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Abstract

It is proved that any measure-preserving approximately transitive (AT) action of a locally compact separable Abelian group on a Lebesgue space has simple spectrum. The proof is based on investigation of properties of nontransient product cocycles and actions associated with them. We used our result that two nontransient cocycles with isomorphic associated (Mackey) actions are weakly equivalent.
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1 Introduction

Approximately transitive (AT) ergodic actions of locally compact separable (l.c.s.) groups were introduced by A.Connes and E.J. Woods [1] in connection with the description problem of factors which are infinite tensor products of type $I_n (n < \infty)$ factors. Further investigation of AT actions were continued in the papers [2-5]. V.Ya. Golodets and N.I. Nessonov [4] considered AT group actions as a range of cocycles of an ergodic automorphism, preserving finite measure, with values in an arbitrary countable discrete group. Developing the methods of [1] they showed, in particular, that if an AT action of countable Abelian group is the range of a cocycle, then this cocycle is cohomologous to a product cocycle. But according to [6] every amenable action of l.c.s. group $H$ is a range of a cocycle of an ergodic automorphism, preserving a measure, with values in $H$. Hence in view of [4] any AT actions of an Abelian discrete group is a range of a product cocycle. The same is true for nondiscrete groups [5].

Let Abelian l.c.s. group $G$ has an ergodic Borel action on a Lebesgue space $(\Omega, \delta)$, preserving a measure $\delta$. This action is called AT if for an arbitrary family of nonnegative functions $f_1, \ldots, f_l \in L_1 (\Omega, \delta)_+, l < \infty$, and any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist nonnegative functions $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l \in L_1 (G, dg)$ and $f \in L_1 (\Omega, \delta)_+$, satisfying the inequality

$$\| f_j - \int_G \lambda_j (g) f (g \omega) d g \|_1 < \epsilon, \quad 1 \leq j \leq l.$$ (see [1]).

We can consider the unitary representation for $G$ in the space $L_2 (\Omega, \delta)$ given by

$$(U_g f)(\omega) = f (g \omega), \quad f \in L_2 (\Omega, \delta), \quad g \in G.$$ If the representation $g \mapsto U_g$ of an Abelian group $G$ has a simple spectrum, then the $G$-action will be said to have simple spectrum.

In view of the definition of AT action it is natural to ask about the simplicity of spectrum for an AT action. In this paper we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** Any measure-preserving AT action of Abelian l.c.s. group has simple spectrum.

The theorem presents an important invariant which allows to one to define the given group action to be not AT (see Section 5 below). Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following result.

**Theorem 2** Let a measure-preserving AT action $W$ of a countable Abelian group $G$ be the range of a nontransient product cocycle. Then $W$ has simple spectrum.

This theorem is proved in the paper below using the results of [7]. Now we shall show that it implies Theorem 1.

Indeed suppose we deal with a measure-preserving AT action $W$ of an arbitrary Abelian l.c.s. group $G$. Let $D$ be a countable dense subgroup $G$. The restriction
of the action $W$ to $D$ is $AT$. If it has simple spectrum then the action $W$ of $G$ has simple spectrum too and Theorem 1 will be true. But in view of the above remark the action $W$ of $D$ is a range of a product cocycle and it has simple spectrum by Theorem 2.

Note since $G$ is an Abelian l.c.s. group, then it is sufficiently to prove Theorem 1 in assumption that $W$ is a free action of $G$. Hence we can assume that action $W$ of $D$ is also free. But in Section 1 (below) we construct a standard nontransient cocycle $\alpha$ such that its Mackey range $W_\alpha$ is isomorphic to $W$.

The paper consists of five sections. Section 1 contains some preliminary results on cocycles. Section 2 contains some results on simple spectrum of unitary representations of an Abelian group. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 2 for some simple case, and in Section 4 we consider the general case. Section 5 contains some examples and consequences of Theorem 1.

I thank to Prof. A. Connes who supported my interest to this problem. The research was done during my stay at the University of Orleans. I thank to Prof. C. Anantharaman-Delaroche for the hospitality, discussions and the help with estimates in Section 5 of the paper. I also would like to thank Prof. H. Narnhofer for the invitation to visit Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics, where this paper was prepared.

## 2 Preliminary results. Cocycles

Let $(P, m)$ be a Lebesgue space with a probability measure $m$, $T$ an ergodic automorphism of $(P, m)$, preserving the measure $m$, $G$ an Abelian discrete group and $dg$ the Haar measure on $G$.

