

Uniformizing Ladder System Colorings and the Rectangle Refining Property

Teruyuki Yorioka

Vienna, Preprint ESI 2199 (2009)

December 7, 2009

Supported by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Culture
Available online at <http://www.esi.ac.at>

Uniformizing ladder system colorings and the rectangle refining property

Teruyuki Yorioka*

December 9, 2009

Abstract

We investigate forcing notions with the rectangle refining property, which is stronger than the countable chain condition, and fragments of Martin's Axiom for such forcing notions. We prove that it is consistent that every forcing notion with the rectangle refining property has precaliber \aleph_1 but MA_{\aleph_1} for forcing notions with the rectangle refining property fails.

1 Introduction

In 1980's, Stevo Todorćević introduced and investigated some types of fragments of Martin's Axiom for \aleph_1 dense sets, denoted by MA_{\aleph_1} for example in [9]. In [11], Todorćević and Velićković proved the following theorem [11, Theorem 3.4.]: MA_{\aleph_1} is equivalent to the statement that all ccc forcing notions have precaliber \aleph_1 , that is, for all ccc forcing notion \mathbb{P} , every uncountable subset of \mathbb{P} has an uncountable subset which has the finite compatibility property (i.e. every finitely many members has a common extension).

In [15], the author introduced a new chain condition, called the property R_{1,\aleph_1} , which is stronger than the countable chain condition. A typical forcing notion with this property is $a(T)$, which is the forcing notion adding an antichain through an Aronszajn tree T by finite approximations, and we note that MA_{\aleph_1} restricted to forcing notions with the property R_{1,\aleph_1} implies that every Aronszajn tree is special. The author proved that it is consistent that every forcing notion with the property R_{1,\aleph_1} has precaliber \aleph_1 and there exists a non-special Aronszajn tree, hence MA_{\aleph_1} restricted to forcing notions with the property R_{1,\aleph_1} fails [15, Theorem 6.2.]. This is quite different from Todorćević and Velićković's theorem [11, Theorem 3.4.].

*2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 03E50, 03E05, 03E35. *Key words and phrases*. a uniformization of ladder system colorings, the rectangle refining property, fragments of Martin's Axiom Supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellow, No. 19740048, Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. Department of Mathematics, Shizuoka University, Ohya 836, Shizuoka, 422-8529, JAPAN. styorio@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp

The aim of this paper is to show a similar result for the rectangle refining property, that is, it is consistent that every forcing notion with the rectangle refining property has precaliber \aleph_1 and MA_{\aleph_1} restricted to forcing notions with the rectangle refining property fails. The rectangle refining property is introduced by Larson and Todorćević in [7] for partitions on $[\omega_1]^2$ and they introduced the axiom $\mathcal{K}_2(\text{rec})$ which is the statement that every partition with the rectangle refining property has an uncountable homogeneous set. In [12], the author introduced a property for forcing notions (called the anti-rectangle refining property) which is closely related to the rectangle refining property for partitions, and in [15], he introduced the rectangle refining property for forcing notions. In [15], there are some examples. A typical forcing notion with this property is also $a(T)$. We note that if T is Suslin tree, then $a(T)$ does not have property K , in particular, $a(T)$ does not have precaliber \aleph_1 . In [13], the author introduced a forcing notion with the rectangle refining property which is related to an unbounded family of the structure $(\omega^\omega, <^*)$, and using this forcing notion, it was proved that $\mathcal{K}_2(\text{rec})$ implies that the bounding number is larger than \aleph_1 . A remarkable point of the rectangle refining property (and also the property R_{1, \aleph_1}) is that forcing notions with the rectangle refining property (or the property R_{1, \aleph_1}) add no random reals [15, §5]. It is unknown whether the rectangle refining property and the property R_{1, \aleph_1} are different or not.

To show the main result, we investigate the following two concepts: uniformizations of ladder system colorings and forcing with a coherent Suslin tree.

In [1], Devlin and Shelah introduced a weak version of \diamond and its applications to Whitehead's problem and uniformizations of ladder system colorings. (In the present paper, ladder systems means the ones on ω_1 . For details of ladder systems, see §3.) The uniformization property of ladder system colorings plays a crucial role of Whitehead's problem. In fact, Eklof and Shelah proved in [2] that there exists a ladder system all of whose 2-colorings can be uniformized iff there exists a non-free Whitehead group of size \aleph_1 . In [1], Devlin and Shelah proved the following theorem [1, 5.2.Theorem]: MA_{\aleph_1} implies that every ladder system coloring can be uniformized. We note that their forcing notions to uniformize a ladder system coloring has precaliber \aleph_1 . In §3, we give a forcing notion with the rectangle refining property which uniformize a given ladder system coloring. In [12, §4] and [15, §4], it was proved that MA_{\aleph_1} restricted to forcing notions with the rectangle refining property is strictly weaker than MA_{\aleph_1} . So the result in §3 refines Devlin and Shelah's theorem [1, 5.2.Theorem].

