)x; \epsilon, j\Lambda(-t)\epsilon) = W^{\alpha \alpha}(x - j\Lambda(t)x'; \epsilon, j\Lambda(t)\epsilon'). \]  

(14)

Here \( j \) is the reflection across the edge of the wedge \( W \) which transforms \( W \) into \( W' \) and \( -V^+ \) into \( -V^+ \), and \( \Lambda(t) \) is the one-parameter group of Lorentz boosts that leave \( W \) invariant. Note that \( j \) and \( \Lambda(t) \) commute. The matrix valued function \( \Lambda(t) \) is entire analytic in the boost parameter \( t \). Moreover, for \( t \) in the strip \( \mathbb{R} + i(0, \pi) \) the imaginary parts of \( j\Lambda(-t)x, j\Lambda(-t)\epsilon, j\Lambda(t)x' \) and \( j\Lambda(t)\epsilon' \) all lie in \( V^+ \). Eq. (14) extends from the boundary at \( \text{Im} t = 0 \) to the whole strip by the analyticity of the two point function and the Schwarz reflection principle. The boundary values for \( \text{Im} t = i\pi \) are therefore also identical for both sides. Since \( j\Lambda(\pm i\pi) \) is the identity matrix, this leads to the desired stringlike commutativity in the form \( W^{(\alpha \alpha}(x' - x; \epsilon', \epsilon) = W^{\alpha \alpha}(x - x'; \epsilon, \epsilon') \) if \( x + \mathbb{R}^+ \epsilon \) and \( x' + \mathbb{R}^+ \epsilon' \) are space-like separated.

The structure of the two-point function also permits the definition of a KMS (thermodynamic equilibrium) state at inverse temperature \( \beta \), replacing \( M^{\alpha \beta}(p; \epsilon, \epsilon') \) by

\[ M^{\beta \alpha}(p; \epsilon, \epsilon') = \left( 1 - e^{-\beta p^2} \right)^{-1} \left[ \theta(p^2) M^{\alpha \beta}(p; \epsilon, \epsilon') - \theta(-p^2) M^{\alpha \beta}(p; -p; \epsilon', \epsilon) \right] \]  

(15)

with \( \theta \) the step function. The KMS property is

\[ M^{\beta \alpha}(p; \epsilon, \epsilon') = e^{\beta \epsilon^2} M^{\alpha \beta}(p; -p; \epsilon', \epsilon). \]  

(16)

The existence of a KMS state is the prerequisite for the thermalization of a system. In his discussion of the possible physical significance of his zero mass infinite spin representations in [8] Wigner expressed concern about the infinite degeneracy of each energy level in the one-particle space, that apparently would lead to a divergence of the partition function in a box. It is not clear, however, if such a treatment is legitimate for objects with a semi-infinite string localization. This question merits a further study, including an examination with the results of [20] on the thermodynamic properties of conventional quantum fields.

An important open problem in this context is the existence of local observables in the sense of [21], i.e., operators that are localized in bounded domains of Minkowski space and relatively local for the fields. From the modular duality results of [7] it follows that such operators must be contained in the intersection of the operator algebras generated by string field operators localized in wedge domains containing the bounded localization domain, so the question is whether the intersections of the wedge algebras contain nontrivial local operators.
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[22] The distributional character of the fields requires in fact strict separation in the sense that that some open neighborhoods of the strings are space-like separated.
[23] A closely related use of representations of the homogeneous Lorentz group is made in [5]. The essential difference is that string-localization, which is our main concern, is not visible in this earlier construction.