A $1$-cocycle $\alpha$ on $((P, m), T, G)$ with values in a group $G$ is a Borel map $\alpha : P \times Z \to G$ possessing the following property

$$\alpha(p, T^{n+m}) = \alpha(p, T^n) + \alpha(T^n p, T^m)$$

for almost all $p \in P$. Denote by $[T]$ the full group of automorphisms of $(P, m)$, generating by $T : [T] = (\theta \in \text{Aut}(P, m) : \theta p = T^n(p), \theta p = T^n p)$ (see [8]). A cocycle $\alpha$ extended naturally on $[T]$: if $\theta \in [T]$ and $\theta p = T^n p$ then $\alpha(p, \theta) = \alpha(p, T^n), p \in P$.

Let $Z^1((P, m), T, G)$ be a set of $1$-cocycles on $[T]$ with values in $G$. The cocycles $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2 \in Z^1(P, T, G)$ are called cohomologous if there exists a Borel map $f : P \to G$ satisfying the relation

$$\alpha_2(p, \theta) = f(p) + \alpha(p, \theta) - f(\theta p), \quad \theta \in [T]$$

for a.a. $p \in P$.

Let us consider the Lebesgue space $(P \times G, \nu)$ where $\nu = m \times dg$, and two actions on this space

$$\bar{T}(p, g) = (T p, g + \alpha(p, T)),$$

(1)
\[ \hat{h}(p, g) = (p, g + h), \quad g, h \in G. \] (2)

Let \( \zeta \) be the measurable partition of \( (P \times G, \nu) \) into ergodic components of \( \hat{T} \). We denote by \( (\Omega, \delta) \) the quotient space of \( (P \times G, \nu) \) by \( \zeta \). Since the action (1) and (2) are permutable, it is possible to define the quotient action \( W_\alpha \) of (2) on \( (\Omega, \delta) \). \( W_\alpha(g), g \in G, \) is called the associated action of a group \( G \) constructed by \( \alpha \) or Mackey action (or range of \( S \)).

Denote

\[ S_\alpha = \{ t \in [T] : \alpha(p, t) = 0, \quad p \in P \}. \]

\( S_\alpha \) is called the kernel of a cocycle \( \alpha \), \( S_\alpha = ker(\alpha) \). A cocycle \( \alpha \) is called transient [9] if \( S_\alpha \) is of type I. We shall consider only nontransient cocycles. Then \( S_\alpha \) will be of type \( II_1 \).

Let \( W \) be a free action of an Abelian discrete group \( G \) on a Lebesgue space \( (\Omega, \delta) \), preserving \( \sigma \)-finite measure \( \delta \). Then we can construct the standard nontransient cocycles \( \alpha_0 \in Z_1((P, m), T, G) \) such that \( W_{\alpha_0} \sim W \).

Let us put

\[ \alpha_1(\omega, W(g)) = g, \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad g \in G. \]

Then \( \alpha_1 \) is a transient cocycle and \( W \sim W_{\alpha_1} \). Let \( [W] \) be the full group of automorphisms of \( (\Omega, \delta) \) generated by \( W(g), g \in G, \) and \( [W]_X \) the restriction of \( [W] \) on \( X \in \Omega, \delta(X) = 1 \). Then there exists an automorphism \( Q \in [W]_X \) such that

\[ [Q] = [W]_X \quad (\text{see [10]}). \]

Denote by \( \alpha_2 \) the restriction \( \alpha_1 \) on \( (X, Q) \). It is evident that \( \alpha_2 \) is also transient and \( W_{\alpha_2} \sim W \).

Now let \( (Y, \nu) \) be a Lebesgue space with a finite measure \( \nu \), \( \nu(Y) = 1 \), and \( S \) an ergodic automorphism of \( (Y, \nu) \) preserving \( \nu \). Consider the group of transformations of the space \( (X \times Y, \mu \times \nu) \) where \( \mu = \delta|_X \), generated by \( Q_1 = Q \times 1 \) and \( S_2 = 1 \times S \) and define the cocycle \( \alpha_0 : \)

\[ \alpha_0((x, y), Q^n_1 S^m_2) = \alpha(x, Q^n), \quad x \in X, \quad y \in Y, \quad m, n \in Z. \]