In [10], Todorćević introduced the model $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$. $\text{PFA}(S)$ is an axiom which asserts that there exists a coherent Suslin tree S such that the forcing axiom holds for every proper forcing notion which preserves S to be Suslin, that is, if \mathbb{P} is a proper forcing notion which preserves S to be Suslin and $\{D_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ is a set of \aleph_1 -many dense open subsets of \mathbb{P} , then there exists a filter of \mathbb{P} which intersects all of the D_α 's. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ is a forcing extension with this S under $\text{PFA}(S)$. We note that if there exists a supercompact cardinal, $\text{PFA}(S)$ can

be forced by the use of the property which is introduced by Miyamoto in [8]. $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ is used to solve Katětov's problem, which is a question of set theoretic topology, in [5], and the model has some interesting properties. For example, in $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$, OCA holds ([3]), $\mathcal{K}_2(\text{rec})$ holds ([7]), every Aronszajn tree is special. In particular, we should notice that all of the above examples are satisfied in $\text{MA}_{\aleph_1}(S)[S]$, which is same to $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$ by replacing the properness to the countable chain condition. In [6, Theorem 6.2.], Larson and Todorćević proved that in the extension with a Suslin tree, every ladder system has a coloring which cannot be uniformized.

In §4, it is proved that it is consistent that there exists a coherent Suslin tree which forces that every forcing notion with the rectangle refining property has precaliber \aleph_1 (Theorem 4.1). This is witnessed by the model of the form $\text{MA}_{\aleph_1}(S)[S]$ as well as $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$. Therefore by the result in §3 and Larson and Todorćević's theorem [6, Theorem 6.2.], MA_{\aleph_1} restricted to forcing notions with the rectangle refining property fails in $\text{MA}_{\aleph_1}(S)[S]$ and also $\text{PFA}(S)[S]$.

The conclusion of Theorem 4.1 is different from [15, Theorem 6.2.]. Because in the case [15, Theorem 6.2.], it is necessary that there exists a non-special Aronszajn tree in the extension to guarantee that MA_{\aleph_1} for forcing notions with the property \mathbf{R}_{1, \aleph_1} fails, however in the case Theorem 4.1, by considering $\text{MA}_{\aleph_1}(S)[S]$, it is possible that all Aronszajn trees are special in the extension.

2 The class FSCO_2 and the rectangle refining property

In this paper, as in [15], forcing notions mean partial orders, and we focus on forcing notions of size \aleph_1 because we will study fragments of MA_{\aleph_1} , Martin's Axiom for \aleph_1 many dense sets. We consider the following type of forcing notions, which is slightly different from FSCO in [15] (see below).

Definition 2.1. FSCO_0 is the collection of forcing notions \mathbb{P} such that

- a condition of \mathbb{P} is a finite set of countable ordinals,
- \mathbb{P} is uncountable, and
- $\leq_{\mathbb{P}}$ is equal to the superset relation \supseteq , that is, for any σ and τ in \mathbb{P} , $\sigma \leq_{\mathbb{P}} \tau$ iff $\sigma \supseteq \tau$.

Many applications of MA_{\aleph_1} use these types of forcing notions, see in [15]. FSCO in [15] is the set of forcing notions in FSCO_0 with the additional property that \mathbb{P} is closed under subsets, that is, if $\sigma \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\tau \subseteq \sigma$, then $\tau \in \mathbb{P}$. So FSCO_0 is a wider class than FSCO . In this paper, we deal with the subclass of FSCO_0 as follows.

Definition 2.2. FSCO_1 is the collection of forcing notions \mathbb{P} in FSCO_0 such that for any uncountable subset I of \mathbb{P} , there exists an uncountable subset I' of I such that for every finite subset ρ of I' , if ρ has a common extension in \mathbb{P} , $\bigcup \rho$ is one of its common extensions.

FSCO_1 is a wider class than FSCO . Because if \mathbb{P} is in FSCO , since \mathbb{P} is closed under subsets, if a finite subset ρ of \mathbb{P} has a common extension, then $\bigcup \rho$ is its common extension. So \mathbb{P} belongs to FSCO_1 .

Definition 2.3. FSCO_2 is the collection of forcing notions \mathbb{P} in FSCO_1 such that for any uncountable subset $\{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ of \mathbb{P} , there are an uncountable subset Γ of ω_1 and a sequence $\langle \sigma'_\alpha; \alpha \in \Gamma \rangle$ such that

- for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $\sigma'_\alpha \leq_{\mathbb{P}} \sigma_\alpha$ (i.e. $\sigma'_\alpha \supseteq \sigma_\alpha$),
- the set $\{\sigma'_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ forms a Δ -system, and
- for every finite subset ρ of Γ , if the set $\{\sigma'_\alpha; \alpha \in \rho\}$ has a common extension in \mathbb{P} , then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \rho} \sigma'_\alpha$ is its common extension and the set

$$\{\beta \in \Gamma; \{\sigma'_\alpha; \alpha \in \rho\} \cup \{\sigma'_\beta\} \text{ has a common extension in } \mathbb{P}\}$$

is uncountable.

The definition of FSCO_2 seems to be technical. But it follows from the next claim that FSCO_2 is also wider than the class of *ccc* forcing notions in FSCO .

Claim 2.4. If \mathbb{P} is a forcing notion in FSCO with the countable chain condition, then \mathbb{P} belongs to FSCO_2 .

Proof. Here, we note again that if a finite subset ρ of \mathbb{P} has a common extension in \mathbb{P} , then $\bigcup \rho$ is its common extension in \mathbb{P} , because \mathbb{P} is closed under subsets.