It is evident that \( S_{\alpha_0} \) is of type \( II_1 \), hence \( \alpha_0 \) is a nontransient cocycle and its associated action \( W_0 = W_{\alpha_0} \) of the group \( G \) is isomorphic to \( W \). Moreover, a Lebesgue space \( (\Omega_0, \delta_0) \), in which \( W_0 \) acts, one can identify with a Borel subset of \( (X \times G, \mu \times \mu_G) \), \( \mu_G \) is the Haar measure of \( G \), which has a following form.

\[ \Omega_0 = \bigcap_{g \in G} (X_g \times g) \]

where \( X_\epsilon = X \), \( \delta_\epsilon = \mu \); \( X_g \subseteq X \), \( \delta_{X_g} = \mu|_{X_g} \).

Now denote by \( [Q_1, S_2] \) the full group of \( Aut(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu) \) generated by \( Q_1 \) and \( S_2 \), and by \( N[Q_1, S_2] \) the normalizer of \( [Q_1, S_2] \) (see [8]).

If \( \beta \in Z_1((X \times Y, \mu \times \nu), [Q_1, S_2], G) \), \( \beta \) is transient and \( W_\beta \sim W_0 \) then there exists \( \theta \in N[Q_1, S_2] \) such that the cocycles \( \alpha_0((x, y), \gamma) \) and \( \beta \circ \theta((x, y), \gamma) \), where
(x, y) ∈ X × Y, γ ∈ [Q_1, S_2], β ◦ θ(x, y, γ) = β(θ(x, y), θγθ^{-1}), are cohomologous (see [7]), (θ preserves the measure µ × ν).

Now we remind the definition of a product cocycle. Let \( P_k = \{0, 1, \ldots, N_{k-1}\} \) \( \tau_k(i) = i + 1 \mod N_k \), \( N_k(i) = 1 / N_k, i \in P_k, (P, m) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (P_k, m_k) \), where \( N_k \in N \).

Denote by \( \Gamma \) the full group of automorphisms of \( P \), generated by \( \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \). Then there is an ergodic automorphism \( T \) of \( (P, m) \) such that \( [T] = \Gamma \) (see [10]).

Let \( \alpha_{pr} \in \mathbb{Z}_1((P, m), [T], G) \) and \( \alpha_{pr}(p, \tau_k) \) depends only on \( k \)-th coordinate of the point \( p = (p_i) \in P \), where \( p_i \in P, 1 \leq i < \infty \). Such cocycle \( \alpha_{pr} \) is called a product cocycle of \( ((P, m), \Gamma) \).

### 3 Unitary representation of an Abelian group and simple spectrum.

The following lemma represents something like generalization of the Baxter lemma [11].

**Lemma 3** Let \( g \to U_g \) be an unitary representation of an Abelian group \( G \) in the Hilbert space \( H \). Suppose also that there exists a sequence of orthogonal projections \( P_n \) in \( H \) converging strongly to the unit operator \( I \), where each \( P_n \) and each \( U_g, g \in G \), are permutable. If the representation \( g \to U_g P_n \) in the space \( P_n H \) has a simple spectrum for every \( n \) then the whole representation \( g \to U_g \) has a simple spectrum too.

**Proof.** Let \( b \in (U_g)' \), prove that \( b \in (U_g)'' = A \). Simple computations show that \( b_n = P_n b P_n \) is permutable with \( P_n U_g, g \in G \). Hence \( b_n \in (P_n U_g)' \) and \( b_n \in (P_n U_g)'' \) because \( g \to P_n U_g \) has a simple spectrum in \( P_n H \).

Now the mapping \( a \to P_n a, a \in A \), defined \(*\)-algebraic homomorphism of \( W^*\)-algebra \( A \) on \( W^*\)-algebra \( P_n A \), since \( P_n \) commutate with any element of \( A \). Therefore there exists the projector \( Q_n \in A \) such that \( P_n (I - Q_n) = 0 \) and the mapping \( a \to P_n a \) defined \(*\)-isomorphism of \( W^*\)-algebra \( Q_n A \) onto \( W^*\)-algebra \( P_n A \) (see [12]).

If \( c_n \in Q_n A \) such that \( c_n P_n = b_n \in P_n A \) then \( \| c_n \| = \| b_n \| \leq \| b \| \).