Let $\{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ be an uncountable subset of \mathbb{P} . We take an uncountable subset Γ of ω_1 such that the set $\{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ forms a Δ -system. Since \mathbb{P} is *ccc*, there exists $\tau \in \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$\tau \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“ } \{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in \Gamma\} \cap \dot{G} \text{ is uncountable ”.}$$

Then the set $\{\sigma_\alpha \cup \tau; \alpha \in \Gamma\} \cap \mathbb{P}$ are as desired. \square

The following figure is a relationship between the subclasses of FSCO_0 .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{FSCO}_0 & \supseteq & \text{FSCO}_1 & \supseteq & \text{FSCO}_2 \\ & & \cup & & \cup \\ & & \text{FSCO} & \supseteq & \text{FSCO} + \text{CCC} \end{array}$$

Definition 2.5. A forcing notion \mathbb{P} in FSCO_0 has the rectangle refining property if for any pair of uncountable subsets I and J of \mathbb{P} , whenever $I \cup J$ forms a Δ -system, then there are uncountable subsets I' and J' of I and J respectively such that any member of I' is compatible with any member of J' in \mathbb{P} .

A typical forcing notion with this property is also $a(T)$. In [14], the author introduced the rectangle refining property for general partial orders. It is easy to see that a specializing an Aronszajn tree by finite approximations has the rectangle refining property in the sense of [14]. The definition in [14] includes the one of the present paper (for other examples, see [12, 13, 15]).

3 Uniformizing a ladder system coloring

A ladder system on ω_1 is a sequence $\langle C_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle$ such that for each $\alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim}$, C_α is an unbounded subset of α and the order type of C_α is ω . A coloring of the ladder system $\langle C_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle$ is a sequence $\langle f_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle$ such that for each $\alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim}$, f_α is a function from C_α into ω . We say that a function φ from ω_1 into ω uniformize the coloring $\langle f_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle$ if for every $\alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim}$, the difference between f_α and $\varphi \upharpoonright \text{dom}(f_\alpha)$ is finite.

Let $\langle C_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle$ be a ladder system, $\langle \eta_n^\alpha; n \in \omega \rangle$ an increasing enumeration of C_α for each $\alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim}$, $\langle f_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle$ a coloring of this ladder. Then let

$$\mathbb{P}(\langle C_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle, \langle f_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle)$$

be a forcing notion which consists of finite partial functions p from $\omega_1 \cap \text{Lim}$ into ω such that the set

$$\bigcup_{\gamma \in \text{dom}(p)} (f_\gamma \upharpoonright \{\eta_n^\gamma; n \geq p(\gamma)\})$$

forms a function, and this is ordered by the reverse inclusion (in this section, it is denoted by \mathbb{P} for short). We note that \mathbb{P} can be considered as a forcing notion in FSCO, \mathbb{P} adds a uniformizing function for this coloring, \mathbb{P} has precaliber \aleph_1 , but in general, \mathbb{P} doesn't have the rectangle refining property. (For example, if there exist $n \in \omega$ and disjoint uncountable subsets I and J of $\omega_1 \cap \text{Lim}$ such that the set $\{\eta_n^\alpha; \alpha \in I \cup J\}$ is a singleton and for every $\alpha \in I$ and $\beta \in J$, $f_\alpha(\eta_n^\alpha) \neq f_\beta(\eta_n^\beta)$, then the pair of the sets $\{\{\langle \alpha, n \rangle\}; \alpha \in I\}$ and $\{\{\langle \beta, n \rangle\}; \beta \in J\}$ is a witness which guarantees that \mathbb{P} doesn't have the rectangle refining property.)

We define another forcing notion for uniformizing a coloring. For a subset σ of the set $\{0, 1\} \times X$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$, let

$$\pi_i[\sigma] := \{x \in X; \langle i, x \rangle \in \sigma\}.$$

The forcing notion

$$\mathbb{P}^2(\langle C_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle, \langle f_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle)$$

consists of subsets σ of the set

$$(\{0\} \times ((\omega_1 \cap \text{Lim}) \times \omega)) \cup (\{1\} \times (\omega_1 \times \omega))$$

such that

- $\pi_0[\sigma] \in \mathbb{P}(\langle C_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle, \langle f_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1 \cap \text{Lim} \rangle)$,
- $\pi_1[\sigma]$ is the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left\langle \eta_{\pi_0[\sigma](\gamma)}^\gamma, f_\gamma(\eta_{\pi_0[\sigma](\gamma)}^\gamma) \right\rangle; \gamma \in \text{dom}(\pi_0[\sigma]) \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \langle \eta_n^\gamma, f_\gamma(\eta_n^\gamma) \rangle; \gamma \in \text{dom}(\pi_0[\sigma]) \text{ and } n \in \omega \setminus \pi_0[\sigma](\gamma) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \text{such that } \exists \gamma' \in \text{dom}(\pi_0[\sigma]) \cap \gamma (\eta_n^\gamma < \gamma') \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(so $\pi_1[\sigma]$ is also a finite function),

and this is ordered by the reverse inclusion (in this section, it is denoted by \mathbb{P}^2 for short).

\mathbb{P}^2 can also be considered as a forcing notion in FSCO and almost same as \mathbb{P} . The difference is that a condition of \mathbb{P}^2 has information of finite pieces of the coloring which is necessary to think of compatibility of conditions of \mathbb{P} (and also \mathbb{P}^2).

Lemma 3.1. \mathbb{P}^2 is a forcing notion in FSCO_2 with the rectangle refining property.

Proof. By the definition, \mathbb{P}^2 is a forcing notion in FSCO_0 . At first, we show that \mathbb{P}^2 has the rectangle refining property. The idea of this proof says that \mathbb{P}^2 belongs to FSCO_1 .

Let I and J be disjoint uncountable subsets of ω_1 , and $\{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in I \cup J\}$ an uncountable subsets of \mathbb{P}^2 which forms a Δ -system. Let R be the root of this Δ -system. Let δ be a countable ordinal such that $R \subseteq \{0, 1\} \times (\delta \times \omega)$. Then we can find uncountable subsets I' and J' of I and J respectively such that

- (a) $\{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in I'\} \cap \{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in J'\} = \emptyset$,
- (b) for any α and β in the set $I' \cup J'$, if $\alpha < \beta$, then

$$\delta < \min \left((\text{dom}(\pi_0[\sigma_\alpha]) \setminus \delta) \cup (\text{dom}(\pi_1[\sigma_\alpha]) \setminus \delta) \right)$$

and

$$\max(\pi_0[\sigma_\alpha]) < \min \left((\text{dom}(\pi_0[\sigma_\beta]) \setminus \delta) \cup (\text{dom}(\pi_1[\sigma_\beta]) \setminus \delta) \right).$$

Then we notice that the set $\{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in I' \cup J'\}$ still forms a Δ -system with the root R , and the function

$$\bigcup_{\gamma \in \text{dom}(\sigma_\alpha)} \left(f_\gamma \upharpoonright (\{\eta_n^\gamma; n \geq \pi_0[\sigma_\alpha](\gamma)\} \cap \delta) \right)$$

doesn't depend on $\alpha \in I' \cup J'$. Moreover since the domain of the function

$$\bigcup_{\gamma \in \text{dom}(\sigma_\alpha)} \left(f_\gamma \upharpoonright \{\eta_n^\gamma; n \geq \pi_0[\sigma](\gamma)\} \right)$$

is a subset of the set

$$\delta \cup \left[\min \left((\text{dom}(\pi_0[\sigma_\alpha]) \setminus \delta) \cup (\text{dom}(\pi_1[\sigma_\alpha]) \setminus \delta) \right), \max(\text{dom}(\pi_0[\sigma_\alpha])) \right)$$

for every $\alpha \in I' \cup J'$ (here, for two ordinals ξ and η , $[\xi, \eta)$ is the interval of ordinals, that is, $[\xi, \eta) := \{\zeta \in \eta; \xi \leq \zeta\}$), σ_α and σ_β are compatible in \mathbb{P}^2 for

any $\alpha \in I'$ and $\beta \in J'$. In fact, for any finite subset ρ of $I' \cup J'$, $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \rho} \sigma_\alpha$ is a common extension of the σ_α 's in \mathbb{P}^2 . (This argument also says that \mathbb{P}^2 also has precaliber \aleph_1 .)

To show that \mathbb{P}^2 belongs to FSCO_2 , let $\{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ be an uncountable subset of \mathbb{P}^2 . We may assume that there exists $\delta \in \omega_1$ such that $\{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ forms a Δ -system with root which is a subset of the set $\{0, 1\} \times \delta$, and $\{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ satisfies the property **(b)** above.

Since \mathbb{P}^2 has the rectangle refining property (in particular the countable chain condition), there exists $\tau \in \mathbb{P}^2$ such that

$$\tau \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}^2} \text{ “ } \{\sigma_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1\} \cap \dot{G} \text{ is uncountable ”.}$$

Take $\alpha \in \omega_1$ such that σ_α and τ are compatible in \mathbb{P}^2 , and let τ' be an extension of τ in \mathbb{P}^2 such that $\pi_0[\tau'] = \pi_0[\tau]$ and $\sigma_\alpha \cup \tau'$ is a condition of \mathbb{P}^2 (hence it is a common extension of σ_α and τ). Then we note that for each $\beta \in \omega_1$ larger than α , σ_β and τ are compatible in \mathbb{P}^2 iff $\sigma_\beta \cup \tau'$ is a condition in \mathbb{P}^2 . Therefore the set $\{\sigma_\beta \cup \tau'; \beta \in \omega_1 \setminus \alpha\} \cap \mathbb{P}^2$ is as desired. \square

4 Forcing with a coherent Suslin tree

This section devotes a proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *It is consistent that there exists a coherent Suslin tree which forces that every forcing notion in FSCO_2 with the rectangle refining property has precaliber \aleph_1 .*

Through this section, we suppose that S is a coherent Suslin tree which consists of functions in $\omega^{<\omega_1}$ and closed under finite modifications. That is,

- for any s and t in S , $s \leq_S t$ iff $s \subseteq t$,
- S is closed under taking initial segments,
- for any s and t in S , the set

$$\{\alpha \in \min\{\text{lv}(s), \text{lv}(t)\}; s(\alpha) \neq t(\alpha)\}$$

is finite (here, $\text{lv}(s)$ is the length of s , that is, the size of s , which represents the level of s in the tree S), and

- for any $s \in S$ and $t \in \omega^{\text{lv}(s)}$, if the set $\{\alpha \in \text{lv}(s); s(\alpha) \neq t(\alpha)\}$ is finite, then $t \in S$ also.