Let us prove that \( c_n \to b \) for \( n \to \infty \) in the strong topology. Consider neighbourhoods

\[
V(b, f_1, \ldots, f_s, \epsilon) = (a : \| (a - b) f_k \| \leq \epsilon, k = 1, \ldots, s)
\]

where \( f_i \in H, 1 \leq i \leq s, s < \infty \). They made a fundamental neighbourhood system of \( b \). Let \( n \) be sufficiently large that

\[
\| f_k - P_n f_k \| < 2^{-1} \| b \|^{-1} \epsilon
\]

and simultaneously

\[
\| (b_n - b) f_k \| = \| (P_n b P_n - b) f_k \| < 2^{-1} \epsilon, k = 1, \ldots, s.
\]
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Since \( c_n P_n = b_n \), then \( c_n \in V(b_n, P_n f_1, \ldots, P_n f_s, 2^{-1} \epsilon) \).
Therefore
\[
\| c_n f_k - b f_k \| =
\| c_n f_k - c_n P_n f_k \| + \| c_n P_n f_k - b_n P_n f_k \| + \| (b_n - b) f_k \| < 2^{-1} \| c_n \| \| b \|^{-1} \epsilon + 2^{-1} \epsilon < c_\diamond
\]

**Proposition 4** Let \((g, \omega) \to g\omega\) be a Borel ergodic action of l.c.s. Abelian group \(G\) on a Lebesgue space \((\Omega, \delta)\), preserving \(\sigma\)-finite measure \(\delta\) and \(g \to U_g, g \in G\), a corresponding unitary of \(G\) in the space \(L_2(\Omega, \delta)\). If for any finite set of vectors \(f_i, 1 \leq i \leq l\), from \(L_2(\Omega, \delta)\) there are a vector \(f \in L_2(\Omega, \delta)\), finite set of numbers \(\lambda_{i,k} \in \mathbb{C}(1 \leq i \leq l)\) and finite set of elements \(g_{i,k} \in G(1 \leq i \leq l)\) such that
\[
\| f_i - \sum \lambda_{i,k} U_{g_{i,k}} f \|_2 < \epsilon, 1 \leq i \leq l, l < \infty,
\]
then the representation \(g \to U_g\) has simple spectrum.

**Proof.** Let \(\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty\) be a sequence of functions from \(L_2(\Omega, \delta)\) such that the closed linear span of all \(\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty\) is \(L_2(\Omega, \delta)\). According to the assumption of the Proposition for each \(n \in N\) there exists \(f_0^n \in L_2(\Omega, \delta)\) such that
\[
\| f_i - \sum \lambda_{i,k} U_{g_{i,k}} f_0^n \|_2 < n^{-1} \epsilon, 1 \leq i \leq n,
\]
Now let \(H_n\) be the closed linear span of all vectors \(U_g f_0^n, g \in G\). It is clear that \(H_n\) is \(G\)-invariant subspace of \(H\) and the orthogonal projectors \(P_n\) on \(H_n\) are permutable with every \(U_g, g \in G\). The restriction of the representation \(g \to U_g\) to \(H_n = P_n H\) has simple spectrum due to the construction. Moreover [4] implies that \(P_n\) strongly tend to \(I\), so we have only to apply lemma 3. \(\diamond\)

**Remark.** J. Hawkins and E.A. Robinson [2] defined \(AT(p)\) actions, where \(p \leq 1\) indicates which of the \(L^p\)-norms of the approximation take place in. In particular, \(AT(1)\) coincides with the Connes-Woods AT action. They also proved that \(AT(2)\)-actions has simple spectrum, using another methods.

**Corollary 5** Let \((\Omega, \delta), G\) and \(g \to U_g\) be as in Proposition 4. If for any \(\epsilon > 0\) and any finite set of vectors \((f_i)\) where \(f\) has a form \(f_i = \chi A_i, A_i \in \Omega, \delta(A_i) > 0\) and \(\chi_A\) is the indicator of a set \(A\), there is \(f \in L_2(\Omega, \delta)\) such that (3) takes place in, then the representation \(g \to U_g\) will have simple spectrum. Moreover \(g \to U_g\) will have simple spectrum if all \(A_i \subset X_0 \subset \Omega\), where \(\delta(X_0) > 0\).

A proof is evident, the last fact follows from the ergodicity of an action of \(G\) on \((\Omega, \delta)\).
4 The Proof of Theorem 2, special case

In this section we prove Theorem 2 for the some special case. We do not use complicated estimates. Thus one has a possibility to understand an algebraic side of the problem.