For a countable ordinal α , let S_α be the set of α -th level nodes, that is, the set of all members of S of domain α , and let $S_{\leq \alpha} := \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} S_\beta$. For $s \in S$, we let

$$S \upharpoonright s := \{u \in S; s \leq_S u\}.$$

We note that \diamond , or adding a Cohen real, builds a coherent Suslin tree. A coherent Suslin tree has canonical commutative isomorphisms. Let s and t be nodes in S with the same level. Then we define a function $\psi_{s,t}$ from $S \upharpoonright s$ into $S \upharpoonright t$ such that for each $v \in S \upharpoonright s$,

$$\psi_{s,t}(v) := t \cup (v \upharpoonright [\text{lv}(s), \text{lv}(v)])$$

(here, $v \upharpoonright [\text{lv}(s), \text{lv}(v)]$ is the function v restricted to the domain $[\text{lv}(s), \text{lv}(v)]$). We note that $\psi_{s,t}$ is an isomorphism, and if s, t, u are nodes in S with the same level, then $\psi_{s,t}, \psi_{t,u}$ and $\psi_{s,u}$ commutes. (On a coherent Suslin tree, see e.g. [4, 6].)

Let $\dot{\mathbb{P}}$ be an S -name for a forcing notion in FSCO_2 with the rectangle refining property, $\dot{I} = \{\dot{\sigma}_\alpha; \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ S -names for conditions of $\dot{\mathbb{P}}$ which forms a Δ -system such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Vdash_T \text{ "for any } \rho \in [\omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}, \text{ if the set } \{\dot{\sigma}_\alpha; \alpha \in \rho\} \text{ has a common extension in } \dot{\mathbb{P}}, \\ \text{ then } \bigcup_{\alpha \in \rho} \dot{\sigma}_\alpha \in \dot{\mathbb{P}} \text{ and} \\ \{\beta \in \omega_1; \{\dot{\sigma}_\alpha; \alpha \in \rho\} \cup \{\dot{\sigma}_\beta\} \text{ has a common extension}\} \text{ is uncountable"} \end{aligned}$$

Since S has the countable chain condition, we can find a club C on ω_1 such that for every $\alpha \in C$, every node in S_α decides all of the names $\dot{\sigma}_\beta$, for every $\beta < \alpha$, $\dot{\mathbb{P}} \cap [\alpha]^{<\aleph_0}$ and $\leq_{\dot{\mathbb{P}}} \cap ([\alpha]^{<\aleph_0} \times [\alpha]^{<\aleph_0})$.

Let $\mathbb{Q}_S(\dot{\mathbb{P}}, \dot{I}, C)$ be the forcing notion which consists of finite partial functions f from S into $[\omega_1]^{<\aleph_0}$ such that for each $t \in \text{dom}(f)$, $f(t) \subseteq C \cap \text{lv}(t)$, and

$$t \Vdash \text{ " } \bigcup \{\dot{\sigma}_\gamma; \exists t' \in \text{dom}(f) (t' \leq_S t \ \& \ \gamma \in f(t'))\} \in \dot{\mathbb{P}} \text{ "}$$

(which is equivalent that

$$t \Vdash \text{ " } \{\dot{\sigma}_\gamma; \exists t' \in \text{dom}(f_\xi) (t' \leq_S t \ \& \ \gamma \in f(t'))\} \text{ has a common extension"}),$$

and this is ordered by the reverse inclusion.

Suppose that $\mathbb{Q}_S(\dot{\mathbb{P}}, \dot{I}, C)$ has the countable chain condition and it preserves S to be a Suslin tree. Then we note that, by the property of \dot{I} , if G is $\mathbb{Q}_S(\dot{\mathbb{P}}, \dot{I}, C)$ -generic, then $\bigcup G$ is a partial function such that for every $t \in \text{dom}(\bigcup G)$ and $\alpha \in \omega_1$, there exists $t' \in \text{dom}(\bigcup G)$ such that $t \leq_S t'$ and $(\bigcup G)(t') \not\subseteq \alpha$. Therefore in the extension with $\mathbb{Q}_S(\dot{\mathbb{P}}, \dot{I}, C)$, S generically adds an uncountable set J of countable ordinals such that, in the extension by an S -generic filter G_S , the set $\{\dot{\sigma}_\alpha[G_S]; \alpha \in J\}$ has the finite compatibility property. Therefore by considering the book-keeping argument of a finite support iteration, or considering $\text{MA}_{\aleph_1}(S)[S]$, we only have to show that $\mathbb{Q}_S(\dot{\mathbb{P}}, \dot{I}, C)$ has the countable chain condition and preserves S to be Suslin. It suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Q}_S(\dot{\mathbb{P}}, \dot{I}, C) \times S$ has the countable chain condition. In [7, Theorem 4.2.], Larson and Todorćević

proved that a coherent Suslin tree may force $\mathcal{K}_2(\text{rec})$. The idea of the following proof is similar to their proof. The author used a similar technique in [14, §3]. But the proof below is much simpler than ones in [14, §3], because here we deal with much simpler structures than ones in [14, §3].

Lemma 4.2. *For any $S, \dot{\mathbb{P}}, \dot{I}$ and C as above, $\mathbb{Q}_S(\dot{\mathbb{P}}, \dot{I}, C) \times S$ has the countable chain condition.*

Proof. In this proof, $\mathbb{Q}_S(\dot{\mathbb{P}}, \dot{I}, C)$ is denoted by \mathbb{Q}_S for short. Let $\{\langle f_\xi, t_\xi \rangle; \xi \in \omega_1\}$ be an uncountable subset of $\mathbb{Q}_S \times S$. By strengthening conditions if necessary, we may assume that for every $\xi \in \omega_1$,

$$t_\xi \in \text{dom}(f_\xi) \cap S_{\max(\text{lv}[\text{dom}(f_\xi)])}.$$

By strengthening conditions again and shrinking the set $\{\langle f_\xi, t_\xi \rangle; \xi \in \omega_1\}$ if necessary, we may moreover assume that there are $\delta \in \omega_1$, $m, n \in \omega$ ($m \leq n$) and $s \in S$ such that