Let \((P, m), T\) and \(G\) be as in Section 1, \(\alpha \in Z^1(P, T, G)\), \(W_\alpha\) be the associated action of \(G\) constucted by \(\alpha\). Let \(W_\alpha\) acts in the Lebesgue space \((\Omega, \delta)\).

Let \(U = (P, m), \alpha, T, G\) be as in Section 1, \(\Omega = Z^1(P, T, G), W_\alpha\) be the associated action of \(G\) constucted by \(\alpha\). Let \(W_\alpha\) acts in the Lebesgue space \((\Omega, \delta)\). Since \(\mu(F) = \int_F p(x) \delta_\epsilon(x), F \in X,\)

where \(p(x)\) is the positive Borel function on \(X\).

Lemma 6 Let \((P, m), T, G, \alpha \in Z^1(P, T, G), W_\alpha\) and \((\Omega, \delta)\) be as above. Suppose that \(\alpha\) is a product cocycle and there is a real positive number \(C\) such that

\[ 0 < \sup_{x} p(x) < C < \infty, \]

then \(W_\alpha\) has simple spectrum.

Proof Let \(2^{-1}C^{-1}\epsilon > 0\) and \(f_i \in L^1(X, \delta_\epsilon), 0 \leq f_i \leq p(x), 1 \leq i \leq l, l < \infty\). It is sufficient to prove that there exist a function \(f_0(x), 0 \leq f_0(x) \leq p(x)\), a finite set of elements \(g_{i,k} \in G\) and a finite set of integers \(\lambda_{i,k}, 1 \leq i \leq l\), such that

\[ \| f_i(x) - \sum_k \lambda_{i,k} f_k(g_{i,k}x) \| < \epsilon, 1 \leq i \leq l, \]  

\[ | \sum_k \lambda_{i,k} f_k(g_{i,k}x) | < p(x) \]  

where we write \(g_{x}, g \in G, x \in X\), instead of \(W_\alpha(g)x\) to simplify notations.

Indeed, it follows from (6) and (7) that

\[ \| f_i(x) - \sum_k \lambda_{i,k} f_k(g_{i,k}x) \| \leq 2C \| f_i(x) - \sum_k \lambda_{i,k} f_k(g_{i,k}x) \| < 2C \epsilon \]

and one can conclude from Proposition 4 that the action \(W_\alpha(g), g \in G\), on \((\Omega, \delta)\) has simple spectrum.

Now if \(E \subset P\), then

\[ m(E) = \int_X \nu_\epsilon(E) d\delta_\epsilon(x) \]
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where $\nu_x$ is a system of canonical conditional measures on $P$ related with the measure $m$ and the Borel section $X$ \[8\], besides

$$0 \leq \nu_x(E) \leq \mu_x.$$ 

Since $0 \leq f_i(x) \leq \mu_x$ then there are subsets $E_i \subset P$ such that

$$\nu(E_i) = f_i(x), x \in X \ [8].$$

But $(P, m) = \prod_{i=1}^\infty (P_i, m_i)$ and $E_i \subset P$, $i = 1, \ldots, l$, can be approximated by set $E_i^0 \subset \prod_{i=1}^N (P_i, m_i)$ such that

$$m(E_i \triangle E_i^0) < \epsilon, i = 1, \ldots, l,$$

if $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is sufficiently large. Let $P_j^N$ be atoms of $\prod_{i=1}^N (P_i, m_i)$: $P_i^N \cap P_j^N = \emptyset, i \neq j, \bigcup_j P_j^N = P$ and there is a periodical automorphism $\tau$ of $P, \tau \in [T], such that

$$\tau_j P_0^N = P_j^N.$$ 

Since the cocycle $\alpha$ is a product cocycle we can believe that functions

$$\alpha(p, \tau_j) = g_j$$ 

do not depend on $p \in P_0^N$.

Now let $[(p, q)]$ be an ergodic component of the group

$$\gamma_o(p, g) = (\gamma p, g + \alpha(p, \gamma)), \gamma \in [G] = [T],$$

containing the point $(p, g) \in P \times G$.