- the set $\{\text{dom}(f_\xi); \xi \in \omega_1\}$ forms a Δ -system with root which is a subset of $S_{\leq \delta}$,
- for every ξ and η in ω_1 ,

$$\text{dom}(f_\xi) \cap S_{\leq \delta} = \text{dom}(f_\eta) \cap S_{\leq \delta} \text{ and } f_\xi \upharpoonright S_{\leq \delta} = f_\eta \upharpoonright S_{\leq \delta},$$

- for each $\xi \in \omega_1$, letting $\alpha_\xi := \max(\text{lv}[\text{dom}(f_\xi)])$, every node of the set $\text{dom}(f_\xi) \setminus S_{\alpha_\xi}$ (which is equal to $\text{dom}(f_\xi) \cap S_{< \alpha_\xi}$) is below some node of the set $\text{dom}(f_\xi) \cap S_{\alpha_\xi}$,
- for each ξ in ω_1 , the size of the set $\text{dom}(f_\xi) \cap S_{\alpha_\xi}$ is n , and let

$$\text{dom}(f_\xi) \cap S_{\alpha_\xi} = \left\{ t_i^\xi; i \in n \right\},$$

- there exists a sequence $\langle \alpha'_\xi; \xi \in \omega_1 \rangle$ of countable ordinals such that for each ξ and η in ω_1 with $\xi < \eta$,

$$\delta < \alpha'_\xi \leq \min(\text{lv}[\text{dom}(f_\xi) \setminus S_{\leq \delta}]) < \alpha_\xi = \max(\text{lv}[\text{dom}(f_\xi)]) < \alpha'_\eta$$

and

$$\left\{ \beta \in \omega_1; \exists i, j \in m \left(t_i^\xi(\beta) \neq t_j^\xi(\beta) \right) \right\} \subseteq \delta \cup [\alpha'_\xi, \alpha_\xi),$$

- for each ξ in ω_1 , $t_\xi = t_0^\xi$ and for every $j \in n$, there exists a unique $i \in m$ such that $t_j^\xi \upharpoonright \alpha'_\xi = t_i^\xi \upharpoonright \alpha'_\xi$ and $t_i^\xi \upharpoonright [\alpha'_\xi, \alpha_\xi) = t_0^\xi \upharpoonright [\alpha'_\xi, \alpha_\xi)$,
- for every ξ and η in ω_1 and $i \in n$, $t_i^\xi \upharpoonright \delta = t_i^\eta \upharpoonright \delta$,

- for each $i \in n$, the set

$$\left\{ \bigcup \left\{ f_\xi(t); t \in \text{dom}(f_\xi) \ \& \ t \leq_S t_i^\xi \right\}; \xi \in \omega_1 \right\}$$

forms a Δ -system with root which is also a subset of δ ,

- $\text{lv}(s) = \delta$ holds, $s \leq_S t_0^\xi = t_\xi$ for every $\xi \in \omega_1$, and the set $\{t_0^\xi; \xi \in \omega_1\}$ is dense above s .

For each $i \in n$ and $\xi \in \omega_1$, let

$$s_i := \psi_{s, t_i^0 \upharpoonright \text{lv}(s)}(s) = t_i^0 \upharpoonright \text{lv}(s)$$

and

$$\Vdash_S \text{“} \dot{\tau}_i^\xi := \bigcup \left\{ \dot{\sigma}_\gamma; \exists t \in \text{dom}(f_\xi) \left(t \leq_S t_i^\xi \ \& \ \gamma \in f_\xi(t) \right) \right\} \text{”}.$$

Then by our Δ -system refinement, for every $i \in n$ and $\xi \in \omega_1$, $s_i <_S t_i^\xi$ holds.

We enumerate the set $\{\langle i, j \rangle \in m \times n; s_i = s_j\}$ by $\langle \langle i_\mu^0, i_\mu^1 \rangle; \mu \in N \rangle$ with

$$\langle i_{N-1}^0, i_{N-1}^1 \rangle = \langle 0, 0 \rangle,$$

and we will recursively find S -names \dot{K}_μ^0 and \dot{K}_μ^1 and uncountable subsets J_μ^0 and J_μ^1 of ω_1 such that $J_{-1}^0 = J_{-1}^1 = \omega_1$ and for each $\mu \in N$,

- for each $l \in \{0, 1\}$ (note that $s_{i_\mu^0} = s_{i_\mu^1}$),

$$s_{i_\mu^0} \Vdash_S \text{“} \dot{K}_\mu^l \text{ is an uncountable subset of the set } \left\{ \dot{\tau}_{i_\mu^l}^\xi; \xi \in J_{\mu-1}^l \right\} \text{”},$$

- for each $l \in \{0, 1\}$ and any $\xi \in J_\mu^l$ and $u \in S$, if

$$u \Vdash_S \text{“} \dot{\tau}_{i_\mu^l}^\xi \in \dot{K}_\mu^l \text{”},$$

then $t_{i_\mu^l}^\xi \leq_S u$ and for every $\mu' < \mu$,

$$\psi_{t_{i_\mu^l}^\xi, t_{i_{\mu'}^l}^\xi}(u) \Vdash_S \text{“} \dot{\tau}_{i_{\mu'}^l}^\xi \in \dot{K}_{\mu'}^l \text{”},$$