In view of (9) and (10) it take a place in

$$x = [(p, 0)] = [\tau_j p, g_j] = W_o(g_j)[(\tau_j p, 0)],$$

or

$$g_j^{-1} x = g_j [(p, 0)] = [\tau_j p, 0], p \in P_0^N.$$ 

Hence

$$dm(\tau_j p) = dv(\tau_j p) d\delta_x(g_j, x).$$

Since $dm(\tau_j p) = dm(p)$ and $d\delta_x(p) = d\delta(g_j, x)$ we have

$$dv_x(p) = dv_{g_j x}(\tau_j p), p \in P_0^N.$$ 

Therefore in view of (10)

$$\nu_x(P_j^N) = \nu_x(\tau_j P_0^N) = \nu_{g_j^{-1} x}(P_0^N)$$ 

(11)
Put $f_0(x) = \nu_x(P_0^N)$, then in view of (11)
\[ \nu_x(E_i^0) = \sum_k \nu_x(P_{i,k}^N) = \sum_k \lambda_i f_0(g_{i,k}^{-1} x) \]
and
\[ 0 \leq \| \sum_k \lambda_i f_0(g_{i,k}^{-1} x) \|_1 = \| \nu_x(E_i^0) \|_1 \leq p(x) < C. \quad (12) \]
Moreover in view of (8)
\[ \left\| f_i(x) - \sum_k \lambda_i f_0(g_{i,k}^{-1} x) \right\|_1 = \left\| \nu_x(E_i^0) - \nu_x(E_i^0) \right\|_1 \leq \int |\chi_{E_i^0}(p) - \chi_{E_i^0}(p)| \, dm(p) = \mu(E_i^0 \triangle E_i) < \epsilon \quad (13) \]
Now if we compare (12) and (13) with (6) and (7) and note that
\[ 0 \leq f_0(x) = \nu_x(P_0^N) \leq p(x), \]
we can make the conclusion that Lemma is true $\diamond$

5 The proof of Theorem 2, general case.

Let $(P, m), T, G, \alpha \in Z_1(P, T, G)$ be as in Section 1, $\alpha$ is a product nontransient cocycle, $W_\alpha$ is the action of $G$, associated with $\alpha$.

Define a cocycle $o_0 \in Z_1((P, m)T, G)$ such that $W_0 = W_\alpha \sim W_\alpha$ and $o_0$ has a form, described in Section 1. This means that
\[ P = X \times Y, \ m = \mu \times \nu, \ \mu(X) = \nu(Y) = 1, \]
\[ Q_1(x, y) = (Qx, y), \ S_2(x, y) = (x, Sy). \]
where $Q$(resp.$S$) is an ergodic automorphism of $(X, \mu)$(resp.$(Y, \nu)$), preserving measure $\mu$(resp.$\nu$), $[Q_1, S_2] = [T]$.
\[ o_0((x, y), Q_1^n) = \beta(x, Q^n), \ o_0((x, y), S_2) = 0, \]
where $\beta(x, Q^n)$ is a transient cocycle and $\beta \in Z_1(X, Q, G)$.

Moreover, the space $(\Omega_0, \delta_0)$, in which $W_0$ acts has the following structure
\[ \Omega = \bigcup_g (X_g \times g) \]
where $X_\cdot = X$ and $\delta_0|_{X_\cdot} = \mu, X_\cdot \subset X$.

Now, as we remarked in Section 1, there exists $\zeta \in N[T]$ such that
\[ o_0(\zeta p, \zeta t \zeta^{-1}) = \varphi(p) - \varphi(tp) + \alpha(p, t) \quad (14) \]
where $t \in [T]$ and the Borel function $\varphi : P \to G$ has a form

$$\varphi(p) = \sum_k \chi_{D_k}(p)g_k,$$

where $D_k$ is a partition of $P$, $\chi_D$ is an indicator of $D$, $m(D_k) > 0$, $g_k \in G$.

Let us fix $k_0$ and denote

$$D' = D_{k_0}, \quad D = \zeta D' \subset X \times Y = P.$$

Put

$$\nu_x(D) = \nu(D_x),$$

where $D_x \subset Y$ and $(x \times Y) \cap D = x \times D_x$. Then the function $x \to \nu_x(D)$ is Borel and

$$0 \leq \nu_x(D) \leq 1,$$

since $\nu(Y) = 1$. Hence there exists a real number $\theta$, $0 < \theta < 1$, such that the set

$$X_\theta = (x \in X : \theta \leq \nu_x(D) \leq 1)$$

has a positive $\mu$-measure, that is $\mu(X_\theta) > 0$.

Consider

$$E = (X_\theta \times Y) \cap D.$$

It is evident

$$0 < \theta \leq \nu_x(E) \leq 1, \quad x \in X_\theta.$$

Now consider any finite family of subsets $A_i \subset X_\theta, i = 1, \ldots, l$, $\mu(A_i) > 0$. Then there are subsets $\bar{B}_i \subset E, i = 1, \ldots, l$, such that $\nu_x(\bar{B}_i) = \theta\chi_{A_i}(x), i = 1, \ldots, l$, where $\chi_A$ is the indicator of $A$. Denote $\bar{B}_i' = \zeta^{-1} \bar{B}_i \subset P$. Since $\alpha$ is a product cocycle there exists a partition $P^*_j$ of $P$ with the properties (9) and (10), such that

$$m(B_i' \Delta (\bigsqcup_k P^*_i)) < 16^{-2}(\epsilon\theta)^2)$$

Put $\zeta P^*_i = F_i$, since $\zeta$ preserves the measure $m$, then

$$m(B_i \Delta (\bigsqcup_k F^*_i)) < 16^{-2}(\epsilon\theta)^2$$

and we can write

$$\| \theta\chi_{A_i}(x) - \sum_k \nu_x(F^*_i) \|_1$$

$$\leq \int | \chi_{B_i}(z) - \sum_k \chi F^*_i(z) | \, dm(z)$$

$$= m(B_i \Delta (\bigsqcup_k F^*_i)) < 16^{-2}(\epsilon\theta)^2.$$

But

$$0 \leq \theta \chi_{A_i} \leq 1,$$
\[ 0 \leq \sum_k \nu_x(F_{i(k)}) = \nu_x(\bigcup_k F_{i(k)}) \leq 1 \]

and hence
\[ \| \theta_{\chi_{A_i}}(x) - \sum_k \nu_x(F_{i(k)}) \|_2 \leq 2(16)^{-2}(\epsilon \theta)^2, \quad i = 1, \ldots, l. \]  

(15)

Now we keep in the sets \( \bigcup_k F_{i(k)} \) only such subsets \( F_{i(k)} \) that
\[ m(F_{i(k)} \triangle B_k) < (16)^{-1}(\epsilon \theta)m(F_{i(k)}). \]

Then instead of (15) we get the following estimates
\[ \| \theta_{\chi_{A_i}}(x) - \sum_k \nu_x(F_{i(k)}) \|_2 < 4^{-1}\epsilon \theta, \quad i = 1, \ldots, l. \]  

(16)

Let us fix \( F_0 = F_{i_0(k_0)} \) for which
\[ m(F_0 \triangle B_{i_0}) < 16^{-1}\epsilon \theta m(F_0) \]  

(17)

Since \( B_k \subset E \) then
\[ m(F_0 \bigcap E) > (1 - (16)^{-1}\epsilon \theta)m(F_0) \]  

(18)

It follows from (17) and (18) that there are subsets \( F_{0,i(k)} \subset F_0 \bigcap E \) and \( F_{i(k),0} \subset F_{i(k)} \bigcap E \) such that
\[ m((F_0 \bigcap E) \setminus F_{0,i(k)}) < 8^{-1}\epsilon \theta m(F_0), \]
\[ m((F_{i(k)} \setminus F_{i(k),0}) < 8^{-1}\epsilon \theta m(F_0) \]  

(19)

and
\[ \tau_j^i F_{0,i(k)} = F_{i(k),0} \]

where \( \tau_k = \zeta \tau \zeta^{-1} \in [T] \) and \( \tau \) is defined in Section 3, see (9). We can believe that
\[ i = i(k) \]

and therefore we have the relations
\[ \tau_j^i F_{0,i(k)} = F_{i(k),0} \subset F_{i(k)} \subset E \]

But it follows from (10) and (14) that
\[ \alpha(z, \tau_j^i) = g_{i(k)}, \quad z \in F_{0,i(k)}. \]

Therefore as in (11) we get the relations
\[ \nu_x(F_{i,0}) = \nu_{g_{i^{-1}}}^{-1}(F_{0,i}) \]  