- $s_{i_\mu^0} \Vdash_S \text{“} \dot{K}_\mu^0 \cap \dot{K}_\mu^1 = \emptyset$ and for every $\varepsilon \in \dot{K}_\mu^0$ and $\rho \in \dot{K}_\mu^1$, ε and ρ are compatible in $\dot{\mathbb{P}}$, i.e. $\varepsilon \cup \rho \in \dot{\mathbb{P}}$ ”,
and

- for each $l \in \{0, 1\}$, let

$$J_\mu^l := \left\{ \xi \in J_{\mu-1}^l; \exists u \in T \left(u \Vdash_S \text{“} \dot{\tau}_{i_\mu^l}^\xi \in \dot{K}_\mu^l \text{”} \right) \right\}.$$

We can construct them by induction on $\mu \in N$ as follows. At stage $\mu \in N$, we define S -names \dot{K}_μ^0 and \dot{K}_μ^1 such that for each $l \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$s_{i_\mu^0} \Vdash_S \text{“ } \dot{K}_\mu^l \subseteq \left\{ \dot{\tau}_{i_\mu^l}^\xi; \xi \in J_{\mu-1}^l \right\} \text{”},$$

and for any $\xi \in J_{\mu-1}^l$ and $u \in S$,

$$u \Vdash_S \text{“ } \dot{\tau}_{i_\mu^l}^\xi \in \dot{K}_\mu^l \text{”} : \iff t_{i_\mu^l}^\xi \leq_S u \ \& \ \forall \mu' < \mu \left(\psi_{t_{i_\mu^l}^\xi, t_{i_{\mu'}^l}^\xi}(u) \Vdash_S \text{“ } \dot{\tau}_{i_{\mu'}^l}^\xi \in \dot{K}_{\mu'}^l \text{”} \right).$$

Since

$$s_{i_\mu^l} \Vdash_S \text{“ } \dot{K}_\mu^0 \text{ and } \dot{K}_\mu^1 \text{ are uncountable and } \dot{K}_\mu^0 \cup \dot{K}_\mu^1 \text{ forms a } \Delta\text{-system”},$$

there are S -names \dot{K}_μ^0 and \dot{K}_μ^1 for uncountable subsets of \dot{K}_μ^0 and \dot{K}_μ^1 respectively such that

$$s_{i_\mu^l} \Vdash_S \text{“ } \dot{K}_\mu^0 \cap \dot{K}_\mu^1 = \emptyset \text{ and for every } \varepsilon \in \dot{K}_\mu^0 \text{ and } \rho \in \dot{K}_\mu^1, \varepsilon \cup \rho \in \dot{\mathbb{P}} \text{”},$$

which completes the construction.

Let $\xi \in J_{N-1}^0$. Then there exists $u \in S$ such that

$$u \Vdash_S \text{“ } \dot{\tau}_{i_{N-1}^0}^\xi \in \dot{K}_{N-1}^0 \text{”}.$$

Then by our construction, $s_{i_{N-1}^0} <_S t_{i_{N-1}^0}^\xi \leq_S u$ and for all $\mu < N-1$,

$$\psi_{t_{i_{N-1}^0}^\xi, t_{i_\mu^0}^\xi}(u) \Vdash_S \text{“ } \dot{\tau}_{i_\mu^0}^\xi \in \dot{K}_\mu^0 \text{”}.$$

Since \dot{K}_{N-1}^1 is forced to be uncountable by u , there are $v \in S$ and $\eta \in \omega_1$ such that $u <_S v$, $\alpha_\xi < \text{lv}(v)$, $\xi < \eta$, $\text{lv}(u) < \alpha'_\eta$, and

$$v \Vdash_S \text{“ } \dot{\tau}_{i_{N-1}^1}^\eta \in \dot{K}_{N-1}^1 \text{”}.$$

(Then we notice that $\eta \in J_{N-1}^1$.) By our construction, we note that $t_{i_{N-1}^1}^\eta \leq_S v$ and for all $\mu < N-1$,

$$\psi_{t_{i_{N-1}^1}^\eta, t_{i_\mu^1}^\eta}(v) \Vdash_S \text{“ } \dot{\tau}_{i_\mu^1}^\eta \in \dot{K}_\mu^1 \text{”}.$$

Since

$$t_\xi = t_0^\xi = t_{i_{N-1}^0}^\xi \leq_S u <_S v, \quad t_\eta = t_0^\eta = t_{i_{N-1}^1}^\eta \leq_S v$$

and

$$\text{lv}(t_\xi) = \alpha_\xi \leq \text{lv}(u) < \alpha'_\eta < \alpha_\eta = \text{lv}(t_\eta) \leq \text{lv}(v),$$