(20)

for some integers \( i \) and \( x \in X_\theta \). Let us put
\[ f_0 = \tau_x(F_0) \]

and estimate the expression:
\[ \| \nu_x(F_i) - f_0(g_{i^{-1}}x) \|_1 = \]
\[ \| \nu_x(F_i) - \nu_{g_i^{-1}}(F_0) \|_1 \]
\[ = \| \nu_x(F_i \setminus F_{i,0}) + \nu_x(F_{i,0}) - \nu_{g_i^{-1}}(F_0) \|_1 \]
\[ \leq \| \nu_x(F_i \setminus F_{i,0}) \|_1 + \| \nu_x(F_{i,0}) - \nu_{g_i^{-1}}(F_0) \|_1 \]
Using (20), (19) and $G$-invariance of the measure $\delta_x = \mu$ we get
\[ \| \nu_{g_i^{-1}}(F_0) - \nu_{g_i^{-1}}(F_{0,i}) \|_1 \]
\[ = \| \nu_{g_i^{-1}}(F_0 \setminus F_{0,i}) \|_1 = \int \nu_{g_i^{-1}}(F_0 \setminus F_{0,i}) d\mu(x) \]
\[ = m(F_0 \setminus F_{0,i}) \leq 8^{-1} \epsilon \theta m(F_i). \]
Applying the same arguments we get
\[ \| \nu_x(F_i \setminus F_{i,0}) \|_1 < 8^{-1} \epsilon \theta m(F_i) \]
Thus
\[ \| \nu_x(F_i) - f_0(g_i^{-1}x) \|_1 < 8^{-1} \epsilon \theta m(F_i) \]
and since $0 \leq f_0(x), \nu_x(F_i) \leq 1$, then
\[ \| \nu_x(F_i) - f_0(g_i^{-1}x) \|_2 < 2^{-1} \epsilon \theta m(F_i) \quad (21) \]
Now in view of (16) and (21)
\[ \| \theta \chi_{A_i}(x) - \Sigma_k f_0(g_i^{-1}x) \|_2 \]
\[ \leq \| \theta \chi_{A_i}(x) - \Sigma_k \nu_x(F_{i,k}) \|_2 + \| \Sigma_k (\nu_x(F_{i,k}) - f_0(g_i^{-1}x)) \|_2 \leq 4^{-1} \epsilon \theta + 2^{-1} \epsilon \theta < \epsilon \theta. \]
Thence we have
\[ \| \chi_{A_i} - \theta^{-1} \Sigma_k U_{g_i(k)}^* f_0 \|_2 = \]
\[ \| \chi_{A_i}(x) - \theta^{-1} \Sigma_k f_0(g_i^{-1}x) \|_2 < \epsilon \]
for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite family of subsets $A_i \subset X_\theta, \mu(A_i) > 0$. Note that $X_\theta \subset X$ and $\mu(X_\theta) > 0, X \subset \Omega_0, \delta_{x \mu} = \mu$ and $\mu(X) = 1$. Therefore it follows from Corollary 6 that action $W_0(\sim W_\alpha)$ has simple spectrum \( \diamond \)
6 Corollaries and examples

As a simple consequence of Theorem 1 we get

**Corollary 7** Any measure-preserving AT action of an Abelian locally compact has zero entropy.

Indeed, as well known [13], positive entropy implies an existence of a spectral component with AT property. This fact was proved in [1] for the groups $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$.

It well known that automorphisms with discrete spectra are always AT. However the following result also hold.

**Corollary 8** Any ergodic automorphism with quasi-discrete, but not discrete, spectra is not AT.

According to [14] these automorphisms always have a spectral component with a countable multiplicity, though they have zero entropy.

Note it is easy to construct an AT action for a group $G$ because such action is a range of a product cocycle. Theorem 1 gives a possibility to construct new examples actions without an AT property.

**Example 9.** Let $(X, \mu)$ be a Lebesgue space, $T$ an ergodic automorphism of $(X, \mu), G$ a compact non-commutative group, $\alpha \in Z_1(X, T, G)$. Besides we suppose, that $\alpha$ has a dense range in $G$. Hence the automorphism

$$\tilde{T}(x, g) = (T x, g\alpha(x, T)),$$

of the space $(X \times G, \mu \times \mu_G)$, where $\mu_G$ is the Haar measure of $G$, is ergodic. The automorphism $\tilde{T}$ has not simple spectrum, because it is permutable with

$$L_h(x, g) = (x, hg), \; h, g \in G.$$

It follows from Theorem 1 that $\tilde{T}$ has not AT property.
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