it holds that

$$t_\xi \leq_S u <_S t_\eta \leq_S v.$$

For each $w \in \text{dom}(f_\eta) \setminus S_{\leq \delta}$, there exists $\mu_w \in N$ such that $w \leq_S t_{i_{\mu_w}^\eta}$. Then since $\delta < \alpha_\xi \leq \text{lv}(u) < \alpha'_\eta \leq \text{lv}(w)$, by our Δ -system refinement, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{i_{\mu_w}^\xi} &= s_{i_{\mu_w}^0} \cup \left(t_{i_{\mu_w}^\xi} \upharpoonright [\delta, \alpha_\xi] \right) = s_{i_{\mu_w}^0} \cup \left(t_0^\xi \upharpoonright [\delta, \alpha_\xi] \right) = s_{i_{\mu_w}^0} \cup (t_\xi \upharpoonright [\delta, \alpha_\xi]) \\ &\leq_S s_{i_{\mu_w}^0} \cup (t_\eta \upharpoonright [\delta, \alpha_\xi]) = s_{i_{\mu_w}^0} \cup (t_0^\eta \upharpoonright [\delta, \alpha_\xi]) = s_{i_{\mu_w}^0} \cup \left(t_{i_{\mu_w}^\eta} \upharpoonright [\delta, \alpha_\xi] \right) \\ &= s_{i_{\mu_w}^1} \cup \left(t_{i_{\mu_w}^\eta} \upharpoonright [\delta, \alpha_\xi] \right) \leq_S t_{i_{\mu_w}^\eta}^\eta, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$t_{i_{\mu_w}^\xi}^\xi <_S w <_S t_{i_{\mu_w}^\eta}^\eta \leq_S \psi_{t_{i_{N-1}^\eta}, t_{i_{\mu_w}^\eta}^\eta}^\eta(v)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{t_{i_{N-1}^\xi}, t_{i_{\mu_w}^\xi}^\xi}^\xi(u) &= t_{i_{\mu_w}^\xi}^\xi \cup (u \upharpoonright [\text{lv}(\alpha_\xi), \text{lv}(u)]) = t_{i_{\mu_w}^\xi}^\xi \cup (t_\eta \upharpoonright [\text{lv}(\alpha_\xi), \text{lv}(u)]) \\ &= t_{i_{\mu_w}^\eta}^\eta \upharpoonright \text{lv}(u) \leq_S \psi_{t_{i_{N-1}^\eta}, t_{i_{\mu_w}^\eta}^\eta}^\eta(v). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\psi_{t_{i_{N-1}^\eta}, t_{i_{\mu_w}^\eta}^\eta}^\eta(v) \Vdash_S \text{“} \dot{\tau}_{i_{\mu_w}^\xi}^\xi \in \dot{K}_{\mu_w}^0 \text{ and } \dot{\tau}_{i_{\mu_w}^\eta}^\eta \in \dot{K}_{\mu_w}^1 \text{”},$$

so by the construction of S -names $\dot{K}_{\mu_w}^0$ and $\dot{K}_{\mu_w}^1$ and the property of the club C ,

$$w \Vdash_S \text{“} \bigcup \{ \dot{\sigma}_\gamma; \exists t' \in \text{dom}(f_\xi) (t' \leq_S w \ \& \ \gamma \in f_\xi(t')) \} \in \dot{\mathbb{P}} \text{”}.$$

Therefore $f_\xi \cup f_\eta$ is a condition of \mathbb{Q}_S , moreover, $\langle f_\xi \cup f_\eta, t_\eta \rangle$ is a common extension of $\langle f_\xi, t_\xi \rangle$ and $\langle f_\eta, t_\eta \rangle$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work was almost done when the author stayed in Vienna to attend *ESI workshop on large cardinals and descriptive set theory*, June 14 to 27, 2009. I would like to thank organizers of this workshop, and thank Jörg Brendle and Stevo Todorćević for discussions on this work during the workshop.

I would also like to thank Katsuya Eda for his encouragement of work the topic related to section 3, Franklin D. Tall for letting me know the result in [2], and the referee for his/her careful reading and many kind comments.

References

- [1] K. Devlin and S. Shelah. *A weak version of \diamond which follows from $2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1}$* . Israel J. Math. 29 (1978), no. 2-3, 239–247.
- [2] P. Eklof and S. Shelah. *A combinatorial principle equivalent to the existence of non-free Whitehead groups*. Abelian group theory and related topics (Oberwolfach, 1993), 79–98, Contemp. Math., 171, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [3] I. Farah. *OCA and towers in $\mathcal{P}(N)/\text{fin}$* . Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 37 (1996), no. 4, 861–866.
- [4] B. König. *Trees, Games and Reflections*, Ph.D. thesis (2002) at the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München.
- [5] P. Larson and F. Tall. *Locally compact perfectly normal spaces may all be paracompact*, preprint.
- [6] P. Larson and S. Todorčević. *Chain conditions in maximal models*. Fund. Math. 168 (2001), no. 1, 77–104.
- [7] P. Larson and S. Todorčević. *Katětov’s problem*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), no. 5, 1783–1791.
- [8] T. Miyamoto. *ω_1 -Suslin trees under countable support iterations*. Fund. Math. 142 (1993), no. 3, 257–261.
- [9] S. Todorčević. *Partition Problems in Topology*. volume 84 of *Contemporary mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1989.
- [10] S. Todorčević. *Chain conditions in topology, II*, in preparation.
- [11] S. Todorčević and B. Veličković. *Martin’s axiom and partitions*. Compositio Math. 63 (1987), no. 3, 391–408.
- [12] T. Yorioka. *Some weak fragments of Martin’s axiom related to the rectangle refining property*. Arch. Math. Logic 47 (2008), no. 1, 79–90.
- [13] T. Yorioka. *The inequality $\mathfrak{b} > \aleph_1$ can be considered as an analogue of Suslin’s Hypothesis*. Axiomatic Set Theory and Set-theoretic Topology (Kyoto 2007), Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku No. 1595 (2008), 84–88.
- [14] T. Yorioka. *Rudin’s Dowker space in the extension with a Suslin tree*. Fund. Math. 201 (2008), no. 1, 53–89.
- [15] T. Yorioka. *A non-implication between fragments of Martin’s Axiom related to a property which comes from Aronszajn trees*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, to appear.